BRUHAT BENGALURU MAHANAGARA PALIKE

No: DCF/PR/6732021-22

Office of the
Deputy Conservator of Forests,
Bruhat Bengaluru Mahanagara Palike
N.R Square, Bangalore

Date: 26.11.2021

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM

Sub: Permission regarding Translocation and Removal of 833 trees which fall in the BMRCL Metro Project area of Phase 2A, (PACKAGE 1) between Central Silk Board (CSB)

Junction to Kodibeesanahalli Metro Station (Upto Pier No 335) on ORR,– reg

Ref: a. BMRCL Application No. BMRCL/Advisor-Civil/ORR/Ph-2A/2021/1329 dtd 12.06.2021

- b. Public Notice dated 23.06.2021
- c. Field Inspection dated 30.08.2021 to 02.09.2021
- d. Member Secretary, TEC and ACF, BBMP letter No. ACF/PR 57/2021-22 dtd.
 18.11.2021 along with Report and Proceedings of Tree Expert Committee

* * * * *

Preamble:

The BMRCL vide their letter cited under reference above at (a), has sought permission for clearance of 833 number of trees which fall in the Metro project area of Phase 2A, Package 1 between Central Silk Board (CSB) Junction to Kodibeesanahalli Metro Station (Upto Pier No 335) on ORR. They have submitted relevant documents which state that the said trees are standing in the construction activities area of the Metro project which is being implemented in public interest. As such Public Notice dated 23.06.2021 was issued by the Tree Officer & DCF, BBMP as per Section 8 (3) of the Karnataka Preservation of Trees Act 1976 (as amended in 2015) with the intention to invite objections/remarks from public.

The TEC observed that total 776 objections/suggestions have been received in response to the public notice. The Tree Officer has observed that most of the objections/suggestions relate to granting extension of time because of the prevailing pandemic during that period, to restrict the felling of trees and to increase the extent of compensatory afforestation. One of the objections pertained about the discrepancy in the number of trees as the objector mentioned that the number of trees stated as per DPR and EIA Reports and the number of trees as per the enumeration list prepared now do not tally. The

Tree Officer with respect to the above observation/suggestions has considered and given reasonable extension of time for filing objections. He also emphasized that felling of trees is always kept to bare minimum and is based on the strategy being followed with regard to assessment of trees, i.e., first option being retention-on-site, second being translocation, if retention is not possible and last resort will be felling. Also adequate number of saplings will be planted under compensatory afforestation and its proper maintenance will be taken care of. With respect to the other aspects like discrepancies in the number of trees as per the above said reports, the DCF referred the matter to BMRCL. The replies furnished by the BMRCL state that the DPR and EIA Reports were prepared during October 2019 and October 2020 respectively, while the enumeration list of trees were prepared during June 2021, thus causing change in the number of trees indicated in the enumeration list as some saplings might have come under tree category. Secondly, there had been some alteration in the land extent required for the project. These two factors have contributed for increase in the number of trees.

In this context it is to apprise that the present project proposal was received by the Tree Officer on 12.06.2021, public notice was issued on 23.06.2021, Field Forest Officers conducted the spot inspections on 07.07.2021; 09.07.2021 and 10.07.2021, ACF/DCF visited the areas on 09.07.2021; 12.07.2021 and 14.07.2021, and then TEC visited the area and conducted field Inspections from 30.08.2021 to 02.09.2021, duly examining all the trees besides having discussions with the Project Engineers.

The Field Inspection Report of TEC was tabled during the TEC meeting held on 26.10.2021 and detailed discussions were held.

- i. The primary objective of the TEC was to retain-on-site as many trees as possible.
- ii. In case the trees are falling within the project activity area and their removal becomes inevitable, the next option for TEC was for translocation of trees depending upon its general condition and other factors.
- iii. The felling of trees was kept as the last resort and that was done very judiciously in a prudent manner.

Based on the records/documents produced by the BMRCL, followed by thorough scrutiny of the same and discussions of the field inspection reports which were prepared after examination of each and every tree, the following order is issued.

ORDER

Under the circumstances explained above and in exercising the powers vested with the undersigned as per Section 8 (3) of Karnataka Preservation of Trees Act, 1976, and based on the guidelines and decisions taken as per the Field Inspection Report, Meeting Proceedings and the Report

of the TEC for retention-on-site, translocation and removal of trees which fall in the Metro Project Are a from Construction Activities between Central Silk Board (CSB) Junction to Kodibeesanahalli Metro Station (Upto Pier No 335) on ORR of Phase 2A, P1, the below mentioned schedule is approved subject to the conditions mentioned thereon. This Order comes into effect after fifteen (15) days from the date of uploading of the order on the Official website BBMP.

SCHEDULE

- 1. Permission is refused for removal of forty four (44) trees listed in Annexure A appended to this Official Memorandum. They should be retained-at-site on the locations where they are standing at present.
- 2. Based on the consideration detailed above, permission is hereby granted for removal of Two Hundred and Twelve (212) trees by way of translocation as listed with justification in Template No. 5 annexed to this Official Memorandum as Annexure B.
- 3. Permission is hereby granted for removal of Five Hundred and Seventy Seven (577) trees by way of felling as listed with justification in Template No. 6 annexed to this Official Memorandum as Annexure C.

Conditions

- 1. No damage should be caused to the trees which are retained on the spot, while carrying out the civil works or any project related works.
- The translocation of trees should be done at suitable vacant spaces already identified in collaboration with the DCF, BBMP at CMP Centre, Training area, HSR 1st Sector, 27th Cross, Ibluru, Bengaluru – 560 102
- 3. The Persons/Agencies who are entrusted with translocation works should have sufficient knowledge and experience in such works.
- 4. The work of translocation of trees has to be executed under close supervision of Officials/Officers of Forest Wing of BBMP and according to the adopted guidelines of UAS, Bengaluru.
- 5. The trees so translocated have to be properly maintained and taken care for a minimum period of three years.
- 6. The entire process of translocation of trees has to be properly documented and records compiled in a systematic manner.
- 7. In lieu of the trees translocated/felled, 10 healthy and heighted saplings have to be planted in lieu of one tree translocated/felled. The saplings have to be planted as per forestry practices and maintained for a minimum period of three years. Photographs and proper documentation has to be there for saplings/seedlings planted.

8. Quarterly progress report about the translocated trees and seedlings/saplings planted have to be submitted to the Tree Officer. Regular monitoring must be done to ensure the conducive growth of translocated trees and planted saplings/seedlings.

Tree Officer and
Deputy Conservator of Forests
Bruhat Bengaluru Mahanagara Palike,
Bengaluru

Copy To:

- 1. The Chairman, Tree Authority and Chief Conservator of Forests, Bangalore Circle, Bangalore for kind information.
- 2. The Managing Director, Bangalore Metro Rail Corporation Limited, 3rd Floor, BMTC Complex, Shanthinagara, Bengaluru 560 027.
- 3. The General Manager, Social and Environment Management Unit (SEMU), BMRCL, 5th floor, BMTC Complex, Shanthinagara, Bengaluru 560 027
- 4. The Member Secretary Tree Expert Committee, and The Assistant Conservator of Forests, BBMP for information and further action.
- 5. The Assistant Conservator of Forests, North and South Division, BBMP, Bengaluru
- 6. The Concerned Range Forest Officers/Deputy Range Forest Officers for information and further action.
- 7. Petitioner's Copy (WP 17841/2018)

8. Office Copy.

Tree Officer and
Deputy Conservator of Forests
Bruhat Bengaluru Mahanagara Palike,
Bengaluru

Recommendations and Justifications for Retention by Tree Officer, BBMP

Application No.; BMRCL/Advisor-Civil/ORR/Ph-2A/2021/1329 dtd 12.06.2021

Project Area: Central Silk Board (CSB) Junction to Kodibeesanahalli Metro Station

(Up to Pier No. 335) on ORR

	(Up to Pier No. 335) on ORR									
SI No	Tree No.	Species Name	GBH	Height	TEC Recommendation	Justification				
1	1]	Kadamba	1.95	16.00	Retention	The tree does not hinder any of the construction activities related to the project. Recommendation: Retention				
2	16	Christmas tree	0.20	6.00	Retention	The tree does not hinder any of the construction activities related to the project. Recommendation: Retention				
3	17	Palm	1.30	10.00	Retention	The tree does not hinder any of the construction activities related to the project, Recommendation: Retention				
4	18	Rain tree	2.05	15.00	Retention	The tree does not hinder any of the construction activities related to the project. Recommendation: Retention				
5	27	Dali chandra	0.27	5.00	Retention	The tree does not hinder any of the construction activities related to the project. Recommendation: Retention				
6	28	Sissoo	1.06	7.00	Retention	The tree does not hinder any of the construction activities related to the project, Recommendation: Retention				
7	32	Arali	2.50	15.00	Retention	The tree does not hinder any of the construction activities related to the project. Recommendation: Retention				
8	33 33 (a)	Rubber Rubber	1.20 1.02	13.00 9.00	Retention	The tree does not hinder any of the construction activities related to the project.				
	33 (b)	Rubber	0.73	9.00		Recommendation: Retention				
9	37	Hebbevu	1.50	9.00	Retention	The tree does not hinder any of the construction activities related to the project.				
	37 (a)	Hebbevu	1.00	9.00		Recommendation: Retention				
10	38	Hebbevu	1.20	9.00	Retention	The tree does not hinder any of the construction activities related to the project. Recommendation: Retention				
11	41	Hebbevu	1.32	9.00	Retention	The tree does not hinder any of the construction activities related to the project. Recommendation: Retention				
12	43	Tabubia	0.63	9.00	Retention	The tree does not hinder any of the construction activities related to the project. Recommendation: Retention				
13	63	Gulmohar	1.94	9.00	Retention	The tree does not hinder any of the construction activities related to the project. Recommendation: Retention				
	67	Spathodia	1.90	9.00		The tree does not hinder any of the				
14	67 (a)	Spathodia	0.68	9.00	Retention	construction activities related to the project.				
	67 (b)	Spathodia	0.69	9.00		Recommendation: Retention				
15	68	Tabubia	0.40	9.00	Retention	The tree does not hinder any of the construction activities related to the project. Recommendation: Retention				
16	69	Tabubia	0.38	9.00		The tree does not hinder any of the construction activities related to the project. Recommendation: Retention				

SI No	Tree No.	Species Name	GBH	Height	TEC Recommendation	Justification
17	70	Mahagani	0.25	9.00	Retention	The tree does not hinder any of the construction activities related to the project. Recommendation: Retention
	71	Honge	0.92	9.00		The tree does not hinder any of the
18	71 (a)	Honge	1.03	9.00	Retention	construction activities related to the project. Recommendation: Retention
	72	Rain tree	0.85	9.00		The tree does not hinder any of the
19	72 (a)	Rain tree	1.50	9.00	Retention	construction activities related to the project. Recommendation: Retention
20	74	Spathodia	1.41	9.00	Retention	The tree does not hinder any of the construction activities related to the project. Recommendation: Retention
	77	Spathodia	1.24	9.00		The tree does not hinder any of the
21	77 (a)	Spathodia	1.80	9.00	Retention	construction activities related to the project. Recommendation: Retention
22	80	Alstromea macrophyllaia	1.03	9.00	Retention	The tree does not hinder any of the construction activities related to the project.
22	80 (a)	Alstromea macrophyllaia	0.52	9.00	Retention	Recommendation: Retention
23	81	Tabubia	0.19	9.00	Retention	The tree does not hinder any of the construction activities related to the project. Recommendation: Retention
24	82	Tabubia	0.15	9.00	Retention	The tree does not hinder any of the construction activities related to the project. Recommendation: Retention
25	84	Tabubia	0.30	9.00	Retention	The tree does not hinder any of the construction activities related to the project. Recommendation: Retention
26	85	Rain tree	0.64	9.00	Retention	The tree does not hinder any of the
27	85 (a) 87	Rain tree Honge	0.70	9.00	Retention	construction activities related to the project. The tree does not hinder any of the construction activities related to the project. Recommendation: Retention
	88	Honge	0.29	9.00		The tree does not hinder any of the
28	88 (a)	Honge	0.25	9.00	Retention	construction activities related to the project.
	88 (b)	Honge	0.27 1.57	9.00		Recommendation: Retention
29	91 (a)	Spathodia Spathodia	1.02	9.00	Retention	The tree does not hinder any of the construction activities related to the project. Recommendation: Retention
30	92	Honge	0.90	9.00	Retention	The tree does not hinder any of the construction activities related to the project. Recommendation: Retention
31	94	Tabubia	0.54	9.00	Retention	The tree does not hinder any of the construction activities related to the project. Recommendation: Retention
	95	Honge	0.43	9.00		The tree does not hinder any of the
32	95 (a) 95 (b)	Honge	0.30	9.00	Retention	construction activities related to the project.
	95 (b) 95 (c)	Honge Honge	0.33	9.00		Recommendation: Retention
33	96	Tabubia	0.19	9.00	Retention	The tree does not hinder any of the construction activities related to the project. Recommendation: Retention
34	98	Gulmohar	0.67	9.00	Retention	The tree does not hinder any of the construction activities related to the project. Recommendation: Retention
35	99	Tabubia	0.41	9.00	Retention	The tree does not hinder any of the construction activities related to the project. Recommendation: Retention

SĮ No	Tree No.	Species Name	GBH	Height	TEC Recommendation	Justification
36	100	Honge	0.29	9.00	Retention	The tree does not hinder any of the construction activities related to the project. Recommendation: Retention
37	202	Spathodia	0.80	9.00	Retention	The tree does not hinder any of the construction activities related to the project. Recommendation: Retention
38	283	Rain tree	2.60	9.00	Retention	The tree does not hinder any of the construction activities related to the project. Recommendation: Retention
	334	Spathodia	1.20	15.00		The tree does not hinder any of the
39	334(a)	Spathodia	0.90	15.00	Retention	construction activities related to the project. Recommendation: Retention
40	757	Rain tree	1.00	15.00	Retention	The tree is falling on Metro alignment and it will obstruct the construction of IBLUR station The tree is coming on the edge of project alignment. Hence it is recommended to retain in same place by altering project alignment.
41	758	Pelto phorum	0.34	15.00	Retention	The tree is coming on the edge of project alignment. Hence it is recommended to retain in same place by altering project alignment. Recommendation: Retention
42	759	Rain tree	0.96	15.00	Retention	The tree is coming on the edge of project alignment. Hence it is recommended to retain in same place by altering project alignment. Recommendation: Retention
	760	Rain tree	0.80	15.00		The tree is coming on the edge of project
43	760(a)	Rain tree	1.08	15.00	Retention	alignment. Hence it is recommended to retain in same place by altering project alignment.
	760 (b)	Rain tree	0.50	15.00		Recommendation: Retention
44	761	Rain tree	0.86	15.00	Retention	The tree is falling on Metro alignment and it will obstruct the construction of IBLUR station The tree is coming on the edge of project alignment. Hence it is recommended to retain in same place by altering project alignment.

Total no. of Trees Recommended	44.81
for Transloation	44 Nos.

DEPUTY CONSERVATOR OF FORESTS BRUHAT BANGALORE MANAGARA PALIKE BENGALIRU

THEE OFFICER

	3, = *

PARTICULARS ON TRANSPLANTATION / TRANSLOCATION OF TREE(S)*

(to be prepared in compliance to Step 10 of the Memorandum of Procedure of TEC)

Name of the user agency	Bangalore Metro Rail Corporation Limited
Purpose of the project	Construction of Elevated Viaduct from Central Silk Board (CSB) Junction to K.R Puram (Upto Pier No. 335) on ORR (Package- 1)
Extent of the project area	19.633 Kms
Location of the project area	Central Silk Board (CSB) Junction to Kodibeesanahalli Station (Upto pier No. 33)5 Start Point Lat: N 12° 54′ 59.054″ Long: E 78° 22′ 56.221″ End Point Lat: N 12° 56′ 40.45″ Long: E 77° 41′ 53.78″
Number of tree(s) enumerated in the project area	833
Number of tree(s) recommended for transplantation / translocation	212
Feasibility of the tree for transplantation / translocation (as per Template No. 2 – Tree Assessment Form)	All the trees are feasible for Transplantation/ Translocation
Name of the agency identified to execute transplantation / translocation	M/s. Afcons Infrastructure Ltd, #8, Amar Building, First Floor, 14 th Main, Sector- 5, HSR Layout, Bengaluru- 560102
Transplantation / Translocation methodology	Tree Bur lapping Method
Location of receptor site	CMP Centre, Training area, HSR 1st Sector, 27th Cross, Iblur, Bengaluru- 560102 Co-ordinates: 12° 55' 31.25" N 77° 39' 27.38" E
Compatibility of receptor site	Soil investigation for the above location carried out and found suitable. Investigation reports attached
Number of trees to be transplanted / translocated to the selected receptor site	CMP Centre, Training area, HSR 1st Sector, 27th Cross, Iblur, Bengaluru- 560102- 212 Trees

TEMPLATE No. 5

Spacing between transplanted / translocated trees	5 to 6 mts
Post care management	Proper manure and watering for survival of transplanted/translocated trees

The project authorities /user agency should strictly adopt the Transplantation / Translocation guidelines prescribed by UAS (B), GKVK, Bengaluru enclosed as Annexure- 1 to MoP

Tree Officer & DCF

Deputy C BBMP, Bengaluru orests

Bruhat Bangsiore Mahanagara Palike

Recommendations and Justifications for Translocations by Tree Officer, BBMP

Application No.: Poject Area:

BMRCL/Advisor-Civil/ORR/Ph-2A/2021/1329 dtd 12.06.2021 Central Silk Board (CSB) Junction to Kodibeesanahalli Metro Station (Up to Pier No. 335) on ORR

SI No	Tree No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
1		Mahogany	0.45	6.00	Translocation	The tree is present in the proposed site for construction of pillar (Ep 05) and retention wall for D Ramp, there fore the tree cannot be retained. The health / field condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. However, as the required space for Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) is less, appropriate care should be taken during the transplantation /translocation process. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
2	2	Mahogany	0.83	8.00	Translocation	The tree is present in the proposed site for construction of pillar (Ep 04) for D Ramp; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The health / field condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. However, as the tree is present in footpath (with constricted root zone), appropriate care should be taken during the transplantation /translocation process. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
3	4	Mahogany	0.71	10.00	Translocation	The tree is present in the proposed site for construction of pillar (Ep 03 and 02) and at the end portion of retention wall for D Ramp; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The health / field condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. However, as the tree is located very close to existing drainage channel, appropriate care should be taken during the transplantation /translocation process. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
4	7	Baage	0.44	9	Translocation	The tree is present in the proposed site for construction of pillar (Ep 02) for D Ramp; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The roots are constricted between the newly constructed drainage and old drainage channel; therefore the tree has to be carefully handled for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation
5	9 9A	Ficus Benjomia	0.92 1.00	13 12.00	Translocation	The tree is present in the proposed site for construction of pillar (Ep 02) for D Ramp; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The roots of the trees are exposed and roots are constricted between the newly constructed drainage and old drainage channel; however in consideration to the species survival nature the tree is recommended for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
6	25	Spathodea	0.44	4.5	Translocation	The tree is present in the proposed site for construction of pillar (A 06); therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Transplantation 0

SI No	Tree	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
7	26 26A	Honge	0.42 0.30	6.00 5.00	Translocation	The tree is present in the proposed site for construction for deviation of existing drainage channel; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
8	34	Kadu badami	0.59	10	Translocation	The tree is present in the proposed site for construction for road widening (junction); therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
9	35	Kadu badami	0.67	7	Translocation	The tree is present in the proposed site for construction for road widening (junction); therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
10	42 42A	Alada mara	1 0.80	10	Translocation	The tree is present in the proposed site for construction of pillar (D 22) for flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
11	50	Goni mara	1.50	9	Translocation	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of Metro Station (CSB); therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation / Transplantation
12	52	Tabebuia rosea	0.66	10	Translocation	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of Metro Station (CSB); therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation / Transplantation
13	61	Bhadrakshi	1.13	10	Translocation	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of ramp and pillar (C 04) for flyover leading to Metro Station (CSB); therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation/transplan tation. Recommendation: Translocation/Transplantation
14	65 65A	Tabebuia rosea	0.38 0.23	6.00 1.50	Translocation	The tree is present in the proposed site for construction of pillar (D 28) for flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
15	66	Tabebuia rosea	0.54	8	Translocation	The tree is present in the proposed site for construction of pillar (D 28) for flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
16	73	Tabebuia rosea	0.36	10	Translocation	The tree is present in the proposed site for construction of pillar (D 32) for flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field/health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation/transplantation. However, as the root portion of the tree is exposed it was recommended to backfill the exposed root portion with nearby soil, to avoid further damage to the root. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation

ŞI No	Tree	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
17	79	Honge	0.20	2	Translocation	The tree is present in the proposed site for construction of pillar (D 33) for flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
18	9393A	Honge	0.170.17	2.52.5	Translocation	The tree is present in the proposed site for construction of pillar (D 37) for flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field/health condition of the tree (No. 93) qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. The other forking branch /trunk with No. 93 (a) may be pruned carefully.Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
19	107	Honge	0.45	4	Translocation	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of solid ramp and retaining wall for flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation / Transplantation
20	108	Tabebuia rosea	0.60	9	Translocation	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of solid ramp and retaining wall for flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation / Transplantation
21	109	Tabebuia rosea	0.60	10	Translocation	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of solid ramp and retaining wall for flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation / Transplantation
22	1 10	Honge	0.23	4	Translocation	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of solid ramp and retaining wall for flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation / Transplantation
23	111	Honge	0.35	5	Translocation	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of solid ramp and retaining wall for flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation / Transplantation
24	113	Mahogany	0.53	5	Translocation	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of solid ramp and retaining wall for flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation / Transplantation
25	128	Akash mallige	0.42	6	Translocation	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Transplactation

SI No	Tree	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
26	131	Akash mallige	0.50	6	Translocation	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualify for translocation / transplantation.Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
27	144	Akash mallige	0.63	10	Translocation	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
28	159	Akash mallige	0.58	14	Translocation	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualify for translocation / transplantation, Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
29	165	Akash mallige	0.55	8	Translocation	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
30	172	Akash mallige	0.80	11	Translocation	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
31	186	Akash mallige	0.30	10	Translocation	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
32	187	Akash mallige	0.29	2	Translocation	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation

ŞI No	Tree	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
33	189	Akash mallige	0.20	2.5	Translocation	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
34	194	Akash mallige	0.22	6	Translocation	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
35	197 197A	Akash mallige	0.44 0.33	8.00 7.00	Translocation	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is forked, however since the tree is young there is less chances for weak branch union, therefore the tree is recommended for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
36	198	Akash mallige	0.72	7.00	Translocation	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
37	212	Akash mallige	0.16	7.00	Translocation	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
38	213	Akash mallige	0.70	7.00	Translocation	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
39	218	Atthi mara	0.51	7.00	Translocation	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health c ondition of the tree qualify for translocation/transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation

SI No	Tree No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
40	219	Hoole matti	0.15	7.00	Translocation	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of ramp leading to flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation / Transplantation
41	220	Mahogany	0.40	7.00	Translocation	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of ramp leading to flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation / Transplantation
42	223	Mahogany	0.40	7.00	Translocation	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of ramp leading to flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation / Transplantation
43	224	Mahogany	0.38	7.00	Translocation	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of ramp leading to flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation / Transplantation
44	225	Hoole matti	0.33	7.00	Translocation	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of ramp leading to flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation / Transplantation
45	226	Mahogany	0.52	7.00	Translocation	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of ramp leading to flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation / Transplantation
46	227	Mahogany	0.15	7.00	Translocation	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of ramp leading to flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation / Transplantation
47	231	Mahogany	0.24	7.00	Translocation	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of ramp leading to flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation / Transplantation
48	233	Shivane	0.16	7.00	Translocation	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of ramp leading to flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation / Transplantation
49	234	Mahogany	0.33	7.00	Translocation	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of ramp leading to flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation / Transplantation

ŞI No	Tree	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
50	236	Ranjal	0.19	7.00	Translocation	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of ramp leading to flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation / Transplantation
51	238	Mahogany	0.41	7.00	Translocation	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of ramp leading to flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation / Transplantation
52	239	Mahogany	0.25	7.00	Translocation	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of ramp leading to flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation / Transplantation
53	243	Tabebuia rosea	0.20	7.00	Translocation	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of ramp leading to flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation / Transplantation
54	247	Akash mallige	0.26	7.00	Translocation	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of pillar / retention wall leading to flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation / Transplantation
55	248	Akash mallige	0.26	7.00	Translocation	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of pillar / retention wall leading to flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation / Transplantation
56	249	Tabebuia rosea	0.45	7.00	Translocation	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of pillar / retention wall leading to flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation / Transplantation
57	262	Tabebuia rosea	0.19	7.00	Translocation	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of pillar / retention wall leading to flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation / Transplantation
58	264	Mahogany	0.15	7.00	Translocation	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of pillar / retention wall leading to flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation / Transplantation
59	266	Mahogany	0.15	7.00	Translocation	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of pillar / retention wall leading to flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation / Transplantation

SI No	Tree No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
60	293	Tabebuia rosea	0.36	7.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
61	294	Tabebuia rosea	0.53	7.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
62	324	Honge	0.17	7.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
63	325	Kadu badami	0.24	7.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
64	326	Kadu badami	0.31	7.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
65	327	Kadu badami	0.30	7.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
66	329	Mahogany	0.30	7.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
67	332	Mahogany	0.40	7.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
68	333	Mahogany	0.68	7.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
69	336	Akash mallige	0.70	7.00	Translocation	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movem ent within the barricaded area, therefore the tree can not be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualify for translocation/transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation

SI No	Tree No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
70	337	Akash mallige	0.70	7.00	Translocation	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualify for translocation/transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
71	340 340A	Atthi mara	0.91 0.76	4.00 5.50	Translocation	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualify for translocation/transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
72	341	Honge	0.20 0.23	1.70 2.00	Translocation	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualify for translocation/transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
73	342 342A 342B 342C	Atthi mara	0.36 0.38 0.40 0.20	6.00 6.00 7.00 2.00	Translocation	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The fiel health condition of the tree qualify for translocation/transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
74	344	Dali chandra	0.29	8.00	Translocation	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualify for translocation/transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
75	356	Alstromea macrophyllaia	0.68	12	Translocation	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
76	362	Dali chandra	0.54	2.5	Translocation	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation

SI No	Tree	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
77	363	Alstromea macrophyllaia	0.38	10.00	Translocation	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
78	364	Dali chandra	0.41	9.00	Translocation	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualify for translocation / transplantation, Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
79	365 365A	Alstromea macrophyllaia	0.42 0.42	11.00	Translocation	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualify for translocation/transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
80	366	Dali chandra	0.50	11.00	Translocation	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualify for translocation/transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
81	367	Alstromea macrophyllaia	0.67	13.00	Translocation	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualify for translocation/transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
82	369	Alstromea macrophyllaia	0.27	12.00	Translocation	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualify for translocation /transplantation Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
83	371	Dali chandra	0.28	12.00	Translocation	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation

ŞI No	Tree	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
84	373	Dali chandra	0.51	12.00	Translocation	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
85	382	Tabebuia rosea	0.56	12.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
86	383	Tabebuia rosea	0.34	12.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
87	384	Bhadrakshi	0.40	12.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
88	387	Mahogany	0.62	12.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
89	388	Tabebuia rosea	0.78	12.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
90	389	Tabebuia rosea	0.51	12.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
91	390	Mahogany	0.55	12.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
92	393	Mahogany	0.62	12.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualify for translocation / transplantation.Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation

SI No	Tree No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
93	394	Tabebuia rosea	0.51	12.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
94	395	Mahogany	0.30	12.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
95	399	Mahogany	0.35	12.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
96	403 403 A	Dali chandra	0.34 0.18	10.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
97	404	Dali chandra	0.45	7.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
98	406	Dali chandra	0.34	7.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
99	407	Spathodea	0.50	7.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualify for translocation / transplantation.Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
100	420 420A 420B 420C	Dali chandra	0.5 0.65 0.23 0.27	15.00 14.00 8.00 6.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is multiforked, however the trunk no. 420 (a) is recommended for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
101	421 421A	Mahogany	0.33 0.18	8.00 2.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
102	424 424A	Tabebuia rosea	0.24 0.13	6.00 6.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation

SI No	Tree	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
103	426	Tabebuia rosea	0.46	4.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated — 6m/7m — approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation /Translocation
104	427	Tabebuia rosea	0.47	8.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
105	428	Mahogany	0.57	10.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
106	429 429A 429B	Mahogany	0.57 0.36 0.33	12.00 11.00 1.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
107	430	Mahogany	0.50	11.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
108	432	Tabebuia rosea	0.58	13.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
109	440	Dali chandra	0.91	13.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation/transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
110	445	Tabebuia rosea	0.98	13.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
111	446	Tabebuia rosea	0.57	10.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation /transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
112	456 456A	Tabebuia rosea	0.4 0.45	11.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is forked; however not much included barks are seen in the forked region, and therefore the tree is recommended for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation

SI No	Tree	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
113	459	Ealchi	0.19	10.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
114	461 461A	Dali chandra	0.19 0.26	8.00 3.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
115	470 470A 470B	Dali chandra	0.17	13.00 10.00 1.50	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
116	472	Rain tree	0.44	3.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
117	475	Tabebuia rosea	0.15	10.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
118	480	Honge	0.38	1.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
119	482 482A	Honge	0.38	8.00 6.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
120	483	Honge	0.15	10.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation.Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
121	485	Dali chandra	0.21	5.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation

SI No	Tree	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
122	488	Honge	0.34	6.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated — 6m/7m — approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
123	490	Honge	0.53	6.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
124	491 491A	Honge	0.53 0.31	10.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
	400		0.24	8.00		The tree is present within the construction area
125	492 492A 492b	Honge	0.15	8.00	Translocation	proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree
	492c		0.21	8.00		qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
126	493	Honge	0.27	8.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
127	494	Honge	0.22	7.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
128	495	Honge	0.15	6.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
129	496	Honge	0.23	6.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
130	498	Dali chandra	0.25	8.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / translocation. Recommendation: Translocation
131	499	Dali chandra	0.32	9.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation

SI No	Tree	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
132	501	Dali chandra	0.23	8.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
133	504	Mahogany	0.17	8.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
134	507	Mahogany	0.30	8.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
135	509	Honge	0.37	6.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
136	513	Dali chandra	0.40	11.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
137	520	Kadu hunase	0.28	11.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
138	523	Honge	0.48 0.50	10.00	Translocation	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The field / health condition of the tree qualifies the tree for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation / Translocation
139	619	Kadu hunase	0.21	5.00	Translocation	The tree is coming in medium, young& healty tree. Hence recommended for transplation.Recommendation: Translocation
140	621	Kadu hunase	0.29	3.5	Translocation	Tree is young & healthy condition. One branch can be removed and main tree is recommended for transplantation Recommendation: Transplantation
141	671	Honge	0.24	5.00	Translocation	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for Translocation Recommendation: Translocation
142	685	Kadu hunase	0.15	5.00	Translocation	Tree is coming in the median, young & healthy. Hence recommended for transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation
143	698 698A	Honge	0.15 0.15	2.00 2.00	Translocation	Tree coming in median, forked not healthy. Hence recommended for transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation
144	702	Honge	0.15	3.00	Translocation	Tree is young & healthy . hence recommended for transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation

SI No	Tree	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
145	708	Rain tree	0.22	3.50	Translocation	Tree is young & healthy . hence recommended for transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation
146	709	Honge	0.20	3.50	Translocation	Tree is young & healthy . hence recommended for transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation
147	715	Honge	0.18	2.00	Translocation	Tree is young & healthy . hence recommended for transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation
148	716	Honge	0.20	2.00	Translocation	Tree is young & healthy . hence recommended for transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation
149	718	Honge	0.19	3.00	Translocation	Tree is young & healthy . hence recommended for transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation
150	719	Honge	0.27	2.00	Translocation	Tree is young & healthy . hence recommended for transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation
151	722	Honge	0.23	4.50	Translocation	Tree is young & healthy . hence recommended for transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation
152	723	Honge	0.60	5.00	Translocation	Tree is young & healthy . hence recommended for transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation
153	724	Tabebuia rosea	0.43	11.00	Translocation	Tree is young & healthy . hence recommended for transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation
	725	Tabebuia	0.43	9.00		Tree is young & healthy . hence recommended for
154	725A	rosea	0.40	8.00	Translocation	transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation
155	726	Honge	0.43	7.50	Translocation	Tree is young & healthy . hence recommended for transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation
156	727	Honge	0.23	4.50	Translocation	Tree is young & healthy . hence recommended for transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation
	728	Tabebuia	0.51	11.00		Tree is young & healthy . hence recommended for
157	728A	rosea	0.33	9.00	Translocation	transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation
158	729	Honge	1.90	6.00	Translocation	Tree is young & healthy . hence recommended for transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation
159	731	Rain tree	0.36	17.00	Translocation	Tree is young & healthy, hence recommended for transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation
160	732	Honge	0.20	4.00	Translocation	Tree is young & healthy . hence recommended for transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation

SI No	Tree No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
161	733	Honge	0.25	1.70	Translocation	Tree is young & healthy . hence recommended for transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation
162	734	Honge	0.27	2.30	Translocation	Tree is young & healthy, hence recommended for transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation
163	735	Honge	0.31	2.50	Translocation	Tree is young & healthy . hence recommended for transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation
164	736	Honge	0.60	4.00	Translocation	Tree is young & healthy . hence recommended for transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation
165	737	Subabul	0.32	4.00	Translocation	Tree is young & healthy . hence recommended for transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation
166	743	Tabebuia rosea	0.22	0.80	Translocation	The tree is falling on Metro alignment and it will obstruct the construction of AGARA to IBLUR Portal (viaduct portion) Tree is young & healthy hence recommended for transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation
167	748	Tabebuia rosea	0.15	4.00	Translocation	Tree is young & healthy. Hence recommended for transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation
168	752	Honge	0.24	2.00	Translocation	Tree is young & healthy . hence recommended for transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation
	766	Arali	0.17	6.00		Tree is young & healthy . hence recommended for transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation
169	766 A	Arali	0.32	6.00	Translocation	
	766 B	Arali	0.18	6.00		
170	770	Honge	0.19	2.00	Translocation	Tree is young & healthy . hence recommended for transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation
171	771	Honge	0.18	3.50	Translocation	Tree is young & healthy . hence recommended for transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation
. 50		Honge	1.50	1.50		The tree is falling on Metro alignment and it will obstruct the construction of IBLUR to BELLENDUR (viad uct portion)
172	774		1.50	1.50	Translocation	Tree is young & healthy . hence recommended for transplantation Recommendation: Translocation
	775	Honge	0.31	6.00		Tree is young & healthy . hence recommended for
173		Honge	1.40	6.00	Translocation	transplantation Recommendation: Translocation
	776	Honge	0.39	5.00		Tree is young & healthy . hence recommended for
174	776	Honge	0.29	5.00	Translocation	transplantation Recommendation: Translocation
175	(a) 778	Honge	0.30	3.00	Translocation	Tree is young & healthy . hence recommended for transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation

ŞI No	Tree	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
176	779	Honge	0.27	3.00	Translocation	Tree is young & healthy. Hence recommended for transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation
1.77	780	Honge	0.25	3.00		Tree is young & healthy. Hence recommended for
177	780 (a)	Honge	0.36	3.00	Translocation	transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation
178	781	Honge	0.40	4.00	Translocation	Tree is young & healthy . hence recommended for transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation
179	782	Honge	0.34	4.5	Translocation	Tree is young & healthy, hence recommended for transplantation.
	782 A	Honge	0.23	4.00	Transfocation	Recommendation: Transplantation
	783	Honge	0.22	6.00		Tree is young & healthy . hence recommended for
180	783 (a)	Honge	0.36	5.00	Translocation	transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation
	784	Honge	0.22	2.50	Translocation Tree trans Translocation Tree trans Reco	Tree is young & healthy . hence recommended for
181	784 (a)	Honge	0.40	3.00	Translocation	transplantation.Recommendation: Transplantation
182	785	Honge	0.46	2.00	Translocation	Tree is young & healthy . hence recommended for transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation
183	786	Honge	0.25	2.00	Translocation	Tree is young & healthy . hence recommended for transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation
184	787	Honge	0.19	4.00	Translocation	Tree is young & healthy . hence recommended for transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation
185	788	Honge	0.16	1.50	Translocation	Tree is young & healthy . hence recommended for transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation
186	789	Honge	0.30	1.50	Translocation	Tree is young & healthy . hence recommended for transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation
187	790	Honge	0.25	2.00	Translocation	Tree is young & healthy. Hence recommended for transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation
	791	Honge	0.18	2.00		Tree is young & healthy. Hence recommended for
188	791 (a)	Honge	0.31	2.50	Translocation	transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation
189	792	Honge	0.32	2.00	Translocation	Tree is young & healthy. Hence recommended for transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation
190	793	Honge	0.22	6.00	Translocation	Tree is young & healthy . hence recommended for transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation
191	794	Honge	0.21	2.00	Translocation	Tree is young & healthy . hence recommended for transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation
	795	Honge	0.16	3.00		Tree is young & healthy . hence recommended for
192	795 (a)	Honge	0.29	3.00	Translocation	transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation
193	796	Honge	0.18	4.00	Translocation	The tree is falling on Metro alignment and it will obstruct the construction of IBLUR to BELLENDUR (viaduct portion) Tree is young & healthy hence recommended for transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation

Justification		TEC Recommendation	Height (m)	Girth (m)	Species Name	Tree No.	SI No
Tree is young & healthy . hence recommended for transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation		2.50	0.21	Honge	798		
	Recommendation:	Translocation	2.00	0.71	Honge	798 (a)	194
ealthy. Hence recommended for Transplantation	Tree is young & he transplantation. Recommendation:	Translocation	11.00	0.38	Neelgiri	799	195
ealthy. Hence recommended for			5.40	0.35	Honge	801	
Transplantation	transplantation. Recommendation:	Translocation	5.50	0.39	Honge	801 A	196
Transplantation	Recommendation:		5.00	1.06	Honge	801 B	
on Metro alignment and it will uction of Kadubeesanahalli ealthy. Hence recommended for commendation: Transplantation	obstruct the constr station Tree is young & he transplantation. Re	Translocation	8.50	0.40	Hippe	802	197
ealthy. Hence recommended for			6.00	0.28	Rain tree	809	
Translocation	transplantation. Recommendation:	Translocation	3.00	0.37	Rain tree	809 A	198
			6.00	0.25	Rain tree	809 B	
ealthy. Hence recommended for commendation: Translocation	transplantation.Re	Translocation	4.50	0.29	Rain tree	812	199
ealthy. Hence recommended for		Tl	4.00	0.37	Rain tree	813	
Translocation	transplantation. Recommendation:	Translocation	6.00	0.21	Rain tree	813 A	200
& healthy . hence recommended	The tree is young		4.00	0.22	Honge	815	
for transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation	Translocation	4.00	0.30	Honge	815 A	201	
ealthy . hence recommended for		Translocation	3.50	0.19	Honge	816	202
althy. Hence recommended for Translocation	tree is young & he transplantation. Recommendation:	Translocation	4.00	0.25	Rain tree	819	203
ealthy. Hence recommended for	Tree is young & he transplantation. Recommendation:	Translocation	4.00	0.22	Rain tree	820	204
ralthy. Hence recommended for Translocation	tree is young & he transplantation. Recommendation:	Translocation	4.50	0.26	Rain tree	821	205
althy. Hence recommended for	tree is young & healthy. Hence recommended fo		3.50	0.17	Honge	822	
transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation	Translocation	3.50	0.18	Honge	822 A	206	
nealthy . hence recommended for		Translocation	3.00	0.23	Honge	823	207
ealthy. hence recommended for Translocation	Tree is young & he transplantation. Recommendation:	Translocation	3.20	0.39	Honge	825	208
	Tree is young & h recommended for Recommendation:	Translocation	5.00	0.23	Honge	826	209
ealthy condition, hence			3.80	0.23	Honge	827	
recommended for transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation	Translocation	4.00	0.21	Honge	827 A	210	

SI No	Tree	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
211	832	Tabebuia rosea	0.85	12.00	Translocation	Tree is young & healthy condition . hence recommended for transplantation. Recommendation: Transplantation
212	833	Tabebuia rosea	0.36	5.50	Translocation	The tree is falling on Metro alignment and it will obstruct the construction of Kadubeesanahalli - kodibeesanahalli (viaduct portion) Tree is young & healthy condition . hence recommended for transplantation. Recommendation: Translocation

Total no. of trees recommended
Total no. of trees recommended for Translocation

212 Nos.

DEPUTY CONSERVATOR OF FORESTS
BRUHAT BANGALORE MAJANAGARA PALIKE
BENCALURU.



PARTICULARS ON TREES TO BE FELLED*

(to be prepared in compliance to Step 9 of the Memorandum of Procedure of TEC)

Name of the user agency Bangalore Metro Rail Corporation Limited Construction of Elevated Viaduct from Central Silk Board (CSB) Junction to Kodibeesanahalli (Upto Pier No. 335) on ORR (Package- 1) Extent of the project area 19.633 Km Central Silk Board (CSB) Junction to Kodibeesanahalli Metro Station (Up to pier No. 335) on ORR Start Point Lat: N 12° 54′ 59.054″; Long: E 78° 22′ 56.221″ End Point Lat: N 12° 56′ 40.45″; Long: E 77° 41′ 53.78″ Number of tree(s) enumerated in the project area Number of tree(s) recommended for felling		
Silk Board (CSB) Junction to Kodibeesanahalli (Upto Pier No. 335) on ORR (Package- 1) Extent of the project area 19.633 Km Location of the project area Central Silk Board (CSB) Junction to Kodibeesanahalli Metro Station (Up to pier No. 335) on ORR Start Point Lat: N 12° 54' 59.054"; Long: E 78° 22' 56.221" End Point Lat: N 12° 56' 40.45"; Long: E 77° 41' 53.78" Number of tree(s) enumerated in theproject area Number of tree(s) recommended	Name of the user agency	Bangalore Metro Rail Corporation Limited
(Upto Pier No. 335) on ORR (Package- 1) Extent of the project area 19.633 Km Central Silk Board (CSB) Junction to Kodibeesanahalli Metro Station (Up to pier No. 335) on ORR Start Point Lat: N 12° 54' 59.054"; Long: E 78° 22' 56.221" End Point Lat: N 12° 56' 40.45"; Long: E 77° 41' 53.78" Number of tree(s) enumerated in theproject area Number of tree(s) recommended	Purpose of the project	Construction of Elevated Viaduct from Central
Extent of the project area 19.633 Km Central Silk Board (CSB) Junction to Kodibeesanahalli Metro Station (Up to pier No. 335) on ORR Start Point Lat: N 12° 54' 59.054"; Long: E 78° 22' 56.221" End Point Lat: N 12° 56' 40.45"; Long: E 77° 41' 53.78" Number of tree(s) enumerated in theproject area Number of tree(s) recommended 577		Silk Board (CSB) Junction to Kodibeesanahalli
Location of the project area Central Silk Board (CSB) Junction to Kodibeesanahalli Metro Station (Up to pier No. 335) on ORR Start Point Lat: N 12° 54′ 59.054″; Long: E 78° 22′ 56.221″ End Point Lat: N 12° 56′ 40.45″; Long: E 77° 41′ 53.78″ Number of tree(s) enumerated in theproject area Number of tree(s) recommended		(Upto Pier No. 335) on ORR (Package- 1)
Kodibeesanahalli Metro Station (Up to pier No. 335) on ORR Start Point Lat: N 12° 54′ 59.054″; Long: E 78° 22′ 56.221″ End Point Lat: N 12° 56′ 40.45″; Long: E 77° 41′ 53.78″ Number of tree(s) enumerated in theproject area Number of tree(s) recommended	Extent of the project area	19.633 Km
Start Point Lat: N 12° 54' 59.054"; Long: E 78° 22' 56.221" End Point Lat: N 12° 56' 40.45"; Long: E 77° 41' 53.78" Number of tree(s) enumerated in theproject area Number of tree(s) recommended 577	Location of the project area	Central Silk Board (CSB) Junction to
Start Point Lat: N 12° 54' 59.054"; Long: E 78° 22' 56.221" End Point Lat: N 12° 56' 40.45"; Long: E 77° 41' 53.78" Number of tree(s) enumerated in theproject area Number of tree(s) recommended 577		Kodibeesanahalli Metro Station (Up to pier No.
Lat: N 12° 54′ 59.054″; Long: E 78° 22′ 56.221″ End Point Lat: N 12° 56′ 40.45″; Long: E 77° 41′ 53.78″ Number of tree(s) enumerated in theproject area Number of tree(s) recommended 577		335) on ORR
Lat: N 12° 54′ 59.054″; Long: E 78° 22′ 56.221″ End Point Lat: N 12° 56′ 40.45″; Long: E 77° 41′ 53.78″ Number of tree(s) enumerated in theproject area Number of tree(s) recommended 577		
End Point Lat: N 12° 56′ 40.45″; Long: E 77° 41′ 53.78″ Number of tree(s) enumerated in theproject area Number of tree(s) recommended 577		Start Point
Lat: N 12° 56′ 40.45″; Long: E 77° 41′ 53.78″ Number of tree(s) enumerated in theproject area Number of tree(s) recommended 577		Lat: N 12° 54' 59.054"; Long : E 78° 22' 56.221"
Number of tree(s) enumerated in the project area Number of tree(s) recommended 577		End Point
theproject area Number of tree(s) recommended 577		Lat: N 12° 56' 40.45"; Long: E 77° 41' 53.78"
Number of tree(s) recommended 577	Number of tree(s) enumerated in	000
577	theproject area	833
	Number of tree(s) recommended	
	forfelling	577

* Note: List of the trees to be felled containing details of kind/species, girth, height, GPS coordinates should be appended to this template. These details should be extracted from relevant parts of Template 2.

Date

Tree Officer
Deputy Conservation of Forests
Bruhat Bangalore Mahanagara Palike

Recommendations and Justifications for Felling by Tree Officer, BBMP

Application No.: BMRCL/Advisor-Civil/ORR/Ph-2A/2021/1329 dtd 12.06.2021

Project Area: Central Silk Board (CSB) Junction to Kodibeesanahalli Metro Station (Up to Pier No. 335) on ORR

	(Up to Pier No. 335) on ORR							
SI No	Tree	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification		
1	3	Cherry	0.75	6.00	Felling	The tree is present in the proposed site for construction of pillar (EP 03) for D Ramp; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is already dried and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling		
2	5	Honge	0.48	6.00	Felling	The tree is present in the proposed site for construction of pillar (EP 02) for D Ramp; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree was noticed with severe mechanical damage; therefore the tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling		
3	6	Gulmohar	0.42	10.00	Felling	The tree is present in the proposed site for construction of pillar (EP 02) for D Ramp; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The roots of the trees are exposed and roots are constricted between the newly constructed drainage and old drainage channel; therefore the tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling		
4	8	Spathodea	1.40	15.00	Felling	The tree is present in the proposed site for construction of pillar (EP 02) for D Ramp; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The roots of the trees are exposed and roots are constricted between the newly constructed drainage and old drainage channel; therefore the tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling		
5	10	Mahagony	1.16	17.00	Felling	The tree is present in the proposed site for construction of drainage channel; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The canopy of the tree is removed / topped; therefore the tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling		
6	12	Kadamba	1.24	11.00	Felling	The tree is present in the proposed site for construction of pillar (D 04) for Ramp; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) is less and severely constricted by concrete structures all around the collar region, therefore the tree cannot be transplanted /translocated. Recommendation: Felling		
7	13	Kadamba	1.34	15.00	Felling	The tree is present in the proposed site for construction of pillar (D 04) for Ramp; there fore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is with basal knots (possibility for internal decay), therefore the tree cannot be transplanted /translocated. Recommendation: Felling		
8	14	Kadamba	1.20	13.00	Felling	The tree is present in the proposed site for construction of pillar (D 04 and 05) for Ramp; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is with basal knots (possibility for internal decay); therefore the tree cannot be transplanted /translocated. Recommendation: Felling		

SI No	Tree No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
9	15	Kadamba	1.28	12.00	Felling	The tree is present in the proposed site for construction of pillar (D 05) for Ramp; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is with basal knots (possibility for internal decay); therefore the tree cannot be transplanted /translocated.Recommendation: Felling
10	19	Ashoka	0.53	10.00	Felling	The tree is present in the proposed site for construction of drainage channel for storm water drainage; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is present close to tree no. 20; therefore the tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
11	20	Ashoka	0.50	10.00	Felling	The tree is present in the proposed site for construction of drainage channel for storm water drainage; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is present close and between tree no. 19 & 21; therefore the tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
12	21	Ashoka	0.64	10.00	Felling	The tree is present in the proposed site for construction of drainage channel for storm water drainage; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is present close and between tree no. 20 & 22; therefore the tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
13	22	Ashoka	0.58	11.00	Felling	The tree is present in the proposed site for construction of drainage channel for storm water drainage; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is present close and between tree no. 21 & 23; therefore the tree does not qualify for translocation/transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
14	23	Subabul	0.81	9.00	Felling	The tree is present in the proposed site for construction of drainage channel for storm water drainage; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is present close and between tree no. 22 & 24; therefore the tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
15	24	Ashoka	0.46	11.00	Felling	The tree is present in the proposed site for construction of drainage channel for storm water drainage; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is present close to tree no. 23; therefore the tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
16	29	Bottle brush	1.30	18	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of pillar (ORP 10); therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured, forked and
	29 (a)	Bottle brush	1.07	19		does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
17	30	Spathodea	1.80	15.00	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of pillar (D 05) for flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
18	31	Spathodea	1.36	14.00	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of pillar (A 16) for flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does
	31 (a)	Spathodea	0.56	2.00		not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling

SI No	Tre No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
19	36	Hebbevu	1.43	10.00	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of road widening and construction of pillar (Ap 31); therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
20	39	Hebbevu	1.34	14.00	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of pillar (D 21) for flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
21	40	Hebbevu	1.00	10.00	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of pillar (D 21) for flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
22	44	Spathodea	1.17	10.00	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of pillar (D 23) for flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
	45	Gulmohar	1.76	11.00		The tree is present in the site proposed for
23	45 (a)	Gulmohar	1.30	10.00	Felling	construction of pillar (D 24) for flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does
	45 (b)	Gulmohar	1.37	10.00		not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
24	46	Akash mallige	1.20	15.00	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of Metro Station (CSB); therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
25	47	Akash mallige	1.03	12.00	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of Metro Station (CSB); therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
26	48	Akash mallige	1.07	13.00	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of Metro Station (CSB); therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
27	49	Akash mallige	1.27	15.00	Felling	The Tree is falling on the Metro alignment and it will obstruct the Construction of Kodibeesanahalli Metro sation building works. Tree is multiple branched, not healthy condition. Hence recommended for Felling Recommendation: Felling
28	51	Akash mallige	1.06	12.00	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of Metro Station (CSB); therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
29	53	Akash mallige	1.12	15.00	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of Metro Station (CSB); therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
30	54	Akash mallige	1.10	13.00	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of Metro Station (CSB); therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does
	54 (a)	Akash mallige	1.00	13.00		not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling

SI No	Tree No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
31	55	Cherry	0.60	6.00	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of ramp leading to Metro Station (CSB); there fore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and bent on one side and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
	56	Honge	0.46	6.00		The tree is present in the site proposed for construct ion of ramp leading to Metro Station (CSB); there
32	56 (a)	Honge	0.55	7.00	Felling	fore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is multifor
	56 (b)	Honge	0.49	6.00		ked with weak branch union and does not qualify for translocation/transplantation. Recommend: Felling
33	57	Honge	0.30	1.50	Felling	The tree is present near to the site proposed for construction of ramp and pillar (C 04) for flyover leading to Metro Station (CSB); therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and bent on one side and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
34	58	Cherry	0.50	5.00	Felling	The tree is present near to the site proposed for construction of ramp and pillar (C 04) for flyover leading to Metro Station (CSB); therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and bent on one side and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
35	59	Spathodea	1.20	9.00	Felling	The tree is present near to the site proposed for construction of ramp and pillar (C 04) for flyover leading to Metro Station (CSB); therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and bent on one side and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
36	60	Spathodea	1.73	12.00	Felling	The tree is present near to the site proposed for construction of ramp and pillar (C 04) for flyover leading to Metro Station (CSB); therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and bent on one side and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
37	62	Peltophorum	1.50	11.50	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of pillar (D 26) for flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
38	64	Gulmohar	2.30	8.00	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of pillar (D 27) for flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
39	75	Akash mallige	0.90	16.00	Felling	The tree is present in the proposed site for construction of pillar (D 32) for flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The base / collar region of the tree is with decay symptoms; therefore the tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
40	76	Akash mallige	0.23	7.00	Felling	The tree is present in the proposed site for construction of pillar (D 32) for flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The base/collar region of the tree is with decay symptoms; therefore the tree does not qualify for translocation/transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
41	78	Gulmohar	1.63		Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of pillar (D 33) for flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation.
	78 (a)	Gulmohar	1.12			Recommendation: Felling

SI No	Tree No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
42	83	Spathodea	1.53	15.00	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of pillar (D 34) for flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does
	83(a)	Spathodea	0.36	4.00		not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
43	86	Gulmohar	1.25	8.00	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of pillar (D 35) for flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured / forked and does not qualify for translocation /
	86(a)	Gulmohar	1.86	6.00		transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
44	89	Honge	1.80	2.50	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of pillar (D 36) for flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured, forked
	89(a)	Honge	1.50	2.50		with weak branch union and canker symptoms, therefore does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
	90	Honge	0.22	4.00		The tree is present in the site proposed for
	90 (a)	Honge	0.19	4.00		construction of pillar (D 36) for flyover; therefore the
45	90 (b)	Honge	0.19	4.00	Felling	tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured, multiforked with weak branch union and canker symptoms, therefore does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
46	97	Gulmohar	1.92	10.00	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of pillar (D 38) for flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
	101	Honge	0.35	8.00	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of pillar (D 39) for flyover; therefore the
47	101(a)	Honge	0.24	6.00		tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured, multiforked with weak branch union and canker
	101 (b)	Honge	0.29	6.00		symptoms, therefore does not qualify for
	101 ©	Honge	0.25	5.00		translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
48	102	Gulmohar	1.45	7.00	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of pillar (near to D 39 & 40) for flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and also more in height therefore does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
49	103	Silver oak	1.65	15.00	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of pillar (near to D 39 & 40) for flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and also more in height therefore does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
50	104	Honge	1.42	12.00	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of pillar (near to D 39 & 40) for flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and also more in height therefore does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
51	105	Gulmohar	1.42	9.00	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of pillar (D 40) for flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling

SI No	Tree No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
52	106	Gulmohar	2.73	9.00	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of pillar (D 40) for flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
53	112	Honge	0.36		Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of solid ramp and retaining wall for flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured, multiforked with weak branch union
Ų J	112 (a)	Honge	0.30			and canker symptoms, therefore does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
54	114	Akash mallige	0.94	7.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is decayed and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
55	115	Akash mallige	0.84	9.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
	116	Akash mallige	0.57	8.00		The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides
56	116 (a)	Akash mallige	0.53	9.00	Felling	(4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is multiforked with
	116 (b)	Akash mallige	0.38	7.00		weak branch union and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
57	117	Akash mallige	0.77	10.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is decayed and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
58	118	Akash mallige	0.77	9.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the
	118 (a)	Akash mallige	0.57	7.00		tree cannot be retained. The tree is forked with weak branch union and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
59	l 19	Akash mallige	1.00	13.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling

SI No	Tree No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
	120	Akash mallige	0.60	9.00		The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides
60	120(a)	Akash mallige	0.60	10.00	Felling	(4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
(1	121	Akash mallige	0.76	12.00	B. III	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery
61	121(a)	Akash mallige	0.73	11.00	Felling	movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is forked and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
62	122	Akash mallige	0.90	13.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
63	123	Akash mallige	0.90	11.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
64	124	Akash mallige	1.07	14.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and decayed and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
65	125	Akash mallige	0.90	16.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
66	126	Akash mallige	0.78	11.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is slanting and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling

SI No	Tree No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
67	127	Akash mallige	1.00	15.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and decayed and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
68	129	Akash mallige	0.45	2.50	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is dried completely and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
69	130	Akash mallige	0.82	12.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and decayed and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
70	132	Akash mallige	1.20	13.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and decayed and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
}	133	Akash mallige	0.25	8.00		The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery
71	133 (a)	Akash mallige	0.32	6.00	Felling	movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is multiforked and
	133 (b)	Akash mallige	0.20	5.00		very close to tree no. 134 and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
72	134	Akash mallige	0.35	6.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is very close to tree no. 133 and 135 and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
73	135	Akash mallige	1.08	14.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and very close to tree no. 134 and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling

SI No	Tree No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
	136	Akash mallige	0.73	12.00		The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides
74	136(a)	Akash mallige	0.57	9.00	Felling	(4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is forked with weak branch union and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
75	137	Akash mallige	0.84	11.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is with weak branch union at the forked region and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
76	138	Akash mallige	0.98	13.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and decayed and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
77	139	Akash mallige	0.42	10.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is with weak branch union at forked regions and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
78	140	Akash mallige	0.96	14.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and decayed and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
79	141	Akash mallige	0.87	10.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is decayed and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
80	142	Akash mallige	0.92	11.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and decayed and does not qualify for translocation/transplantation. Recommendation: Felling

SI No	Tree No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
81	143	Akash mallige	1.09	13.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and decayed and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
82	145	Akash mallige	1.36	15.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and decayed and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
83	146	Akash mallige	0.88	14.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and decayed and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
84	147	Akash mallige	1.23	15.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and decayed and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation.Recommendation: Felling
85	148	Akash mallige	1.25	13.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and decayed and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
86	149	Akash mallige	1.12	15.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and decayed and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
87	150	Akash mallige	0.23	6.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the
	150 (a)	Akash mallige	0.26	6.00		tree cannot be retained. The tree is forked with weak branch union and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling

SI No	Tree	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
88	151	Akash mallige	1.20	15.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and decayed and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
89	152	Akash mallige	1.30	14.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and decayed and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
90	153	Akash mallige	1.12	14.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and decayed and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
91	154	Akash mallige	1.38	13.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and decayed and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
92	155	Akash mallige	0.80	12.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar-7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is close to tree no. 156, matured and does not qualify for translocate on/transplantation Recommendation: Felling
93	156	Akash mallige	0.82	13.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is close to tree no. 155, matured and does not qualify for translocate on/transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
94	157	Akash mallige	0.85	13.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured with severe termite infestation and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation Recommendation: Felling

SI No	Tree No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
95	158	Akash mallige	1.08	15.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
96	160	Akash mallige	0.80	13.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery
70	160 (a)	Akash mallige	0.63	11.00	7 0111115	movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is multiforked and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation.
	160 (b)	Akash mallige	0.60	9.00		Recommendation: Felling
97	161	Akash mallige	1.00	14.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured with decay symptoms at base and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
98	162	Akash mallige	0.74	12.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and present close to tree no. 163 and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
99	163	Akash mallige	0.96	14.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and present close to tree no. 162 and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
100	164	Akash mallige	1.10	12.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and slanting and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
101	166	Akash mallige	0.86	10.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured with decay symptoms and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling

SI No	Ttee	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
102	167	Akash mallige	1.00	11.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation/transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
103	168	Akash mallige	0.86	11.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
104	169	Akash mallige	1.02	12.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured with decay symptoms at base and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
105	170	Akash mallige	0.67	8.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The roots of the tree are severely constricted and do not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
106	171	Akash mallige	0.45	6.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not have space for excavation of applicable size of root ball and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
107	173	Akash mallige	0.93	14.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
	174	Akash mallige	0.70	10.00		The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work
	174 (a)	Akash mallige	0.80	8.00		for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides
108	174 (b)	Akash mallige	0.43	6.00	Falling	(4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the
100	174 (c) 174 (d)	Akash mallige Akash mallige	0.24	5.00 4.00	Felling	tree cannot be retained. The tree is multiforked and
5	174 (e)	Akash mallige	0.17	5.00		does not qualify for translocation / transplantation.
	174 (f)	Akash mallige	0.16	4.00		Recommendation: Felling

SI No	Tree	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
	175	Akash mallige	0.86	13.00		The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery
109	175 (a)	Akash mallige	0.60	11.00	Felling	movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and forked and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
110	176	Akash mallige	0.86	12.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery
	176 (a)	Akash mallige	0.83	11.00	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and forked and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
111	177	Akash mallige	0.86	10.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured with decay symptoms and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
112	178	Akash mallige	1.10	11.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
113	179	Akash mallige	0.98	11.00	Felling	The root stubs of the tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is fallen and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
114	180	Akash mallige	1.10	14.00	Felling	The root stubs of the tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is fallen and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
115	181	Akash mallige	0.78	13.00	Felling	The root stubs of the tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is fallen and does not qualify for translocation transplantation. Recommendation: Felling

SI No	Tree No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
116	180	Akash mallige	0.23	5.00	Felling	The root stubs of the tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is fallen and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
117	183	Akash mallige	1.32	14.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured with decay symptoms and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation.Recommendation: Felling
118	1 84	Akash mallige	0.73	12.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured with decay symptoms and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
119	185	Akash mallige	1.02	16.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
120	188	Akash mallige	1.70	12.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
121	190	Akash mallige	1.04	17.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured with termite infestation and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
122	191	Akash mallige	0.96	13.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured with decay symptoms and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling

SI No	Tree No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
	192	Akash mallige	0.66	10.00		The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery
123	192 (a)	Akash mallige	0.25	5.00	Felling	movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is forked with weak branch union and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
124	193	Akash mallige	0.65	11.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is forked with weak
	193 (a)	Akash mallige	0.17	4.00		branch union and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
125	195	Akash mallige	0.75	13.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured with termite infestation and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation.Recommendation: Felling
126	196	Akash mallige	1,10	13.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured with termite infestation and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
127	199	Akash mallige	1.12	15.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured with decay symptoms and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
128	200	Akash mallige	0.90	16.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured with decay symptoms and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
129	201	Akash mallige	0.77	10.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the
	201 (a)	Akash mallige	0.17	1.50		tree cannot be retained. The tree is with weak branch union and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling

SI No	Tree No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
130	202	Akash mallige	0.43	11.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The health condition (defects) of the tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
131	203	Akash mallige	0.77	7.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The base of the tree is conjoined with base of tree no. 204 and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
	204	Akash mallige	0.38	14.00		The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery
132	204(a)	Akash mallige	0.28	12.00	Felling	movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is multiforked and base of the tree is conjoined with base of tree no. 203
	204(b)	Akash mallige	0.78	10.00		and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
133	205	Rain tree	1.45	12.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and leaning towards once side and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
134	206	Akash mallige	0.57	11.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is severely damaged at 4m height from the base and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
135	207	Akash mallige	1.00	12.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
136	208	Subabul	0.20	8.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of importance of species and cost for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling

SI No	Tree No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
137	209	Akash mallige	1.38	15.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and very close to tree no. 210 and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
138	210	Akash mallige	0.19	5.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is very close to tree no. 209 and 211 and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
139	211	Rain tree	1.96	12.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and very close to tree no. 210 and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
140	214	Akash mallige	1.23	16.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured with decay symptoms and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
141	215	Honge	0.62	10.00	- Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the
	215 (a)	Honge	0.66	9.00		tree cannot be retained. The tree is forked with weak branch union and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
	216	Honge	0.48	5.00		The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery
142	216 (a)	Honge	0.45	4.00	Felling	movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is forked with weak branch union and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
143	217	Akash mallige	1.00	14.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling

SI No	Tree No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
144	21	Akash mallige	1.24	12.00	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of ramp leading to flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
145	212	Akash mallige	1.25	11.00	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of ramp leading to flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
	228	Tabebuia rosea	0.47	10.00		The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of ramp leading to flyover; therefore the
146	228(a)	Tabebuia rosea	0.60	8.00	Felling	tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and forked with weak branch union and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
147	229	Shivane	0.40	1.50	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of ramp leading to flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is found with decay symptoms and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
148	230	Akash mallige	1.37	14.00	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of ramp leading to flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
149	232	Kadamba	0.92	9.00	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of ramp leading to flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is with severe knots and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
150	235	Tabebuia rosea	1.10	11.00	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of ramp leading to flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured with constricted roots and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
151	237	Kadamba	1.14	12.00	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of ramp leading to flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is with severe knots and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
152	240	Akash mallige	1.36	13.00	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of ramp leading to flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
153	241	Tabebuia rosea	0.20	2.00	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of ramp leading to flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is broken (only snag was found) and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
154	242	Tabebuia rosea	1.15	12.00	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of ramp leading to flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling

SI No	Tree No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
155	244	Akash mallige	1.25	12.00	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of ramp leading to flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
	245	Tabebuia rosea	0.23	3.00		The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of pillar / retention wall leading to
156	245 (a)	Tabebuia rosea	0.36	5.00	Felling	flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
157	246	Akash mallige	1,13	14.00	Falling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of pillar / retention wall leading to flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The
157	246 (a)	Akash mallige	0.85	15.00	Felling	tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation
	246 (b)	Akash mallige	0.17	2.00	1	/ transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
158	250	Akash mallige	1.23	13.00	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of pillar / retention wall leading to flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
159	251	Akash mallige	1.01	14.00	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of pillar / retention wall leading to flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and the base is conjoined with tree no 252 and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
160	252	Akash mallige	1.21	13.00	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of pillar / retention wall leading to flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The
100	252 (a)	Akash mallige	1.00	7.00	renning	tree is matured and the base is conjoined with tree no 252 and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
	253	Tabebuia rosea	0.48	9.00	Felling construction flyover; the tree is matu	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of pillar / retention wall leading to
161	253 (a)	Tabebuia rosea	1.10	14.00		
162	254	Tabebuia rosea	1.26	15.00	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of pillar / retention wall leading to flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
163	255	Akash mallige	1.05	14.00	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of pillar / retention wall leading to flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured with decay symptoms and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
164	256	Subabul	0.32	1.50	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of pillar / retention wall leading to flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is broken (only snag is present) and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
	257	Akash mallige	1.04	12.00		The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of pillar / retention wall leading to flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The
165	257 (a)	Akash mallige	0.35	8.00	Felling	tree is multiforked and does not qualify for
	257 (b)	Akash mallige	0.34	3.00		translocation / transplantation. Recommendation:

SI No	Tree No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
166	2 iş	Akash mallige	1.03	11.00	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of pillar / retention wall leading to flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured with decay symptoms and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
167	259	Akash mallige	0.97	13.00	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of pillar / retention wall leading to flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The base of the tree is conjoined with tree no. 260 and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
168	260	Gobbara mara	1.18	12.00	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of pillar / retention wall leading to flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The base of the tree is conjoined with tree no. 259 and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
169	261	Akash mallige	1.10	15.00	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of pillar / retention wall leading to flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured with decay symptoms and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
170	263	Tabebuia rosea	1.36	16.00	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of pillar / retention wall leading to flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
171	265	Tabebuia rosea	1.25	14.00	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of pillar / retention wall leading to flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
	267	Ealchi	0.22	2.00		The tree is present in the site proposed for
172	267 (a)	Ealchi	0.20	1.50	Felling	construction of pillar / retention wall leading to flyover; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is forked with defects and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
173	268	Akash mallige	1.35	14.00	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of C Ramp / retention wall; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
174	269	Gulmohar	1.12	10.50	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of service road; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and bent on one side and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
175	270	Baani	1.56	12.50	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of service road; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and slanting in position and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
176	27 1	Gulmohar	2.44	10.00	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of service road; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling

SI No	Tree No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
177	272	Gulmohar	1.85	9.00	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of service road; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured with external decay symptoms and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
178	273	Gulmohar	1.00	9.50	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of electricity control unit (RMU) road; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
179	274	Gulmohar	1.90	8.5	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of service road; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and crooked and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
180	275	Gulmohar	1.67	9.00	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of service road; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and crooked and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
181	276	Spathodea	1.56	10.00	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of service road; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
182	277	Spathodea	1.20	8.00	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of service road; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured with decay symptoms and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
183	278	Gulmohar	1.75	6.50	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of service road; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
184	279	Spathodea	1.90	8.50	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of service road; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured with external decay and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
185	280	Spathodea	1.22	6.50	Felling	The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of service road; therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and crooked and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
	281	Spathodea	0.97	8.00		The tree is present in the site proposed for construction of service road; therefore the tree cannot
186	281 (a) 281 (b)	Spathodea Spathodea	0.94	8.00	Felling	be retained. The tree is matured and multiforked with weak branch union and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
187	284	Gulmohar	1.36	6.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation Recommendation: Felling

SI No	Tree No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
188	285	Tabebuia rosea	0.95	1.30	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
189	286	Gulmohar	2.00	6.5	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
190	287	Gulmohar	1.30	7.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and fork at 2m height from the base and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
191	288	Tabebuia rosea	1.10	13.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and base is conjoined with tree no. 289 and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
192	289	Tabebuia rosea	0.76	8.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and base is conjoined with tree no. 288 and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
193	290	Tabebuia rosea	4,15	10.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
194	291	Tabebuia rosea	1.23	13.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured with decay symptoms and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation.Recommendation: Felling
195	292	Tabebuia rosea	1.26	13.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
	295	Honge	1.05	11.00		The tree is present within the construction area
196	295 (a)	Honge	0.74	6.00	Felling	proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is forked with weak branch union and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
197	296	Tabebuia rosea	1.50	12.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured with decay symptoms and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling

SI No	Tree No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
198	297	Tabebuia rosea	1.05	12.50	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured with decay symptoms and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
	298	Akash mallige	0.42	12.50		The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m –
100	298 (a)	Akash mallige	0.35	6.00	F-lling	approx, height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured with forked branches
199	298 (b)	Akash mallige	0.83	8.50	Felling	and base is conjoined with tree no. 299 and does not
	298 (c)	Akash mallige	0.37	8.00		qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
	299	Akash mallige	0.26	5.00		The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m –
200	299 (a)	Akash mallige	0.16	3.00	Felling	approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and forked with conjoined base with tree no. 298 and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
201	300	Tabebuia rosea	0.77	9.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured with severe termite infestation and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
202	301	Tabebuia rosea	1.04	10.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and base is conjoined with tree no. 302 and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
203	302	Rain tree	0.97	9.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and base is conjoined with tree no. 301 and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
204	303	Tabebuia rosea	0.90	10.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured with canker symptoms and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
205	304	Tabebuia rosea	1,08	11.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured with decay symptoms and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
206	305	Tabebuia rosea	0.94	7.50	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured with decay symptoms and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling

SI No	The No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
207	3%	Tabebuia rosea	0.93	11.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured with severe termite infestation and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
208	307	Spathodea	0.76	9.50	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is completely bent on one side and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
209	308	Tabebuia rosea	0.93	9.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured with canker symptoms and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
210	309	Tabebuia rosea	0.84	8.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured with canker symptoms and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
211	310	Tabebuia rosea	0.76	8.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured with canker symptoms and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
212	311	Tabebuia rosea	1.03	12.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured with canker symptoms and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
213	312	Tabebuia rosea	0.95	14.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured with canker symptoms and constricted roots and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
214	313	Tabebuia rosea	0.83	12.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured with canker symptoms and constricted roots and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation.Recommendation: Felling
215	314	Tabebuia rosea	0.97	8.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured with canker symptoms and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling

SI No	Tree No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
216	315	Tabebuia rosea	0.50	6.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is with canker symptoms and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
217	316	Tabebuia rosea	1.03	10.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured with canker symptoms and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
218	317	Tabebuia rosea	0.74	8.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured with canker symptoms and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
219	318	Tabebuia rosea	0.80	10.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured with canker symptoms and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
220	319	Tabebuia rosea	0.82	8.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured with canker symptoms and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
221	320	Tabebuia rosea	0.70	12.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured with decay symptoms (external hollow) and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
222	321	Tabebuia rosea	0.95	10.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured with decay and canker symptoms and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
223	322	Tabebuia rosea	0.71	8.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is damaged severely and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
224	323	Tabebuia rosea	0.87	7.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured with decay and canker symptoms and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation.Recommendation: Felling
225	328	Gulmohar	0.40	4.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation transplantation from the perspective of importance of species and cost involved for transplantation translocation. Recommendation: Felling

26 | Page

SI No	Tree No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
226	330	Honge	0.30	0.50	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is with severe termite attack indicating the stress behaviour of the tree and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
227	331	Subabul	0.50	0.50	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation transplantation from the perspective of importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling
	335	Spathodea	1.10	9.00		The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m –
228	335(a)	Spathodea	0.90	8.00	Felling	approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and multiforked and
	3 3 5(b)	Spathodea	0.60	6.00		does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
	338	Akash mallige	1.80	8.00		The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides
229	338(a)	Akash mallige	0.37	2.50	Felling	(4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the
	338(b)	Akash mallige	0.25	5.00		tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and multiforked and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
230	339	Subabul	0.52	5.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is crooked and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
231	343	Honge	0.26	2.50	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the
	343 (a)	Honge	0.27	5.50		tree cannot be retained. The tree is with severe defects which may further give stress to the tree in addition to transplantation shock; therefore the tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
232	345	Subabul	0.19	4.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling

SI No	Tree No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
233	346	Subabul	0.34	5.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation/translocation. Recommendation: Felling
234	347	Subabul	0.15	2	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling
235	348	Subabul	0.15	2	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling
236	349	Subabul	0.15	2.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling
237	350	Subabul	0.18	2.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling
238	351	Subabul	0.15	1.50	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling

SI No	Tree No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
239	352	Subabul	0.15	2.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling
	353	Ealchi	0.23	5.00		The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery
240	35 ³ (a)	Ealchi	0.23	4.00	Felling	movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for
	353 (b)	Ealchi	0.15	5.00		translocation / transplantation as the tree is multiforked. Recommendation: Felling
241	354	Subabul	0.22	2.50	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling
242	355	Subabul	0.10	2.50	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling
243	357	Subabul	0.15	2.50	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling
244	358	Subabul	0.40	8.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling

SI No	Tree No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
245	359	Subabul	0.45	7.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling
246	360	Subabul	0.30	8.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling
247	361	Subabul	0.30	7.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery move ment within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for trans location / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for translocation. Recommended Felling
248	368	Dali chandra	0.43	8	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery move ment within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is found with severe parasitic plant growth and does not qualify for trans plantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling
249	370	Dali chandra	0.48	13	pro for (4.5	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the
	370 (a)	Dali chandra	0.38	11		tree cannot be retained. The tree is forked with termite infestation and does not qualify for trans plantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling
	372	Dali chandra	0.45	12		The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides
250	372 (a)	Dali chandra	0.22	8	Felling	(4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree is forked with termite infestation and does not qualify for trans plantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling
251	374	Subabul	0.15	2.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling

SI No	Tree No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
252	375	Tabebuía rosea	1.20	3.50	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation as the tree is matured and damaged at 1m height from ground. Recommendation: Felling
	376	Tabebuia rosea	0.97	10.00		The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides
253	376 (a)	Tabebuia rosea	0.75	8.00	Felling	(4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation as the tree is matured
	376 (b)	Tabebuia rosea	0.71	8.00		and multiforked with weak branch union. Recommendation: Felling
254	377	Rain tree	1.10	12	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation as the tree is matured. Recommendation: Felling
	378	Tabebuia rosea	0.82	12	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides
255	378 (a)	Tabebuia rosea	0.90	11		(4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for
	378 (b)	Tabebuia rosea	0.27	5		translocation / transplantation as the base of the tree is conjoined with tree no. 377 and multiforked. Recommendation: Felling
256	379	Rain tree	2.38	15	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
257	380	Honge	0.59	3.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is slanting and decayed and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
258	381	Honge	1.04	14.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be
	381 (a)	Honge	0.80	10.00	rening	retained. The tree is matured and forked with weak branch union and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
259	385	Tabebuia rosea	0.86	12.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is with decay symptoms and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling

SI No	Tree No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
	386	Tabebuia rosea	0.52	13.00		The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be
260	3 86 (a)	Tabebuia rosea	0.50	11.00	Felling	retained. The tree is multiforked with weak branch union and does not qualify for translocation /
	386 (b)	Tabebuia rosea	0.23	5.00		transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
:	391	Tabebuia rosea	0.43	11.00		The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be
261	391 (a)	Tabebuia rosea	0.18	6.00	Felling	retained. The tree is forked with weak branch union and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
262	392	Cocunut	0.88	11.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
	396	Honge	1.37	12.00		The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m –
263	396 (a)	Honge	0.63	3.00	Felling	approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is forked with weak branch union and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
264	397	Rain tree	2.25	15.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
265	398	Rain tree	2.66	13.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
266	400	Rain tree	1.30	11.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
	401	Rain tree	1.32	13.00		The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m –
267	401 (a)	Rain tree	1.04	15.00	Felling	approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured does not qualify for
	401 (b)	Rain tree	1.40	13.00		translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
268	402	Tabebuia rosea	1.12	16.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be
200	402 (a)	Tabebuia rosea	1.56	15.00	renuig	retained. The tree is matured does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
269	405	Spathodea	1.34	13.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured does not qualify for
	405 (a)	Spathodea	1.19	12.00		translocation / transplantation, Recommendation: Felling

SI No	Tree	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
270	408	Dali chandra	0.48	10.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is decayed and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
271	409	Dali chandra	0.50	12.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is forked with weak branch unions
	409 (a)	Dali chandra	0.64	10.00		and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
	410	Delhinta phentagaina	0.32	5.00		The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m –
272	4 10 (a)	Delhinta phentagaina	0.36	4.00	Felling	approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is multiforked with weak branch unions and does not qualify for translocation /
	4 10 (b)	Delhinta phentagaina	0.23	4.00		transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
	411	Dali chandra	0.03	11.00		The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be
273	411 (a)	Dali chandra	0.24	6.00	Felling	retained. The tree is with decay symptoms and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
	412	Dali chandra	0.30	9.00		The tree is present within the construction area
	412 (a)	Dali chandra	0.33	10.00		proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be
274	412 (b)	Dali chandra	0.60	11.00	Felling	retained. The tree is multiforked with weak branch unions and top decline symptoms and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation.
	412 (c)	Dali chandra	0.21	8.00		Recommendation: Felling
	413	Dali chandra	0.50	7.00	proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7 approx. height from ground); hence the tree	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m –
275	413 (a)	Dali chandra	0.26	6.00		approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is forked with weak branch unions
	413 (b)	Dali chandra	0.28	7.00		and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
276	414	Dali chandra	0.35	7.00	proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7 approx. height from ground); hence the tree	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be
270	414 (a)	Dali chandra	0.20	6.00	Felling	retained. The tree is forked with weak branch unions and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
	415	Dali chandra	0.40	8.00		The tree is present within the construction area
	415 (a)	Dali chandra	0.56	9.00		proposed for Metro Station (elevated $-6m/7m -$ approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be
277	415 (b)	Dali chandra	0.23	6.00	Felling	retained. The tree is multiforked with weak branch unions and top decline symptoms and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
	416	Dali chandra	0.76	14.00		The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m –
	416 (a)	Dali chandra	0.40	10.00		approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is multiforked with weak branch
278	416 (b)	Dali chandra	0.43	10.00	Felling	unions and top decline symptoms and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation.
	416 (c)	Dali chandra	0.44	11.00		Recommendation: Felling

SI No	Tree No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
	417	Dali chandra	0.30	10.00		The tree is present within the construction area
	4 17 (a)	Dali chandra	0.31	9.00		proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be
279	417 (b)	Dali chandra	0.27	10.00	Felling	retained. The tree is multiforked with weak branch
	417 (c)	Dali chandra	0.34	8.00		unions and top decline symptoms and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation.
	4 17 (d)	Dali chandra	0.26	10.00		Recommendation: Felling
280	418	Dali chandra	0.50	9.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is multiforked with weak branch
200	418 (a)	Dali chandra	0.26	8.00	Temms	unions and top decline symptoms and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation.
	418 (b)	Dali chandra	0.26	6.00		Recommendation: Felling The tree is present within the construction area
	419	Dali chandra	0.67	11.00	6 111	proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be
281	419 (a)	Dali chandra	0.16	2.50	Felling	retained. The tree is multiforked with weak branch unions and top decline symptoms and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
202	422	Dali chandra	0.81	13.00	Falling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be
282	422 (a)	Dali chandra	0.53	10.00	Felling	retained. The tree is multiforked with weak branch unions and top decline symptoms and does not
	422 (b)	Dali chandra	0.42	8.00		qualify for translocation Recommendation: Felling
	423	Dali chandra	0.46	12.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be
283	423 (a)	Dali chandra	0.46	11.00		retained. The tree is multiforked with weak branch unions and top decline symptoms and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation.
	423 (b)	Dali chandra	0.23	5.00		Recommendation: Felling
	425	Tabebuia rosea Tabebuia	0.93	15.00	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot retained. The tree is multiforked with weak branch unions and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling	proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m –
	425 (a)	rosea	0.96	13.00		retained. The tree is multiforked with weak branch
284	425 (b)	Tabebuia rosea	0.36	14.00		
8	425 (c)	Tabebuia rosea	0.78	15.00		
	431	Dali chandra	0.40	7.00		The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m –
285	431 (a)	Dali chandra	0.32	1.50	Felling	approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is multiforked with weak branch
	431 (b)	Dali chandra	0.42	1.50		unions and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
286	433	Tabebuia rosea	1.40	12.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is with severe termite attack indicating the stress behaviour of the tree and does not qualify for translocation Recommend: Felling
	434		0.70	13.00		The tree is present within the construction area
	434 (a)		0.65	12.00		proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be
287	434 (b)	Tabebuia rosea	0.23	10.00	Felling	retained. The tree is multiforked with weak branch
	434 (c)	rosea 0.22	0.22	5.00		unions and does not qualify for translocation transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
	434 (d)		0.43	8.00		/ X

SI No	Tree	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
	435	Tabebuia rosea	0.77	15.00		The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m –
	435 (a)	Tabebuia rosea	0.21	1.00		approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is multiforked with weak branch
288	435 (b)	Tabebuia rosea	0.16	2.00	Felling	unions and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
	435 (c)	Tabebuia rosea	0.69	13.00		
	4 <i>3</i> 5 (d)	Tabebuia rosea	0.24	3.00		
	436	Tabebuia rosea	0.46	10.00		The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m –
289	436 (a)	Tabebuia rosea	0.68	12.00	Felling	approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is multiforked with weak branch unions and does not qualify for translocation /
	436 (b)	Tabebuia rosea	0.38	8.00		transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
290	437	Subabul	0.23	4.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling
291	438	Tabebuia rosea	0.64	11.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is multiforked with weak branch
291	438 (a)	Tabebuia rosea	0.67	10.00		unions and the base is conjoined with tree no. 439 and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
292	439	Subabul	0.32	8.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling
	441	Tabebuia rosea	0.32	10.00		The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m –
	441 (a)	Tabebuia rosea	0.16	8.00		approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is multiforked with weak branch
293	44 I (b)	Tabebuia rosea	0.23	6.00	Felling	unions and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
	441 (c)	Tabebuia rosea	0.83	13.00		
	441 (d)	Tabebuia rosea	0.22	6.00		
294	442	Dali chandra	0.43	7.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is showing symptoms of tip drying and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling

SI No	Tree No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
	443	Dali chandra	0.15	8.00		The tree is present within the construction area
295	443 (a) 443 (b)	Dali chandra	0.16	7.00	Felling	proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is multiforked with weak branch unions and does not qualify for translocation /
	443 (0)	Dan chandra	0.38	10.00		transplantation. Recommendation: Felling The tree is present within the construction area
296	444	Dali chandra	0.60	10.00	Felling	proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The collar region of the tree is damaged and weak in appearance and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
297	447	Dali chandra	0.74	15.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The forked region of the tree is with weak branch unions and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
298	448	Dali chandra	0.50	11.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is broken and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
299	449	Dali chandra	0.47	12.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The forked region of the tree is with weak branch unions and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
	450	Tabebuia rosea	0.60	13.00		The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be
300	450 (a)	Tabebuia rosea	0.25	8.00	Felling	retained. The tree is multiforked with weak branch unions and does not qualify for translocation /
	450 (b)	Tabebuia rosea	0.30	6.00		transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
	451	Subabul	0.32	12.00		The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be
301	451 (a)	Subabul	0.24	11.00	Felling	retained. The tree is forked with weak branch unions and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
	452	Tabebuia rosea	0.80	10.00		The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be
302	452 (a)	Tabebuia rosea	0.47	7.00	Felling	retained. The tree is multiforked with weak branch unions and does not qualify for translocation /
	452 (b)	Tabebuia rosea	0.24	6.00		transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
303	453	Tabebuia rosea	1.03	12.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling

SI No	Tree No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification	
304	454	Tabebuia rosea	1.22	10.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling	
305	455	Jacranda	0.54	7.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is broken and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling	
306	457	Dali chandra	0.60	9.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is forked with weak branch unions	
	457 (a)	Dali chandra	0.50	8.00		and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling	
	458	Dali chandra	0.36	6.00		The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m –	
307	458 (a)	Dali chandra	0.26	9.00	Felling	approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be	
	458 (b)	Dali chandra	0.15	3.00	rennig	retained. The tree is forked with weak branch unions and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling	
	460 Dali chandra 0.80 10.00		The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m –				
308	460 (a)	Dali chandra	0.42	9.00	Felling approx. height from ground); hence the t retained. The tree is multiforked with we	approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is multiforked with weak branch	
	460 (b)	Dali chandra	0.19	6.00		unions and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling	
309	462	Dali chandra	0.80	11.00	Felling	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is forked with weak branch unions
	462 (a)	Dali chandra	0.54	12.00		and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling	
310	463	Dali chandra	0.25	5.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is forked with weak branch unions and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling	
311	464	Dali chandra	1.10	11.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7 m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be	
	464 (a)	Dali chandra	0.18	8.00	· · · · · · · · ·	retained. The tree is matured and forked with weak branch unions and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling	
312	465	Dali chandra	0.85	14.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7 m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling	
313	466	Dali chandra	0.45	10.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7 m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is decayed at the base and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling	

SI No	Tree No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
314	467	Dali chandra	1.10	10.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is dried and decayed and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
315	468	Dali chandra	0.71	12.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
316	469	Dali chandra	0.55	9.00	- Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is forked with weak branch unions
	469 (a)	Dali chandra	0.41	12.00		and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
	471	Dali chandra	0.66	12.00		The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be
317	471 (a)	Dali chandra	0.47	10.00	Felling	retained. The tree is multiforked and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation:
	471 (b)	Dali chandra	0.30	6.00		Felling
	473	Dali chandra	0.42	9.00		The tree is present within the construction area
318	473 (a)	Dali chandra	0.20	6.00	Felling	proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot b retained. The tree is forked and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
319	474	Dali chandra	0.71	8.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is with decay symptoms and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
320	476	Dali chandra	1.10	12.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is with decay symptoms and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
321	477	Dali chandra	0.65	10.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is with defects and symptoms and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
	478	Dali chandra	0.81	12.00		The tree is present within the construction area
322	478 (a)	Dali chandra	0.30	10.00	Felling	proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is forked with decay symptoms and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
323	479	Honge	1.45	13.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is with decay symptoms and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling

SI No	Tree No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
324	481	Honge	0.15	2.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6 m/7 m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is with decay symptoms and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
325	484	Nerale	2.21	13.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
326	486	Honge	0.15	2.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is damaged with canker symptoms
	486 (a)	Honge	0.15	2.00		and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
	487	Honge	0.20	6.00		The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m –
327	487 (a)	Honge	0.18	6.00	Felling	approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is forked with decay symptoms and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
220	489	Dali chandra	0.63	13.00	E W	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be
328	489 (a)	Dali chandra	0.20	10.00	Felling	retained. The tree is multiforked with weak branch
	489 (b)	Dali chandra	0.25	9.00		union and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
329	497	Rain tree	2.22	15.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
330	500	Rain tree	2.57	14.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is matured and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
331	502	Dali chandra	0.46	12.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is with decay symptoms and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
332	503	Dali chandra	0.53	13.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is forked and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
333	505	Dali chandra	0.34	12.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is with decay symptoms and base is conjoined with tree no. 506 and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling

SI No	Tree No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
334	506	Dali chandra	0.47	11.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is with decay symptoms and base is conjoined with tree no. 506 and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
335	508	Dali chandra	0.40	9.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is with decay symptoms (vertical split) and base is conjoined with tree no. 506 and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
	510	Honge	0.25	5.00		The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be
336	510 (a)	Honge	0.22	5.50	Felling	retained. The tree is with decay symptoms (vertical split) and base is conjoined with tree no. 506 and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
337	511	Honge	0.20	4.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m – approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is with weak collar region and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
	512	Dali chandra	0.54	12.00	Felling	The tree is present within the construction area proposed for Metro Station (elevated – 6m/7m –
338	512 (a)	Dali chandra	0.52	10.00		approx. height from ground); hence the tree cannot be retained. The tree is forked with weak branch union and does not qualify for translocation / transplantation. Recommendation: Felling
339	514	Subabul	0.40	12.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling
340	515	Subabul	0.20	8.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling
341	516	Tabebuia rosea	0.90	14.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation as the tree is matured. Recommendation: Felling

SI No	No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
	517	Jacranda	0.52	12.00		The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery
342	517(a)	Jacranda	0.58	14.00	Felling	movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation as the tree is
	5 1 ⁷ (b)	Jacranda	0.53	13.00		multiforked with week branch unions. Recommendation: Felling
	518	Jacranda	0.44	6.00		The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides
343	518 (a)	Jacranda	0.53	8.00	Felling	(4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for
	518(b)	Jacranda	0.41	7.00		translocation / transplantation as the tree is multiforked with week branch unions. Recommendation: Felling
344	519	Jacranda	0.85	12.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation as the tree is multiforked with week branch unions. Recommendation: Felling
345	521	Jacranda	0.48	10.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation as the tree is forked
	521 (a)	Jacranda	0.50	8.00		with weak branch union and tip drying symptoms. Recommendation: Felling
346	522	Subabul	1.74	11.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for translocation. Recommendation: Felling
347	524	Jacranda	0.58	8.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median of existing road, proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery move ment within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for transplantation as the tree is multifork ed at 2m height from the ground and the forked reg ions are with weak branch unions. Recommend: Felling
348	525	Kadu badami	1.31	12.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation as the tree is matured. Recommendation: Felling

SI No	Tree No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
349	526	Jacranda	0.70	11.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation as the tree is bent / slanting in position. Recommendation: Felling
350	527	Jacranda	0.85	12.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation as the tree is bent / slanting in position. Recommendation: Felling
351	528	Jacranda	0.42	11.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for
	528 (a)	Jacranda	0.36	8.00		translocation / transplantation as the tree is forked with weak branch union. Recommendation: Felling
352	529	Tabebuia rosea	0.94	13.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation as the forked region of the tree is with weak branch union. Recommendation: Felling
353	530	Kadu badami	1.18	12.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation as the tree is matured. Recommendation: Felling
	531	Jacranda	0.48	10.00		The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery
354	53 I (a)	Jacranda	0.33	7.00	Felling	movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation as the tree is forked with weak branch unions. Recommendation: Felling
355	532	Tabebuia rosea	1.01	13.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation as the tree is matured with weak branch unions. Recommendation: Felling

SI No	Tree No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
	533	Kadu katti	0.28	6.00		The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides
356	533 (a)	Kadu katti	0.36	5.00	Felling	(4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation as the tree is forked with weak branch union and termite infestation. Recommendation: Felling
357	534	Kadu katti	1.19	13.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation as the tree is matured with decay symptoms. Recommendation: Felling
358	535	Honge	0.87	11.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation as the forked region of the tree is with weak branch unions. Recommendation: Felling
359	536	Kadu katti	1.17	13.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation as the tree is matured. Recommendation: Felling
360	537	Kadu katti	1.07	12.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling-work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation as the tree is matured. Recommendation: Felling
361	538	Kadu katti	1.20	13.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation as the tree is matured. Recommendation: Felling
362	539	Kadu katti	1.18	15.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation as the tree is matured. Recommendation: Felling

SI No	Tree No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
363	540	Kadu katti	0.94	14.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation as the tree is matured. Recommendation: Felling
364	541	Kadu katti	0.90	11.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation as the tree is matured. Recommendation: Felling
365	542	Kadu katti	0.95	10.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation as the tree is matured. Recommendation: Felling
366	543	Kadu katti	0.78	5.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation as the tree is broken at the top, with broken portion leading to fungal infection. Recommendation: Felling
367	544	Kadu katti	1.13	10.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation as the tree is matured. Recommendation: Felling
368	545	Kadu katti	0.85	13.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation as the tree is matured. Recommendation: Felling
369	546	Kadu katti	0.71	9.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation as the tree is matured with severely constricted roots. Recommendation: Felling

SI No	Tree No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
370	547	Kadu katti	0.72	13.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation as the tree is with severely constricted roots. Recommendation: Felling
371	548	Kadu katti	0.77	9.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation as the tree is with severely constricted roots. Recommendation: Felling
372	549	Kadu katti	0.70	10.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation as the tree is with severely constricted roots. Recommendation: Felling
373	550	Kadu katti	0.68	11.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation as the tree is with severely constricted roots. Recommendation: Felling
374	551	Kadu katti	0.97	11.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation as the tree is matured with severely constricted roots. Recommendation: Felling
375	552	Kadu katti	0.96	13.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation as the tree is matured with severely constricted roots. Recommendation: Felling
376	553	Kadu katti	0.85	10.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation as the tree is matured with severely constricted roots. Recommendation: Felling

SI No	Tree No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
377	554	Subabul	0.18	2.50	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling
378	555	Subabul	0.18	3.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling
379	556	Subabul	0.15	2.50	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling
380	557	Silver oak	0.68	11.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling
381	558	Silver oak	0.73	9.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling
382	559	Subabul	0.15	2.50	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling

SI No	Tree No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
383	560	Subabul	0.23	5.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling
384	561	Silver oak	0.73	12.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling
385	562	Subabul	0.29	9.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling
386	563	Subabul	0.30	8.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling
387	564	Silver oak	0.73	13.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling
388	565	Subabul	0.33	9.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / transplantation. Felling

SI No	Tree No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
389	566	Subabul	0.20	8.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling
390	567	Subabul	0.41	10.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling
391	568	Subabul	0.24	2.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling
392	569	Subabul	0.27	8.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling
393	570	Subabul	0.27	4.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of
	570 (a)	Subabul	0.23	2.50		field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling
394	571	Subabul	0.21	3.50	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling

SI No	Tree No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
395	572	Neem	1.40	15.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation as the tree is matured. Recommendation: Felling
396	573	Subabul	0.22	8.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling
397	574	Subabul	0.32	6.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for
	574 (a)	Subabul	0.15	5.00		translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling
398	575	Subabul	0.18	6.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for
	575 (a)	Subabul	0.15	6.00		translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling
399	576	Subabul	0.31	7.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for
	576 (a)	Subabul	0.17	6.00		translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling
400	577	Subabul	0.32	8.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling
401	578	Subabul	0.21	7.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery

SI No	Tree	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
	578 (a)	Subabul	0.20	6.00		movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling
402	579	Subabul	0.18	7.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for
	579 (a)	Subabul	0.20	5.00		translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for translocation. Recommendation: Felling
403	580	Subabul	0.17	6.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for translocation. Recommendation: Felling
404	581	Subabul	0.29	6.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling
405	582	Subabul	0.17	5.50	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling
406	583	Subabul	0.26	6.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for / translocation. Recommendation: Felling
407	584	Subabul	0.15	4.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling

SI No	Tree No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
408	585	Subabul	0.21	4.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling
409	586	Subabul	0.20	5.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / transplantation. Felling
410	587	Subabul	0.32	5.50	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling
411	588	Subabul	0.40	6.50	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling
412	589	Subabul	0.20	5.80	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling
413	590	Subabul	0.48	5.50	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling

SI No	Tree No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
414	591	Subabul	0.15	5.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling
415	592	Subabul	0.25	5.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling
416	593	Silver oak	0.72	12.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation.Recommendation: Felling
417	594	Subabul	0.21	4.50	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling
418	595	Subabul	0.15	4.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling
419	596	Subabul	0.16	4.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling

SI No	Tree No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
420	597	Subabul	0.15	5.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling
421	598	Subabul	0.25	6.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling
422	599	Subabul	0.33	9.00	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation. Recommendation: Felling
423	600	Subabul	0.23	7.50	Felling	The tree is present in the median (of existing road), proposed for construction activities like piling work for pillar -7m/7m, laying of barricade on both sides (4.5m away) from median, heavy machinery movement within the barricaded area, therefore the tree cannot be retained. The tree does not qualify for translocation / transplantation from the perspective of field condition, importance of species and cost involved for transplantation / translocation.Recommendation: Felling
424	601	Subabul	0.19	3.00	Felling	The tree is falling on Metro alignment and it will obstruct the construction of AGARAto IBLUR(viaduct). The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
425	602	Subabul	0.22	6.00	Felling	The tree is falling on Metro alignment and it will obstruct the construction of AGARAto IBLUR(viaduct). The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
426	603	Subabul	0.24	6.00	Felling	The tree is falling on Metro alignment and it will obstruct the construction of AGARAto IBLUR(viaduct) The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
	604	Subabul	0.18	5.50		The tree is falling on Metro alignment and it will obstruct the construction of AGARA to
427	604 (a)	Subabul	0.17	5.00	Felling	IBLUR(viaduct). The tree is coming in the median
	604 (b)	Subabul	0.16	4.00		and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling

SI No	Tree	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
428	605	Subabul	0.18	4.50	Felling	The tree is falling on Metro alignment and it will obstruct the construction of AGARAto IBLUR(viaduct). The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence
	605 (a)	Subabul	0.14	4.50		recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
429	606	Subabul	0.18	5.00	Felling	The tree is falling on Metro alignment and it will obstruct the construction of AGARA to IBLUR (viaduct). The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
	607	Subabul	0.23	6.00		The tree is falling on Metro alignment and it will obstruct the construction of AGARAto
430	607 (a)	Subabul	0.21	6.50	Felling	IBLUR(viaduct). The tree is coming in the median and it's an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling Recommendation: Felling
431	608	Subabul	0.32	6.00	Felling	The tree is falling on Metro alignment and it will obstruct the construction of AGARAto IBLUR(viaduct) The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
432	609	Subabul	0.19	6.00	Felling	The tree is falling on Metro alignment and it will obstruct the construction of AGARAto IBLUR(viaduct) The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
433	610	Subabul	0.17	4.00	Felling	The tree is falling on Metro alignment and it will obstruct the construction of AGARAto IBLUR(viaduct) The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic
	610 (a)	Subabul	0.15	4.50		invasive species . Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
434	611	Subabul	0.20	6.00	Felling	The tree is falling on Metro alignment and it will obstruct the construction of AGARAto IBLUR(viaduct) The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
435	612	Subabul	0.16	5.50	Felling	The tree is falling on Metro alignment and it will obstruct the construction of AGARAto IBLUR(viaduct) The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
436	613	Subabul	0.21	5.50	Felling	The tree is falling on Metro alignment and it will obstruct the construction of AGARAto IBLUR(viaduct) The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
425	614	Subabul	0.17	6.50	B. II.	The tree is falling on Metro alignment and it will obstruct the construction of AGARAto IBLUR(viaduct)
437	614 (a)	Subabul	0.15	6.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
	615	Subabul	0.18	5.50		The tree is falling on Metro alignment and it will obstruct the construction of AGARAto
438	615 (a)	Subabul	0.16	6.00	Felling	IBLUR(viaduct) The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling

SI No	Tree	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
	616	Subabul	0.18	6.00		The tree is falling on Metro alignment and it will obstruct the construction of AGARAto
439	6 l6 (a)	Subabul	0.18	6.00	Felling	IBLUR(viaduct)
	_~				-	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling.
	6 l6 (b)	Subabul	0.15	5.00		Recommendation: Felling
440	617	Subabul	0.15	3.50	Felling	The tree is falling on Metro alignment and it will obstruct the construction of AGARAto IBLUR(viaduct)
	6 17 (a)	Subabul	0.15	4.00	. 0111115	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
	618	Subabul	0.15	4.50		The tree is falling on Metro alignment and it will obstruct the construction of AGARAto
441	6 18 (a)	Subabul	0.18	5.00	Felling	IBLUR(viaduct) The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic
	618 (b)	Subabul	0.15	5.00		invasive species . Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
	620	Subabul	0.18	4.50		The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic
442	620 (a)	Subabul	0.15	4.50	Felling	invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
	620 (b)	Subabul	0.21	4.00		
443	622	Subabul	0.23	6.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
111	623	Subabul	0.18	5.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic
444	623 (a)	Subabul	0.16	5.00		invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
445	624	Subabul	0.16	4.00	Felling The tr	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic
443	624 (a)	Subabul	0.22	5.00		invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
446	625	Subabul	0.15	4.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
447	626	Subabul	0.15	4.50	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
448	627	Subabul	0.20	5.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species . Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
449	628	Subabul	0.19	6.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
450	629	Subabul	0.16	5.00	Falling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic
430	629 (a)	Subabul	0.17	5.00	Felling	invasive species . Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
151	630	Subabul	0.16	4.50	r-u:	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic
451	630 (a)	Subabul	0.15	5.00	Felling	invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
452	631	Subabul	0.17	5.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
	632	Subabul	0.17	5.50		The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic
453	632 (a)	Subabul	0.16	5.00	Felling	invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
	632 (b)	Subabul	0.15	6.00		Tomas A

SI No	Tree No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
454	633	Subabul	0.17	6.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
455	634	Subabul	0.17	4.50	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling Recommendation: Felling
456	635	Subabul	0.20	5.50	E-III	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic
456	635 (a)	Subabul	0.22	6.50	Felling	invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
	636	Subabul	0.17	2.50		The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic
457	636 (a)	Subabul	0.16	7.00	Felling	invasive species . Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
458	637	Subabul	0.19	6.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
	638	Subabul	0.18	5.00		The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic
459	638 (a)	Subabul	0.17	4.00	Felling	invasive species. Hence recommended for felling.
	639	Subabul	0.19	4.00		Recommendation: Felling The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic
460	639 (a)	Subabul	0.16	4.00	Felling	invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
461	640	Subabul	0.15	3.50	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
462	641	Subabul	0.17	3.50	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
463	642	Subabul	0.16	4.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
464	643	Subabul	0.15	5.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
465	644	Subabul	0.15	5.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
	645	Subabul	0.18	5.50		The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic
466	645 (a)	Subabul	0.16	5.00	Felling	invasive species . Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
467	646	Subabul	0.15	3.50	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
468	647	Subabul	0.18	6.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
	648	Subabul	0.16	5.00		The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic
469	648 (a)	Subabul	0.15	4.00	Felling	invasive species . Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling

SI No	Tree	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
470	649	Silver oak	0.64	10.00	Felling	Tree is coming the median & deEP rooted species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
471	650	Subabul	0.39	9.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
472	651	Subabul	0.37	8.00	D. 11:	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic
472	651 (a)	Subabul	0.25	7.00	Felling	invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
473	652	Subabul	0.28	8.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
	653	Subabul	0.17	4.00		The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic
474	653 (a)	Subabul	0.15	3.00	Felling	invasive species . Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
	654	Subabul	0.26	3.00		The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic
475	654 (a)	Subabul	0.20	7.00	Felling	invasive species . Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
476	655	Subabul	0.41	7.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
	656	Subabul	0.41	8.00		The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic
477	656 (a)	Subabul	0.22	7.00	Felling	invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
478	657	Subabul	0.27	7.50	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
479	658	Subabul	0.35	7.50	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species . Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
	659	Subabul	0.33	6.00		The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic
480	659 (a)	Subabul	0.38	6.50	Felling	invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
	659 (b)	Subabul	0.15	2.50		recommendation. Ferming
481	660	Subabul	0.15	4.50	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species . Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
	661	Subabul	0.25	5.00		The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic
482	661 (a)	Subabul	0.17	5.00	Felling	invasive species . Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
10-	662	Subabul	0.22	4.00		The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic
483	662 (a)	Subabul	0.15	4.50	Felling	invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
484	663	Subabul	0.15	3.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
485	664	Subabul	0.19	4.50	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling

SI No	Tree No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
486	665	Subabul	0.20	7.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
487	666	Subabul	0.26	6.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
488	667	Subabul	0.39	8.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
489	668	Subabul	0.43	10.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
490	669	Subabul	0.28	6.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
491	670	Subabul	0.27	7.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
492	672	Subabul	0.19	4.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
	673	Subabul	0.18	5.00	-	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic
493	673 (a)	Subabul	0.15	5.00	Felling	invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
494	674	Subabul	0.15	5.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
495	675	Subabul	0.21	6.50	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
496	676	Subabul	0.22	6.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
497	677	Subabul	0.21	4.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
498	678	Subabul	0.21	5.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
499	679	Subabul	0.15	4.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
500	680	Subabul	0.18	8.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling

SI No	Tree No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
501	681	Subabul	0.17	3.50	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
502	682	Subabul	0.19	4.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
503	683	Subabul	0.15	3.60	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
504	684	Subabul	0.26	6.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
505	686	Subabul	0.29	6.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
	687	Subabul	0.20	5.50		The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic
506	687 (a)	Subabul	0.15	5.00	Felling	invasive species . Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
	688	Subabul	0.18	5.50		The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic
507	688 (a)	Subabul	0.15	6.00	Felling	invasive species. Hence recommended for felling, Recommendation: Felling
700	689	Subabul	0.21	6.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic
508	689 (a)	Subabul	0.17	5.00		invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
	690	Subabul	0.46	5.00		The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic
509	690 (a)	Subabul	0.36	10.00	Felling	invasive species . Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
	691	Subabul	0.16	5.00		The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic
510	691 (a)	Subabul	0.15	2.00	Felling	invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
511	692	Subabul	0.18	4.50	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
512	693	Subabul	0.22	5.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
513	694	Subabul	0.15	6.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
514	695	Subabul	0.26	7.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
515	696	Subabul	0.26	5.50	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
	697	Subabul	0.19	4.00		The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic
516	697 (a)	Subabul	0.18	2.50	Felling	invasive species . Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
	697 (b)	Subabul	0.15	3.50		Association rening

SI No	Tree No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
517	699	Subabul	0.21	3.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
518	700	Subabul	0.18	3.50	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
519	701	Subabul	0.15	3.50	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
520	703	Subabul	0.27	6.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
521	704	Subabul	0.23	7.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
522	705	Subabul	0.40	7.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
523	706	Subabul	0.15	3.50	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
524	707	Subabul	0.33	8.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling.
524	707 (a)	Subabul	0.16	6.00	rennig	Recommendation: Felling
525	710	Subabul	0.17	4.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
526	711	Subabul	0.29	7.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
527	712	Subabul	0.28	0.60	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
	713	Honge	0.27	5.00		Tree is multi forked & its difficult to take root ball.
528	713 (a)	Honge	0.16	4.00	Felling	Hence it is recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
	713 (b)	Honge	0.15	4.00		_
529	714	Subabul	0.15	3.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
530	717	Subabul	0.15	4.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
531	720	Subabul	0.29	10.00	Felling	The tree is falling on Metro alignment and it will obstruct the construction of AGARA to IBL UR(viaduct)The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling

SI No	Tree	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
532	721	Subabul	0.55	9.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling Recommendation: Felling
533	730	Rain tree	2.14	17.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
534	738	Subabul	0.29	10.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species . Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
535	739	Subabul	0.37	9.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
536	740	Subabul	0.17	5.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
537	741	Peltophorum	2.00	18.00	Felling	The tree is silviculturally matured & not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
538	742	Peltophorum	2.23	17.00	Felling	The tree is silviculturally matured & not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
539	744	Peltophorum	1.25	16.00	Felling	The tree is falling on Metro alignment and it will obstruct the construction of AGARA to IBLUR Portal (viaduct portion) The tree is matured recommended for felling Recommendation: Felling
540	745	Rain tree	1.27	15.00	Felling	The tree is silviculturally matured & not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
	746	Peltophorum	0.97	14.50		The tree is matured recommended for felling.
541	746 (a)	Peltophorum	0.28	6.00	Felling	Recommendation: Felling
542	747	Rain tree	1.09	0.59	Felling	The tree is silviculturally matured & not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
543	749	Subabul	0.25	6.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and it's an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
544	750	Subabul	0.19	4.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
545	751	Rain tree	2.10	17.00	Felling	The tree is silviculturally matured & not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
546	753	Honge	0.88	9.00	Felling	Tree coming in median, forked not healthy. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling

SI No	Tree No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification
547	754	Subabul	0.51	9.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
548	755	Honge	0.81	15.00	Felling	Tree roots are entangled with adjacent to rain tree, is not possible to take the root ball. Hence it is recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
549	756	Rain tree	2.70	20.00	Felling	The tree is falling on Metro alignment and it will obstruct the construction of AGARA to IBLURPorta (viaduct portion) portion) The tree is silviculturally matured & not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
550	762	Rain tree	2.85	21.00	Felling	The tree is falling on Metro alignment and it will obstruct the construction of IBLUR station The tree is silviculturally matured & not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling.Recommendation: Felling
551	763	Rain tree	1.55	19.00	Felling	The tree is silviculturally matured & not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
552	764	Rain tree	2.74	20.00	Felling	The tree is silviculturally matured & not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
553	765	Subabul	0.28	5.50	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
554	767	Subabul	0.26	4.00	Felling	The tree is falling on Metro alignment and it will obstruct the construction of IBLUR station The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
	768	Kadu hunase	0.41	8.00		The tree is falling on Metro alignment and it will obstruct the construction of IBLUR station The trre is forked from the ground not
555	768 (a)	Kadu hunase	0.30	7.00	Felling	possile for trasplatation hence its recommende for felling Recommendation: Felling
556	769	Silver oak	0.64	14.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
557	772	Subabul	0.49	7.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling
558	773	Subabul	0.44	7.50	Felling	The tree is falling on Metro alignment and it will obstruct the construction of IBLUR station The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling

SI No	Tree No.	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification	
2.50	777	Subabul	0.31	6.00		The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic	
559	7 ⁷ 7 (a)	Subabul	0.29	6.00	Felling	invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling	
560	797	Kadu badami	0.39	5.00	Felling	The tree is falling on Metro alignment and it will obstruct the construction of Kadubeesanahalli station Tree is near to drain not possible, for taking root ball. Hence recommended for felling Recommendation: Felling	
561	800	Kadu badami	0.42	5.50	Felling	The tree is near to the drain not possible for take root ball & and also bark is damaged. Hence it is recommended felling Recommendation: Felling	
562	803	Spathodea	1.24	10.00	Felling	The tree is falling on Metro alignment and it will obstruct the construction of Kadubeesanahalli station. The tree is matured & bark is damaged.hence recommended felling. Recommendation: Felling	
563	804	Subabul	0.15	3.00	Felling	The tree is falling on Metro alignment and it will obstruct the construction of Kadubeesanahalli - kodibeesanahalli (viaduct portion) The tree is coming in the median and it's an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling	
564	805	Subabul	0.15	4.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and it's an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling	
565	806	Subabul	0.16	2.50	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and it's an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling	
566	807	Subabul	0.16	2.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and it's an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling	
567	808	Subabul	0.18	1.70	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and it's an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling	
568	810	Honge	0.11	5.50	Felling	The tree is multiforked. Hence it is recommended for felling.Recommendation: Felling	
	810 (a)	Honge	0.25	5.00		-	
569	811	Honge	0.41	3.00	Felling	The tree is multiforked. Hence it is recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling	
570	814	Rain tree	0.41	6.50	Felling	The tree is not healthy condition. Hence it is recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling	
571	817	Neem	0.27	4.20	Felling	The tree bark is damaged ¬ healthy condition. Hence it is recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling	
572	818	Neem	0.24	4.50	Felling	The tree canopy pruned & not healthy condition. Hence it is recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling	
573	824	Hebbevu	0.30	5.00	Falling	Tree branched, not healthy condition. Hence	
213	824 (a)	Hebbevu	0.25	4.00	Felling	recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling	

SI No	Tree	Species Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	TEC Recommendation	Justification	
574	828	Subabul	0.37	9.00	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling	
	828 (a)	Subabul	0.33	9.00			
575	829	Subabul	0.37	8.50	Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling. Recommendation: Felling	
576	830	Subabul	0.31	7.50 Felling	The tree is coming in the median and its an exotic invasive species. Hence recommended for felling.		
	830 (a)	Subabul	0.30	7.00		Recommendation: Felling	
577	831	Hoovarasi	0.46	8.00	Felling	Tree is multiple branched, not healthy condition. Hence recommended for felling	
	831 (a)	Hoovarasi	0.35	6.00		Recommendation: Felling	
		A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR					

Total no. of trees recommended	577 Nos.
for Felling	3771108.

THEE OFFICER

& DEPUTY CONSERVATOR OF FORESTS BRUHAT BANGALORE MADAMAGARA PALIKE BENGALISU.

64 | Page

Report of Tree Expert Committee

regarding permission sought by BMRCL under Section 8 (2) and 8 (3) (vii) of Karnataka Preservation of Trees Act, 1976

Application No.: BMRCL/Advisor-Civil/ORR/Ph-2A/2021/1329 dtd 12.06.2021

Project Area: Bengaluru Metro Project from Central Silk Board (CSB)

to Kodibeesanahalli Metro Station (upto Pier No. 335)

on ORR Phase 2A, (PACKAGE 1), Bengaluru

Location: Central Silk Board (CSB) to Kodibeesanahalli

Metro Station (upto Pier No. 335) Bengaluru

Dated: November 2021

Report of Tree Expert Committee regarding permission sought by BMRCL under Section 8 (2) and 8 (3) (vii) of Karnataka Preservation of Trees Act, 1976.

Application No. BMRCL/Advisor-Civil/ORR/Ph-2A/2021/1329 dtd 12.06.2021

Project Area: Central Silk Board (CSB) Junction to Kodibeesanahalli Metro Station (Up to Pier No. 335) on ORR

- 1. The Tree Expert Committee (hereinafter mentioned as TEC) has carried out the works as per the process elucidated in the MOP dated December 2020 submitted to the Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka.
- 2. The Tree Officer & Deputy Conservator of Forests, BBMP submitted his preliminary assessment regarding the application filed by Bangalore Metro Rail Corporation Ltd (BMRCL) pertaining to 833 number of trees standing in the project area from Central Silk Board (CSB) Junction to Kodibeesanahalli Metro Station (up to Pier No. 335) on ORR. The preliminary assessment was accompanied by following documents:
 - i. A copy of the Application dated 12.06.2021 from BMRCL along with details and map of the area and details of trees involved including their GPS coordinates.
 - ii. A copy of the Public Notice dated 23.06.2021 issued by the Tree Officer, a complete set of the objections received from the public and a copy of the proceedings dated 09.07.2021 of the Tree Officer regarding consideration of the objections as per Section 8 (3) (vii) of the Karnataka Preservation of Trees Act, 1976 (Henceforth referred as KPT Act).
 - iii. The Tree Assessment Forms in Template-2 with Part-I (dated 07.07.2021, 09.07.2021 & 10.07.2021) containing tree details as furnished by Range Forest Officer and Part II (dated 09.07.2021; 12.07.2021 & 14.07.2021) containing preliminary assessment of the Tree Officer for each of 833 trees proposed for removal by BMRCL.
 - iv. Abstract of the review of the BMRCL application and preliminary assessment of trees by the Tree Officer in Template-3 Part-I.
 - v. A statement prepared by Tree Officer showing the tree details along with preliminary assessment and justification for on-site retention / translocation / felling of trees.

Copies of the public notice, proceedings of the Tree Officer regarding consideration of the objections and his findings, and preliminary assessment of trees are attached to the report as Annexure-1 to Annexure-3.

Review of the BMRCL application, objections/suggestions in response to Public Notice, and findings of Tree Officer:

- 3. The BMRCL application, public notice, all objections/suggestions from the public, findings of the Tree Officer, and his proceedings dated 09.07.2021 were perused systematically by the TEC in its meeting held on 19.08.2021. The TEC noted that the process prescribed in the MOP from Step-1 to Step-3 have been followed scrupulously by the Tree Officer.
- The TEC observed that total 776 objections/suggestions have been received in response to the public notice. The Tree Officer has observed that most of the objections/suggestions relate to granting extension of time because of the prevailing pandemic during that period, to restrict the felling of trees and to increase the extent of compensatory afforestation. One of the objections pertained about the discrepancy in the number of trees as the objector mentioned that the number of trees stated as per DPR and EIA Reports and the number of trees as per the enumeration list prepared now do not tally. The Tree Officer with respect to the above observation/suggestions has considered and given reasonable extension of time for filing objections. He also emphasized that felling of trees is always kept to bare minimum and is based on the strategy being followed with regard to assessment of trees, i.e., first option being retention-on-site, second being translocation, if retention is not possible and last resort will be felling. Also adequate number of saplings will be planted under compensatory afforestation and its proper maintenance will be taken care of. With respect to the other aspects like discrepancies in the number of trees as per the above said reports, the DCF referred the matter to BMRCL. The replies furnished by the BMRCL and endorsed by Tree Officer state that the DPR and EIA Reports were prepared during October 2019 and October 2020 respectively, while the enumeration list of trees were prepared during June 2021, thus causing change in the number of trees indicated in the enumeration list as some saplings might have come under tree category. Secondly, there had been some alteration in the land extent required for the project. These two factors have contributed for increase in the number of trees. The TEC concurred with the replies furnished by the Tree Officer regarding the objections/suggestions received in response to Public Notice.
- 5. The TEC sought and reviewed the presentation made by Chief Engineer, Social and Environment Management Unit, BMRCL regarding the project details, necessity for removal

of the trees given the project alignment, possibility of retaining the trees while carrying out the project construction, etc. The Chief Engineer emphasized that Metro Projects being a mass rapid transit system, seeks to set up a convenient, efficient, safe and sustainable mode of public transport. Its benefits include a shift from private modes of transport to public transport, and thereby a significant reduction in use of private vehicles, other things remaining unchanged. Such modal shift is estimated to have a significant reduction in pollution in the project area. (Reference: "Note on Potential Reduction in Pollution" based on iDeCK's study on "Economic Analysis for 2A and 2B Corridors of Bangalore Metro").

Review of Preliminary Assessment of Trees done by Tree Officer:

- 6. The TEC examined the preliminary assessment of trees submitted by Tree Officer, BBMP vide his letter dated 26.07.2021, including the statement exhibiting the tree details, preliminary assessment and justification for on-site retention / translocation / felling. The TEC noted that the documentation of the trees details in Template-2 Part-I and the preliminary assessment as per Template-2 Part-II has been done properly by the Forest Officers as envisaged in Step-4 & Step-5 of the MOP.
- 7. The TEC firmly deliberated that the first option should be to consider possibility of retention of trees at the site itself. The second option, in the event of retention not being possible and removal being necessary, should be to explore the suitability of trees for the translocation. The felling should be the last option for those trees which cannot be retained on-site and are also not suitable for translocation. The TEC decided to make that assessment through the field inspection of each tree.

The TEC decided to verify the preliminary assessment by Tree Officer, BBMP and for that purpose decided to visit the Project Area for field inspections from 30.8.2021 and 02.09.2021.

8. The proceedings of the TEC regarding the above-mentioned review as per Step-6 of the MOP is attached to this report as Annexure-4.

Field Inspection by TEC:

9. The field inspections for assessment of trees standing in the project area at Central Silk Board (CSB) Junction to Kodibeesanahalli Metro Station (Up to Pier No. 335) for the Metro project was carried out by the TEC from 30.8.2021 to 02.09.2021.

The Tree Officer and the Representatives of BMRCL were present at the project area with all necessary documents.

At the Project Area during the course of Field Inspections, the following activities were carried out by the TEC for assessment of each tree in the project area.

- i. Physical verification of the tree number and the associated information collected by the Forest Department Officers in Template 2 Part-I, including tree health / tree defects and general assessment as per provision to section 8(3) of the Act, 1976.
- ii. Confirmation regarding those trees being inside the project construction area.
- iii. Review of preliminary assessment of trees made by the Tree Officer in the Template 2 Part-II.
- iv. Discussion with BMRCL Representatives to explore possibility of carrying out the construction without removal of trees, and identification of such trees which can be retained on-site.
- v. Assessment of the general conditions of the trees to decide the feasibility of translocation/transplantation when it is not feasible for their retention-on-site.
- vi. Recording of TEC's remarks and recommendations for on-site retention / translocation / felling of trees as stipulated in Template 2 Part-III.

The Committee in its above set of activities was guided by the detailed procedure and prioritization formulated in Step-7 of the MOP.

The proceedings of the TEC regarding the field inspection are attached to this report as Annexure-5.

Post-inspection Review and Report Preparation:

- 10. Having completed the field inspections from 30.8.2021 to 02.09.2021, the TEC met to review its findings and assessment and further to formulate its recommendations and prepare the report.
- 11. **On-site Retention**: The TEC identified 44 trees which though standing in the project area but not hindering the project activities can be retained-on-site.
- 12. As verified during the field inspection, the remaining 789 trees which will have to be suggested for translocation and felling are falling within the proposed following physical features of Metro Project and therefore these trees need to be removed from their current location.

SI No	Physical Features	Tree No	Location of the trees
1	Construction of Loops & Ramps D, E Ramps RHS service road & foot path A ramp LHS service road foot path, pocket track- LHS service road area	1 to 45 62 to 113	Central Silk Board (CSB) Junction
		46 to 61	CSB Metro Station
		284 to 335	HSR Metro Station
2	Construction of proposed Metro station	379 to 513	Agara Metro Station
		757 to 773	Iblur Metro Station
		797 to 803	Kadubeesanahalli Metro Station
		114 to 218	Between CSB and HSR Metro Station
		336 to 378	Between HSR and Agara Metro Station
3	Structural works - Viaduct (Pile, Pile	514 to 720	Between Agara and Iblur Metro Station
	cap, Pier and erection activities)	774 to 796	Between Iblur and Bellandur Metro Station
		804 to 833	Between Kadubeesanahalli and Kodibeesanahalli Metro Station
4	Structural works (Portal Pier)	721 to 756	Between Agara and Iblur Metro Station
5	Construction of Loops & Ramps (C-Ramp)	219 to 283	CSB Junction

13. **Translocation:** The next option considered by the TEC in case of those trees which could not be retained-on-site was translocation.

Having concluded that the retention of the above mentioned 789 trees is not possible, the TEC chose the next option of translocation of trees and assessed the suitability of each of these trees. In doing so, the TEC considered the following conditions, in addition to verification and consideration of the tree health / tree defects, etc., recorded in the Template-2 Part-I.

- i. Proximity of tree to building structures, trunks proximity to the cement / concrete or tarred surface.
- ii. The trees having below stated characteristics did not qualify for translocation.

Trees having forked trunk, major wounds on the trunk, debarking, physical damage on the bark, scar due to fire, damage (girdling), rotting due to fungal infection (fruiting bodies of fungus, rotten core, hollowness) or pest infestation (presence of holes and frass as evidence of insect infestation), and dead / dried major branches, etc.

- iii. Other aspects of species viz., economically important species, species that could provide food (nectar, pollen, seeds and fruits) and nesting sources (materials and site) to various fauna.
- iv. The availability of effective zone to extract the root-ball of sufficient size. The trees in the above category (ii) and those without adequate effective zone to extract the root-ball of sufficient size were specifically not recommended for the translocation.

Taking into consideration the above mentioned assessment attributes, the TEC found that there are 212 numbers of trees at the said sites which are suitable for translocation.

The remaining 577 Nos. of trees were not found to be suitable either for retention on-site or for translocation, and hence will have to be felled.

14. **Assessment of areas/sites for Translocation:** Having completed the above assessment of trees at the project area, the Committee visited the following area proposed by BMRCL and recommended by the Tree Officer for translocation of trees.

CMP Centre, Training area, HSR 1st Sector, 27th Cross, Ibluru, Bengaluru – 560 102

An extent of 2.4 Ha of land in this locality has been identified for translocation of 212 trees.

15. Further the Committee reviewed the soil test analysis report, of the above CMP Centre, Training area, as prepared by Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, UAS, GKVK, Bangalore and recommended by the Tree Officer and DCF, BBMP, with the following inference:

"The four soil sample provided for analysis are acidic in nature, low organic content and contains low to medium quantities of major nutrients (N,P,K as per standards) and all other parameters vary from medium to high ranges as per standards. Therefore with proper amendment application soil is suitable for translocation/compensatory plantation".

16. Regarding details of the Translocation of Trees, the TEC directed the DCF, BBMP and BMRCL to submit the precise locations of the proposed areas of translocation along with specific receptor site Coordinates where the trees have to be translocated at the above proposed translocation area.

In response, BMRCL has furnished the details to the Tree Officer and Deputy Conservator of Forests, vide their letter No. BMRCL/SEMU/2021-22/7848 dtd 10.11.2021. In turn the Tree Officer, BBMP submitted the required details along with receptor sites coordinates and with his recommendations to TEC which are enclosed as Annexure 6.

17. The entire translocation details were reviewed by TEC. In this context, BMRCL states that the boundary of translocation areas were demarcated and coordinates of specific locations were marked for proposed translocation sites related to the said 212 trees. This exercise of demarcation and coordinates mapping was carried out using Total Station Survey (Topcon Make). The Tree Officer and DCF, BBMP has inspected the receptor location sites as proposed by BMRCL for the trees to be translocated and recommended accordingly to TEC.

On enquiry with the BMRCL and the Tree Officer about the distances of the proposed translocation sites with respect to the places where the trees are standing at present, the authorities remarked that for the trees standing between Central Silk Board (CSB) Junction to Kodibeesanahalli Metro Station (Upto Pier No. 335) area on ORR, pertaining to Phase – 2A, (PACKAGE 1), the proposed translocation site situated at *CMP Centre, Training area, HSR 1st Sector, 27th Cross, Ibluru, Bengaluru – 560 102* is within 3 Kms distance from the area where the trees are standing at present. The Translocation Area is falling in the BBMP jurisdiction where the provisions of KPT Act, 1976 are applicable.

- 18. The TEC deliberated and concurred with the recommendation of the Tree Officer, BBMP regarding the said soil analysis report of UAS, Bangalore and tree translocation details including specific receptor sites coordinates.
- 19. The TEC opined that translocation of trees can be done in the proposed sites after following the advice as rendered by UAS, Bangalore.
- 20. **Recommendations of TEC:** The TEC carried out a thorough and multipronged scrutiny of all the trees to make its recommendations regarding:
 - a) Trees which could be saved by retaining on-site as it is;
 - b) Trees which should be translocated depending upon their general condition as assessed and ecological importance, in the event of (a) above not being possible;
 - c) Trees recommended for removal in the event of (a) and (b) not being possible including the trees which are silviculturally matured or softwood trees and trees suffering from defects /damages.

Following is the summary of recommendations of the Committee based on the remarks as expressed in the Template-2 Part-III of each tree.

Particulars	Total No. of trees	
Total number of Trees examined/observed	833	
Total number of Trees recommended for on-site retention	44	
Total number of Trees found suitable for translocation	212	
Total number of Trees for felling	577	

The translocation should be carried out by competent agencies following the guidelines formulated by UAS, GKVK, Bangalore.

In finalizing its report, the TEC has been guided by the process highlighted in Step-8 of the MOP, namely:

- i. Meticulous scrutiny of recommendations by the Tree Officer in compliance to the MOP;
- ii. Field inspection to assess each and every tree and record the status of tree and recommendation for its on-site retention/translocation/felling and inspection of the translocation area/site as mentioned in para 14 above.

21. Directions to BMRCL and DCF, BBMP

- a) The entire translocation process of trees has to be executed by BMRCL through the Agencies which are experienced in such field operations under close supervision of the Tree Officer.
- b) The TEC instructed the Environment Wing of BMRCL to get closely involved in all the field operations related to trees and saplings, maintain records pertaining to Translocation of Trees as well as Compensatory Afforestation, both in respect of the works already executed and to be executed henceforth.
- c) The Tree Officer and BMRCL authorities are directed to properly document the translocation process which includes inter-alia location of the translocated trees, name and address of the Person/Agency to whom the translocation work was entrusted, agreement regarding the proper maintenance of the translocated trees for a period of three years by BMRCL.
- d) BMRCL should be advised to raise Compensatory Afforestation on suitable lands in respect of trees to be removed by translocation and felling. For each tree removed, 10 Nos. of tall healthy saplings should be planted and properly maintained for a period of 3 years. Periodic

status reports must be submitted by BMRCL to the Tree Officer. It should be ensured that the greenery of Bengaluru is preserved and enhanced through effective maintenance of planted saplings, translocated trees and standing trees under all circumstances.

22. Monitoring and Evaluation

Quarterly progress reports have to be submitted by the BMRCL to the Tree Officer who shall regularly monitor and evaluate the maintenance and protection works for conducive growth of saplings planted and trees translocated.

23. Record Keeping:

- i. The Tree Officer is advised to maintain full records of the BMRCL application, its processing, field inspection, etc., for a minimum period of 3 years. The information collected in various templates prescribed as per MOP, especially Template-2 Part-1 to IV, should be maintained carefully.
- ii. An abstract of the recommendation of the TEC in Template No.4 and a detailed statement containing the recommendations with justification for each of the 833 trees covered in the application are appended as Appendix to this report.

Member - Secretary, TEC & Assistant Conservator of Forests, Bruhat Bengaluru Mahanagara Palike, Bengaluru.

Proceedings of Tree Expert Committee Meeting dated 19.08.2021 in respect of review of BMRCL Application, findings on Objections in response to Public Notice and Preliminary Assessment of Trees by Tree Officer & DCF

Application No. BMRCL/Advisor-Civil/ORR/Ph-2A/2021/1329 dtd 12.06.2021

Project Area: Central Silk Board (CSB) Junction to Kodibeesanahalli Metro Station (Up to Pier No. 335) on ORR

- 1. The Tree Officer and Deputy Conservator of Forests, BBMP vide his letter No. DCF/PR/774/2021-21 dated 26.07.2021 has submitted his preliminary assessment of trees related to application filed by BMRCL pertaining to 833 number of trees standing at the proposed Central Silk Board (CSB) Junction to Kodibeesanahalli Metro Station (Upto Pier No. 335) on ORR Phase 2A, (Package 1), of the Metro Project, Bengaluru. The submission is accompanied by following documents.
 - a. A copy of the Application dated 12.06.2021 from BMRCL along with details and map of the area and details of trees involved including their GPS coordinates.
 - b. A copy of the Public Notice dated 23.06.2021 issued by the Tree Officer & DCF, BBMP, a complete set of the objections/suggestions received from the public and a copy of the proceedings dated 09.07.2021 of the Tree Officer regarding consideration of the objections/suggestions as per Section 8 (3) (vii) of the Karnataka Preservation of Trees Act, 1976 (Henceforth referred as KPT Act).
 - c. Tree Assessment Forms in Template 2 with Part I (dated 07.07.2021, 09.07.2021 & 10.07.2021) containing tree details as furnished by Range Forest Officer and Part II (dated 09.07.2021; 12.07.2021 & 14.07.2021) containing preliminary assessment of the Tree Officer for each of 833 trees proposed for removal by BMRCL.
 - d. Abstract of the review of the BMRCL application and preliminary assessment of trees by the Tree Officer in Template 3 Part I.
 - e. A statement prepared by Tree Officer showing the tree details along with preliminary assessment and justification for on-site retention/translocation/felling of trees.

The very purpose of issue of Public Notice provides a structured way of obtaining concerns / objections of the public and to consider them carefully.

- 2. The application was reviewed by the Tree Expert Committee (mentioned as TEC henceforth) in its meeting held on 19.08.2021. The TEC also considered the objections/suggestions received from the public, remarks and findings of the Tree Officer in respect of each objection, and proceedings dated 09.07.2021 of the Tree Officer regarding consideration of those objections.
- The TEC observed that total 776 objections/suggestions have been received in response to the public notice. The Tree Officer has observed that most of the objections/suggestions relate to granting extension of time because of the prevailing pandemic during that period, to restrict the felling of trees and to increase the extent of compensatory afforestation. One of the objections pertained about the discrepancy in the number of trees as the objector mentioned that the number of trees stated as per DPR and EIA Reports and the number of trees as per the enumeration list prepared now do not tally. The Tree Officer with respect to the above observation/suggestions has considered and given reasonable extension of time for filing objections. He also emphasized that felling of trees is always kept to bare minimum and is based on the strategy being followed with regard to assessment of trees, i.e., first option being retention-on-site, second being translocation, if retention is not possible and last resort will be felling. Also adequate number of saplings will be planted under compensatory afforestation and its proper maintenance will be taken care of. With respect to the other aspects like discrepancies in the number of trees as per above said reports, the DCF referred the matter to BMRCL. The replies furnished by the BMRCL and endorsed by Tree Officer state that the DPR and EIA Reports were prepared during October 2019 and October 2020 respectively, while the enumeration list of trees were prepared during June 2021, thus causing change in the number of trees indicated in the enumeration list as some saplings might have come under tree category. Secondly, there had been some alteration in the land extent required for the project. These two factors have contributed for increase in the number of trees. The TEC concurred with the replies furnished by the Tree Officer regarding the objections/suggestions received in response to Public Notice.
- 4. The Chief Engineer, Social and Environment Management Unit (SEMU), BMRCL and concerned Engineers of the project area were present during the meeting. They were asked to make a presentation regarding the project details, necessity for removal of the trees given the project alignment, possibility of retaining the trees while carrying out the project construction.

He emphasized that Metro Project being a mass rapid transit system, seeks to setup a convenient, efficient, safe and sustainable mode of public transport. Its benefits include a shift from private modes of transport to public transport, and thereby a significant reduction in use of private vehicles, other things remaining unchanged. Such modal shift is estimated to have a significant reduction in pollution in the project area. (Reference: "Note on Potential Reduction in Pollution" based on iDeCK's study on "Economic Analysis for 2A and 2B Corridors of Bangalore Metro" Annexure-6).

5. The TEC considered the Abstract of the Review of the Application by the Tree Officer and his preliminary assessment in Template 3 Part I. The Committee examined the detailed statement containing tree details and preliminary assessment/justification and noted the following recommendations made by the Tree Officer.

Total number of Trees assessed in the project area	833
Total number of Trees assessed for on-site retention	NIL
Total number of Trees assessed as suitable for translocation	135
Total number of Trees for felling	698

6. The TEC also perused the preliminary assessment of each tree in Part-I & II of Template 2. The TEC noted that the Tree Officer has personally inspected each tree before forming his preliminary assessment.

The TEC decided to visit the project area and scheduled the field inspection of the various spots/area.

The Tree Officer and the Representatives of BMRCL were asked to be present at the project area at the time of field inspection along with all necessary documents.

Member-Secretary, TEC & Assistant Conservator of Forests, Bruhat Bengaluru Mahanagara Palike Bengaluru



104

TEMPLATE No. 3

Part II

(to be prepared in compliance to Step 6 of the Memorandum of Procedure of TEC)

Remarks* of TEC on the day of TEC meeting:

(* Note: Including those on objections received in response to the public notice)

The replies and proceedings prepared by the Tree Officer in response to the objections /suggestions/remarks arising out of public notice were read before the Committee. The Tree Officer has considered the said objections/suggestions/remarks falling within the purview and powers vested with him. Regarding technical aspect, the matter was referred to the BMRCL authorities by the Tree Officer. They have responded and their remarks have been mentioned in the annexed sheet of the Tree Officer's proceedings.

After due deliberations, the Tree Expert Committee has directed the Tree Officer to initiate action on the constructive suggestions of the public for the matters falling within the powers vested with him and for the remaining subjects related to matters other than trees, the same can be communicated to the Project Authority/Competent Authority for perusal and future guidance.

The TEC concurred with the findings of the Tree Officer in respect of the objections from the Public.

The Tree Assessment Forms submitted by the Tree Officer were also perused.

The Tree Expert Committee decided to conduct the detailed spot inspection of the area.

Date: 19.8.21

Member Secretary of TEC & Assistant Conservator of Forests
BBMP, Bangalore

No.:

PUBLIC NOTICE

This is to bring to your notice of all citizens of Bengaluru that Bangalore Metro Rail Corporation Limited (BMRCL) had submitted the application to the under signed for removal of trees from Central Silk Board to Kodibeesanahalli Station up to pier No.- 335 of ORR Phase-2A (Package-1)

Name of the Agency	Construction of elevated viaduct of length 19.633 Km from Central Silk Board (CSB) Junction to K.R Puram & upto Baiyappanahalli Depot (Including Thirteen Elevated Metro Stations, Road widening, Utility diversion & other allied works of Bangalore Metro Rail Project of Outer Ring Road (ORR) line Phase-2A (Package-1)) Bangalore Metro Rail Corporation Limited					
Purpose for removal of tree	Infringing Metro Construction works from Central Silk Board to Kodibeesanahalli Station up to pier No 335 of ORR Phase-2A (Package-1)					
Description of the area with clear demarcation of boundaries or		Latitu	de Longitude		Location	
with GPS readings	No 1 12° 54' 59.054"		78° 22' 56.221"	Area Starting		
	2	2 12° 54' 56.982"		78° 22' 56.28"	from Central Silk Board	
	3	12° 56' 40.45"		77° 41' 51.78"	Area ending at Kodibeesanahalli	
	4 12° 56' 40.		0.05"	77° 41' 53.18"	Metro Station up to pier No 335	
 Enumeration of trees Total no. of trees standing in the project area 		No's nexure-1)	Description of trees, Species, Location Area Map etc are uploaded in the websit of BBMP for information of al (www.bbmp.gov.in)			
• Total no. of trees proposed to be removed	1	No's nexure-1)				
Mode of communication of comments (public can send their comments either by E-mail / Post / Hand)	Address: The Deputy Conservator of Forests, BBMP, N.R square, Bengaluru- 560002. E-mail Id: dcfbbmp12@gmail.com					
Deadline for filing objections				date of publication elevant and speci	n of this notification fic to the project	

In this background suggestions/objection invited from all citizens in terms of section 8(3)(vii) of the Karnataka Preservation of Tree Act 1976.

Date:

Tree Officer &
Deputy Conservator of Forests,
BBMP, N.R square,
Bengaluru

ಪತ್ರಿಕಾ ಪ್ರಕಟಣೆ

ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಮಹಾನಗರ ಮತ್ತು ಸುತ್ತಮುತ್ತಲಿನ ಸಮಸ್ತ ನಾಗರಿಕರ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತರುವುದೇನೆಂದರೆ, ಒಟ್ಟು ದೂರ 19.633 ಕಿ.ಮೀ. ವ್ಯಾಪ್ತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆಯುತ್ತಿರುವ, ಮೆಟ್ರೋ ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಾಗಿ ಅಡ್ಡಬರುತ್ತಿರುವ ಮರಗಳ (ಕೇಂದ್ರ ರೇಷ್ಮೆ ಮಂಡಳಿ ಇಂದ ಕೊಡಿಬಿಸನಹಳ್ಳಿಯವರೆಗೆ ಪಿಯರ್ ನಂ. 335) ತೆರವಿಗಾಗಿ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಮೆಟ್ರೋ ರೈಲು ನಿಗಮ ನಿಯಮಿತವು ಮನವಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದು, ವಿವರಗಳು ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತಿರುತ್ತವೆ.

ರೋಜನೆಯ ಹೆಸರು	Construction of elevated viaduct of length 19.633 Km from Central Silk Board (CSB) Junction to K.R Puram & upto Baiyappanahalli Depot and Thirteen Elevated Metro Stations (including Road widening, Utility diversion & other allied works of Bangalore Metro Rail Project of Outer Ring Road (ORR) line Phase-2A)				
ಎಜೆನ್ಸಿಯ ಹೆಸರು	+			್ರಲು ನಿಗಮ ನಿಯಾ	
ಮರ ತೆರವು ಗೊಳಿಸುವ ಉದ್ದೇಶ	ರೇಷ	್ಮ ಮಂಡಳಿ ಇಂ	ದ ಕ	ಕೊಡಿಬಿಸನಹಳ್ಳಿಯ	್ತರುವ ಮರ ಕೇಂದ್ರ ುವರೆಗೆ ಪಿಯರ್ ನಂ.
	-		ೈನ್	ಫೇಸ್-2ಎ ಪ್ಯಾಕೇ	æ − 1)
ಯೋಜನೆಯ ಬರುತ್ತಿರುವ ಪ್ರದೇಶದ	SI	Latitude		Longitude	Location
ನಿರ್ದೇಶಾಂಕಗಳು.	1	12° 54' 59.05	4"	78° 22' 56.221"	Area Starting from Central Silk
	2	12° 54' 56.982	2"	78° 22' 56.28"	Board
	3	12° 56' 40.45'		77° 41' 51.78"	Area ending at Kodibeesanahalli
	4	12° 56' 40.05'	,	77° 41' 53.18"	Metro Station up to Pier No. 335)
ಯೋಜನಾ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಸ್ತುತ ಇರುವ ಮರಗಳು	833 No's (Annexure-1)			ಗ, ನಕಾಶೆಗಳ	α.
ಯೋಜನಾ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ತೆರವುಗೊಳಿಸಬೇಕಾದ ಮರಗಳು	(Annexure-1)			ವಿಎಂಪಿ ವೆಬ್ಸೈಟ ನಗಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ. ww.bbmp.gov.in)	್ನಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾಹಿತಿಗಾಗಿ
ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆಗಳನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸುವ ವಿಧಾನ (ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರು ನೇರವಾಗಿ/ಇ–ಮೇಲ್/ ಅಂಚೆ ಮುಖಾಂತರ ಈ ಕೆಳ ಸಹಿದಾರರ ಕಛೇರಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬಹುದು)	ವಿಳಾಸ: ಅರಣ್ಯ ಉಪ ಸಂರಕ್ಷಣಾಧಿಕಾರಿ, ಬಿಬಿಎಂಪಿ, ಎನ್ ಆರ್ ಸ್ಕ್ವೇರ್, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು- 560002 Email ID: dcfbbmp12@gmail.com ದಾಖಲೆ ಪತ್ರಗಳನ್ನು ಕೆಳ ಸಹಿದಾರರ ಕಛೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕಛೇರಿ ಕೆಲಸದ ವೇಳೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಬಹುದು.				
ಆಕ್ಷ್ಮೇಪಣೆಗಳನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕಾದ				ದಿನಾಂಕದಿಂದ 10	ದಿನಗಳೊಳಗಾಗಿ
ಅವಧಿ				^{ಯುರು} ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾವಿತ ೦	
		ುಂಧಿಸಿರಬೇಕು		ے ۔۔۔ور ر	

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿನ ಮರಗಳನ್ನು ತೆರವುಗೊಳಿಸುವ ಸಂಬಂಧ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರ ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆ/ ಸಲಹೆಗಳಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ವೃಕ್ಷ ಸಂರಕ್ಷಣಾ ಕಾಯಿದೆ 1976 ಸೆಕ್ಷನ್ 8(3)(vii) ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಸಲಹೆ/ಸೂಚನೆಗಳನ್ನು ನೀಡಲು ಆಹ್ವಾನಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ದಿನಾಂಕ:

ಉಪ ಅಶಣ್ಯಸಂರಕ್ಷಣಾಧಿಕಾರಿ ಬಿಬಿಎಂಪಿ, ಎನ್ ಆರ್ ಸ್ಕ್ವೇರ್,

ವೃಕ್ಕಾಧಿಕಾರಿ

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

Published on 24.06.2021

Visayakaninatalo Kannasa Neuro Pareg

ಬೃಹತ್ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಮಹಾನಗರ ಪಾಲಿಕೆ

ಿಉಪ ಅರಣ್ಯ ಸಂರಕ್ಷಣಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ಕಛೇರಿ, ಅನೆಕ್ಸ್ ಕಟ್ಟಡ-3, ಎನ್.ಆರ್. ಚೌಕ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

ಸಂ.ಸೆ : DCF/PR. 494A/2021-22

ಧಿನಾಂಕ: 23.06.2021

ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಪ್ರಕಟಣೆ

ಈ ಖೂಲಕ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಮಹಾನಗರ ಮತ್ತು ಸುತ್ತಮುತ್ತಲಿನ ಸಮಸ್ತ ನಾಗುಕರ ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ ತರುವುದೇನೆಂದರೆ, ಒಟ್ಟು ದೂರ 19.633 ಕಿ.ಮೀ. ವ್ಯಾಪ್ರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆಯುತ್ತಿರುವ ಮೆಟ್ರೋ ಕಾರ್ಮಾರ್ರಿಗಾಗಿ ಅಡ್ಡ ಬರುತ್ತಿರುವ ಮಠಗಳ (ಕೇಂದ್ರ ರೇಷ್ಟ್ರ ಮಂಡಳಿ ಇಂಡ ಕೊಡಿಸಿಸನಹಳ್ಳಿಯವರೆಗೆ ಪಿಯರ್ ನಂ. 335) ತೆರವಿಗಾಗಿ ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಮೆಟ್ರೋ ರೈಲು ನಿಗಮ ನಿಯಮಿತವು ಮನವಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದು, ಪಿವರಗಳು ಕೆಳಕಂಡುತಿರುತ್ತವೆ.

	7						
ಯೊಳಿಒನೆಯ ಹೆಸರು	Construction of elevated viaduct of length 19.633 Km from Central Silk Board (CSB) Junction to K.R Puram & up to Baiyappanahalli Depot and Thirteen Elevated Metro Stations, (Including Road widening, Utility diversion & other allied works of Bangalore Metro Rail Project of Outer Ring Road (ORR) line Phase-2A (Package-1))						
ಏಜೆನ್ನಿಯ ಹೆಸರು	ಚೆಂಗ	ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಮೆಟ್ಕೋ ರೈಲು ನಿಗಮ ನಿಯಮಿತ					
ಮರ ತೆರವುಗೊಳಿಸುವ ಉದ್ದೇಶ	ಮೆಚು ಕೂಡೀ	ಮೆಟ್ರೋ ರೈಲು ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗೆ ಅಡ್ಡ ಬರುತ್ತಿರುವ ಮರ ಕೇಂದ್ರ, ರೇಷ್ಟ್ರೆ ಮಂಡಳಿ ಇಂದ ಕೊಡಿಯಿಸನಹಳ್ಳಿಯವರೆಗೆ ಹಿಯರ್ ನಂ. 335 (ಒಆರ್ಆರ್ ಲೈನ್ ಫೇಸ್ - 2ಎ ಪ್ಯಾಕೇಜ್ - 1)					
ಯೋಜನೆ ಬರುತ್ತಿರುವ ಪ್ರದೇಶದ ನಿರ್ದೇಶಾಂಕಗಳು	SI. No	Latitude	Longitude	Location			
. 50	1	12° 54' 59.054"	78° 22' 56.221"	ಕೇಂದ್ರ, ರೇಷ್ಟ್ ಮಂಡಳ ಯಿಂದ			
	2	12" 54" 56,982"	78° 22' 56 28"	ಸ್ರಾರಂಭವಾಗುವ ಪ್ರವೇಶ			
	3	12° 56' 40.45"	77° 41' 51.78"	ಕೊಡಿಬಿಸನಹಳ್ಳ ಮೆಟ್ರೋ			
	4	12° 56' 40.05"	77° 41' 53.18"	ನಿಲ್ವಾಣದಪರೆಗೆ ಪಿಯರ್ ನಂ.335 ಕೊನೆಗೊಳ್ಳುವ ಪ್ರದೇಶ			
೧೯೧೯ರಾವ ಪ್ರದೇಶವಾಗಿ ಹಳುತ	922	Mala					

		4 3)313			
ಯೋಜನಾ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಸ್ತುತ ಇರುವ ಮರಗಳು	833 No's (Annexure-1)	ಮರಗಳ ವಿವರ, ಜಾತಿ, ಮರಗಳಿರುವ ಜಾಗ. ನಕಾರೆಗಳ ವಿವರಗಳನ್ನು ಬಜಎಂಪಿ ವೆಬ್ಸ್ಟ್ರೇತ್ನಲ್ಲಿ			
ಯೋಜನಾ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿ ತೆರವುಗೂಳಿಸ	833 No's	ಮಾಹಿತಿಗಾಗಿ ಒವಗಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.			
ಬೇಕಾದ ಮರಗಳು	(Annexure-1)	(www.bbmp.gov.in)			
ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆಗಳನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸುವ ವಿಧಾನ	ವಿಳಾಸ: ಉಪ ಆರಣ್ಣ ಸಂರಕ್ಷಣಾಧಿಕಾರಿ, ಬಿಜಿಎಂಪಿ,				
(ಸಾರ್ವಜನಕರು ನೇರವಾಗಿ ಇ-ಮೇಲ್)	ಎನ್.ಆರ್. ಸ್ಟ್ರೇರ್, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು-560 002.				
ಅಂಜಿ ಪರಿಚಾಣಕರ ಈ ಕೆಳಿಸಿದಿದಾರರ	E-mail ld:dcfbbmp12@gmail.com				
ಕರ್ಟೆರಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬಹುದು)	ದಾಖಲೆ ಪತ್ರಗಳನ್ನು ಕೆಳಪಹಿದಾರರ ಕಛೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕಛೇರಿ ಕೆಲಸದ ಪೇಳೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಬಹುದು.				
ಆಕ್ಷೇಷಣೆಗಳನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕಾದ	ಈ ಪ್ರಕಟಣೆ ಹೊರಡಿಸಿದ ದಿನಾಂಕದಿಂದ 10 ದಿನಗಳೊಳಗಾಗಿ.				
ಆವಧಿ	ಸೂಚನೆ: ಆಕ್ಷೇಷಣೆಗಳು ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾವಿತ ಯೋಜನೆಗೆ ಮಾತ್ರ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿರಬೇಕು.				


ಮೇಲ್ಯಂಡ ಪ್ರದೇಶದಲ್ಲಿನ ಮರಗಳನ್ನು ಕೆರವುಗೊಳಿಸುವ ಸಂಬಂಧ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕರ ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಡೆ/ಸಲಹೆಗಳಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ವೃಕ್ಷ ಸಂರಕ್ಷಣಾ ಕಾಯಿದ 1976 ಸೆಕ್ಷನ್ 8(3)(vii) ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಸಲಹೆ/ಸೂಚನೆಗಳನ್ನು ನೀಡಲು ಆಹ್ವಾನಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ಸಹಿ/- ವೃಕ್ಚಾಧಿಕಾರಿ ಮತ್ತು ಉಪ ಅರಣ್ಯ ಸಂರಕ್ಷಣಾಧಿಕಾರಿ, ಬಿಬಿಎಂಪಿ, ಎನ್.ಆರ್. ಚೌಕ, ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

Jupiles on 24.06.202.

Figurary Kannala Verestate

241





BRUHAT BANGALORE MAHANAGARA PALIKE

Office of the Deputy Conservator of Forests, Annex Building-3, N.R. Square, Bengaluru

No.: DCF/PR. 494A/2021-22

Date: 23.06.2021

PUBLIC NOTICE

This is to bring to your notice of all citizens of Bengaluru that Bangalore Metro Rail Corporation Limited (BMRCL) had submitted the application to the undersigned for removal of trees from Central Silk Board to Kodibeesanahalli Station up to pier No.- 335 of ORR Phase-2A (Package-1).

Name of the Project	Construction of elevated viaduct of length 19.633 Km from Central Silk Board (CSB) Junction to K.R Puram & up to Baiyappanahalli Depot and Thirteen Elevated Metro Stations, (Including Road widening, Utility diversion & other allied works of Bangalore Metro Rail Project of Outer Ring Road (ORR) line Phase-2A (Package-1))					
Name of the Agency	Bang	galore Metro Rail	Corpo	ration Limit	ed	
Purpose for removal of tree	Kodi	Infringing Metro Construction works from Central Silk Board to Kodibeesanahalli Station up to pier No 335 of ORR Phase-2A (Package-1)				
Description of the area with clear demarcation of boundaries or with	St. Latitude L		ongitude.	Location		
GPS readings	1 12° 54′ 59.054″ 78° 2		22' 56.221"	Area Starting from		
	2 12° 54' 56.982" 78		78°	22' 56.28"	Central Silk Board	
	3 12° 56′ 40.45° 77° 4′		41' 51.78"	Area ending at		
	4 12° 56′ 40.05° 77° 4			41' 53.18"	Kodibeesanahalli Metro Station up to pier No 335	
Enumeration of trees Total no. of trees standing in the project area	833 I (Ann	No's exure-1)		Description of trees, Species, Location, Area Map etc are uploaded in the website of BBMP for information		
 Total no. of trees proposed to be removed 	833 I (Ann	w.bbmp.gov.in)				
Mode of communication of comments (Public can send their comments either by E-mail/Post/Hand)						
Deadline for filing objections	Ten (10) days from the date of publication of this notification *Comments should be relevant and specific to the project					

in this background suggestions/objection invited from all citizens in terms of section 8(3)(vii) of the Karnataka Preservation of Tree Act 1976.

Sd/- Tree Officer & Deputy Conservator of Forests, BBMP, N.R Square, Bengaluru

Por 11864 00 24.01.2021

IN Tecem helms

length ween lager

Proceedings of Tree Expert Committee regarding Field Inspection of trees existing between Central Silk Board (CSB) Junction to Kodibeesanahalli Metro Station (upto Pier No. 335) area on ORR, pertaining to Metro Rail Project, Phase – 2A, (PACKAGE 1), Bengaluru

Application No. BMRCL/Advisor-Civil/ORR/Ph-2A/2021/1329 dtd 12.06.2021

Project Area: Central Silk Board (CSB) Junction to Kodibeesanahalli Metro Station (Up to Pier No. 335)

- 1. In furtherance of the earlier TEC meeting proceedings (dtd 19.08.2021), the field inspection for assessment of trees standing in the Metro Rail Project Area between Central Silk Board (CSB) Junction to Kodibeesanahalli Metro Station (upto Pier No. 335) area on ORR, pertaining to Phase 2A, (PACKAGE 1), was carried out by the TEC from 30.08.2021 to 02.09.2021. The Tree Officer and the Representatives of BMRCL were present at the site.
- 2. The following activities were carried out by the TEC for each tree assessed.
 - i. Physical verification of the tree number and the associated information collected by the Forest Department Officers in Template 2 Part I, including tree health / tree defects and general assessment.
 - ii. Confirmation regarding those trees being inside the project construction area.
 - iii. Discussion with BMRCL Representatives to explore possibility of carrying out the construction without removal of trees, and identification of those trees which can be retained on-site.
 - iv. Review of preliminary assessment made by the Tree Officer in the Template 2 Part II.
 - v. Assessment of the general conditions of the trees to decide the feasibility of tree translocation in the event of retention-on-site not possible.
- 3. The Committee in its above set of activities was guided by the detailed procedure and prioritization formulated in Step 7 of the Memorandum of Procedure (MOP).
- 4. The Committee carried out the thorough and multipronged scrutiny of all the trees to make its recommendations regarding:
 - a) Trees which could be saved by retaining on-site as it is;
 - b) Trees which should be translocated depending upon their general condition as assessed and ecological importance, in the event of (a) above not being possible;

- c) Trees recommended for removal in the event of (a) and (b) not being possible including the trees which are silviculturally matured or softwood trees and trees suffering from defects / damages.
- 5. **On-site Retention**: The TEC identified 44 trees though standing in the project area but not hindering the project activities, can be retained-on-site.
- 6. As verified during the field inspection, the remaining 789 trees which will have to be suggested for translocation and felling are falling within the proposed following physical features of the Project as per BMRCL letter no. BMRCL/GM/SEMU/2021-22/8092 dtd. 15.11.2021.

SI No	Physical Features	Tree No	Location of the trees
1	Construction of Loops & Ramps a. D, E Ramps RHS service road & foot path b. A ramp LHS service road foot path, pocket track- LHS service road area	01 to 45 & 62 to 113	Central Silk Board (CSB) Junction
			CSB Metro Station
	2 Construction of proposed Metro station	284 to 335	HSR Metro Station
2		379 to 513	Agara Metro Station
		757 to 773	Iblur Metro Station
		797 to 803	Kadubeesanahalli Metro Station
	Structural works - Viaduct (Pile, Pile cap, Pier and erection activities)	114 to 218	Between CSB and HSR Metro Station
		336 to 378	Between HSR and Agara Metro Station
3		514 to 720	Between Agara and Iblur Metro Station
		774 to 796	Between Iblur and Bellandur Metro Station
		804 to 833	Between Kadubeesanahalli and Kodibeesanahalli Metro Station
4	Structural works (Portal Pier)	721 to 756	Between Agara and Iblur Metro Station
5	Construction of Loops & Ramps (C-Ramp)	219 to 283	CSB Junction

Since these 789 trees are standing right in the construction activity area and hindering the project activities, their removal becomes inevitable.

7. The next consideration for the Committee was to identify the trees out of the above 789 trees standing in the Construction Zone which are fit for translocation. While making recommendations

for translocation of the trees, the Committee considered the following conditions, in addition to the tree health / tree defects etc., recorded in the Template 2 Part I.

- i. Proximity of tree to building structures, trunks proximity to the cement / concrete or tarred surface.
- ii. The trees having below mentioned characteristics did not qualify for translocation.

 Trees having forked trunk, major wounds on the trunk, debarking, physical damage on the bark, scar due to fire, damage (girdling), rotting due to fungal infection (fruiting bodies of fungus, rotten core, hollowness) or pest infestation (presence of holes and frass as evidence of insect infestation), and dead / dried major branches, etc.
- iii. Other aspects of species viz., economically important species, species that could provide food (nectar, pollen, seeds and fruits) and nesting sources (materials and site) to various fauna.
- 5. For the trees having the potential for translocation, availability of effective zone to extract the root-ball of sufficient size was also assessed. The trees in the above category (ii) and those without adequate effective zone to extract the root-ball were specifically not recommended for the translocation.
- 6. Ultimately the trees, which could neither be retained-on-site nor translocated, were recommended for felling as a last resort.
- 7. The assessment with justification for each tree was recorded as stipulated in Part-III of Template 2.
- 8. Following is the summary of recommendations of the Committee as recorded in the Template 2 Part III.

Total number of Trees standing from Central Silk Board (CSB) Junction to Kodibeesanahalli Metro Station (up to Pier No. 335 area) on ORR area – 833

Particulars	Total No. of trees
Total number of Trees examined/observed	833
Total number of Trees recommended for on-site retention	44
Total number of Trees found suitable for translocation	212
Total number of Trees for felling	577

9. A statement containing recommendations and justification along with the tree details is appended to these proceedings.

10. **Assessment of Areas for Translocation:** Having completed the above assessment of trees at the project area, the Committee visited the following area proposed by BMRCL and recommended by the Tree Officer for translocation of 212 trees to assess the land suitability.

CMP Centre, Training area, HSR 1st Sector, 27th Cross, Ibluru, Bengaluru – 560 102.

An extent of 2.4 Ha of land in this locality has been identified for translocation of 212 trees.

11. The Committee reviewed the soil test analysis report, of the above area, as prepared by Department of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, UAS, GKVK, Bangalore and recommended by the Tree Officer and DCF, BBMP, with the following inference:

"The four soil sample provided for analysis are acidic in nature, low organic content and contains low to medium quantities of major nutrients (N,P,K as per standards) and all other parameters vary from medium to high ranges as per standards. Therefore with proper amendment application soil is suitable for translocation/compensatory plantation".

The TEC examined the recommendation of the Tree Officer, BBMP on the basis of report of UAS, Bangalore with respect to land suitability for translocation of trees.

- 14. Regarding details of the Translocation of Trees process, the TEC directed the DCF, BBMP and BMRCL to submit the precise locations of the proposed areas of translocation and specific receptor site coordinates where the trees have to be translocated at the above proposed translocation area.
- 12. In pursuance to the instructions as mentioned in KPT Act 1976, BMRCL should take up Compensatory afforestation by planting of 7890 saplings @ 10 saplings for each of 789 trees to be translocated/felled.

Member - Secretary, TEC &

Assistant Conservator of Forests,

Bruhat Bengaluru Mahanagara Pallike,

Bengaluru.

ABSTRACT OF TEC REPORT

(to be prepared in compliance to Step 8 of the Memorandum of Procedure of TEC in consideration to the details documented for each tree in Template No. 2 and abstract in Template No.3)

Name of the user agency	Bangalore Metro Rail Corporation Limited
Purpose of the project	Construction of Elevated Viaduct from Central Silk Board (CSB) Junction to K.R Puram (Upto Pier No.335) on ORR (Package- 1)
Extent of the project area	19.633 Km
Location of the project area	Central Silk Board (CSB) Junction to Kodibeesanahalli Station (Upto Pier No. 335) on ORR Start Point Lat: N 12° 54' 59.054" Long: E 78° 22' 56.221" End Point Lat: N 21° 56' 40.45" Long: E 77° 41' 53.78"
Number of tree(s) enumerated in the project area	833
Number of tree(s) proposed for removal by user agency	833
Overall opinion on objections from the public	To save maximum number of trees and include public while taking decisions.
Number of tree(s) recommended for on-site retention	44
Number of tree(s) recommended for transplantation / translocation	212
Number of tree(s) recommended for felling	577

Member-Secretary - TEC & Assistant Conservator of Forests, BBMP, Bengaluru