



## BRUHAT BENGALURU MAHANAGARA PALIKE

No: DCF/PR.1952/2022-23

1952

Office of the  
Deputy Conservator of Forests,  
Bruhat Bengaluru Mahanagara Palike  
N.R Square, Bangalore

Date: 24.02.2023

### OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM

Sub: Permission regarding Translocation and Removal of trees which are standing at the Project Area from Baiyappanahalli Railway Station to Lottegollahalli Railway Station for **Bengaluru Suburban Railway Project (BSRP) in Corridor 02, Package 1, Bengaluru** – reg

Ref: a. K-RIDE Application No. KRIDE/BSRP/Tree Auction/Corr-2/08 dtd 04.07.2022  
b. Member Secretary, TEC and ACF Letter No. ACF/PR.82/2022-23 dtd 21.02.2023 along with Report and Proceedings of Tree Expert Committee

\* \* \* \* \*

#### **Preamble:**

The General Manager (Civil), K-RIDE vide their letter cited under reference (a) above, has sought permission for clearance of 1430 number of trees which are standing at the Project area extending from Baiyappanahalli Railway Station to Lottegollahalli Railway Station in Corridor – 2, Package 01 for the project “**Bengaluru Suburban Railway Project (BSRP)**”, of K-RIDE, Bengaluru

As such Public Notice dated 11.07.2022 was issued by the Tree Officer & DCF, BBMP as per Section 8 (3) of the Karnataka Preservation of Trees Act 1976 (as amended in 2015) with the intention to invite objections/remarks from public.

In response to the public notice, no suggestions/objections were received from public within the stipulated dates. The Tree Officer remarked that even though no objections/suggestions have been received, the first priority of the Forest authorities will be to save and retain more number of trees at the spot/site and in case that is not possible, the next option would be translocation of such trees which fulfill the desired criteria and felling of the trees has to be last resort. The Compensatory Afforestation would involve planting of saplings duly following the norms of 10 saplings to be planted in lieu of each tree translocated/felled (i.e., in the ratio 1:10).

In this context, the Field Forest Officers conducted the spot inspections on 18.07.2022; 19.07.2022 and 20.07.2022, the ACF/DCF visited the areas on 21.07.2022; 22.07.2022, and then TEC visited the areas and conducted field Inspections on 06.09.2022, 07.09.2022, duly examining all the trees besides having discussions with the Project Engineers.

The Field Inspection Report was tabled during the TEC meeting held on 19.12.2022 and detailed discussions were held.

- i. The primary objective of the TEC was to retain-on-site as many trees as possible.
- ii. In case the trees are falling within the project activity area and their removal becomes inevitable, the next option for TEC was for translocation of trees depending upon its general condition and its location so that the extraction of root ball of adequate size becomes feasible.
- iii. The felling of trees has to be the last resort and that has to be done very judiciously in a prudent manner.

Based on the records/documents produced by K-RIDE, followed by thorough scrutiny of the same and detailed discussions of the field inspection reports which were prepared after examination of each and every tree, the following order is issued.

### **ORDER**

Under the circumstances explained above and in exercise of the powers vested with the undersigned as per Section 8 (3) of Karnataka Preservation of Trees Act, 1976 and based on the guidelines and decisions taken as per the Field Inspection Report and Proceedings of the Meeting dated 19.12.2022 of the TEC for retention-on-site, translocation, and removal of trees which fall in the Project area extending from Baiyappanahalli Railway Station and Lottegollahalli Railway Station, the below mentioned schedule is approved subject to the conditions mentioned thereon. This Order will come into effect after fifteen (15) days from the date of uploading of the order on the Official website of BBMP and for that purpose separate directions will be issued from this Office.

### **SCHEDULE**

1. The One Hundred and Twenty Three (123) trees which are listed in Annexure A appended to this Official Memorandum have to be retained-on-site. Hence, permission is declined to remove the said 123 trees and they should continue to stand at their present locations.
2. Based on the considerations as stated above and also detailed in the Report, the Seventy Three (73) trees which are listed with justification, enclosed to this Official Memorandum as Annexure B have to be translocated. Hence permission is accorded to translocate the said 73 trees to suitable places as mentioned below in the 'Conditions'.
3. The remaining One Thousand Two Hundred and Thirty Four (1234) trees only which are listed with justification, enclosed to this Official Memorandum as Annexure C can be removed. Hence permission is accorded for removal of these said 1234 trees only as per the felling of trees norms adopted by Karnataka Forest Department (KFD).

### **Conditions**

1. No damage should be caused to the trees which are retained on the spot, while carrying out the civil works or any project related works.
2. The trees which are retained-on-site have to be properly protected and maintained. Accordingly K-RIDE should give an assurance in this respect.
3. The translocation of trees should be done at the following proposed locations in collaboration with the DCF, BBMP. As per your letter cited under ref. (c), no other

developmental activity has to be carried out in the following proposed areas for translocation of trees

The Location Site No. 03 which is situated near to the newly constructed badminton court, at Yeshwanthpur Railway Colony, Opposite to Treatment plant, Bengaluru.

4. The Persons/Agencies who are entrusted with translocation works should have sufficient knowledge and experience in such works.
5. The work of translocation of trees has to be executed under close supervision of Officials/Officers of Forest Wing of BBMP and according to the formulated guidelines of UAS, Bengaluru.
6. The trees so translocated have to be properly maintained and taken care of, for a minimum period of three years.
7. The entire process of translocation of trees has to be properly documented and records compiled in a systematic manner.
8. As per the Section 10 of KPT Act 1976, which provides that where any tree has fallen or destroyed due to force of nature or other natural causes, requires to plant a tree or trees in place of the tree so fallen or destroyed.
9. In lieu of the trees translocated and felled, 10 healthy and heighted saplings have to be planted in lieu of each tree either translocated or felled. The saplings have to be planted as per forestry practices and maintained for a minimum period of three years. Photographs and proper documentation has to be there for saplings/seedlings planted.
10. Regular monitoring must be done to ensure the conducive growth of translocated trees and planted saplings/seedlings.



Tree Officer and

Deputy Conservator of Forests  
Bruhat Bengaluru Mahanagara Palike,  
Bengaluru

**Copy to:**

1. The General Manager (Civil), K-RIDE, Samparka Soudha, 1<sup>st</sup> Floor, Opp. Orion Mall, Dr. Rajkumar Road, Rajajinagar 1<sup>st</sup> Block, Bengaluru
2. The Chairman, Tree Authority and Chief Conservator of Forests, Bangalore Circle, Bangalore for kind information
3. The Member Secretary – Tree Expect Committee, and the Assistant Conservator of Forests, BBMP for information and further action.
4. The Assistant Conservator of Forests, BBMP for information and further action
5. The Range Forest Officer/Deputy Range Forest Officers for information and further action
6. Office Copy





## Retention of Trees

**Project Area: From Baiyappanahalli Railway Station to Lottegollahalli Railway Station (Corridor-2, Package-1) for Bengaluru Suburban Railway Project**

Sl. No	Tree No.	Species name	Girth (Mtr)	Height (Mtr)	Justification
1.	157 157A 157B	Gere hannu	0.60 0.46 0.60	2.00 2.00 2.00	The site condition reveals that, The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
2.	161	Honge	0.79	1.50	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
3.	162	Coconut	1.21	9.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
4.	163	Coconut	0.95	10.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
5.	184 184A	Tabebuia rosea	0.72 0.40	3.00 2.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
6.	185	Tabebuia rosea	0.62	1.50	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
7.	186	Tabebuia rosea	0.68	2.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
8.	187 187A	Akasha mallige	0.85 0.67	2.00 2.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
9.	188 188A 188B	Sihi hunase	0.42 0.54 0.38	2.00 2.00 2.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
10.	189	Sihi hunase	0.41	2.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
11.	190	Gasagase mara	0.41	1.50	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.

12.	190/1	Sihi hunase	0.35	2.50	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
13.	200 200A 200B	Gasagase mara	0.72 0.38 0.39	2.00 2.00 2.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
14.	291 291A	Sihi hunase	0.33 0.31	2.00 2.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
15.	292	Sihi hunase	1.32	1.50	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
16.	293	Bellary jaali	1.83	3.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
17.	294	Rain tree	1.77	1.50	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
18.	295	Rain tree	0.75	5.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
19.	296	Coconut	0.94	10.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
20.	297	Rain tree	1.74	3.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
21.	298	Coconut	0.68	10.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
22.	299	Coconut	0.57	10.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
23.	300	Coconut	0.80	9.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
24.	301	Coconut	0.90	10.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.

25.	302	Coconut	0.79	10.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
26.	303	Coconut	0.84	9.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
27.	304	Coconut	0.86	9.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
28.	305	Coconut	0.87	9.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
29.	306	Coconut	0.97	10.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
30.	307	Coconut	0.75	10.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
31.	308	Coconut	0.82	10.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
32.	309	Coconut	1.08	10.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
33.	310	Survey mara	1.90	2.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
34.	311	Coconut	0.93	10.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
35.	312	Coconut	0.87	10.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
36.	313	Coconut	0.76	9.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
37.	314	Gobbara gida	0.54	2.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and

					hence recommended for retention.
38.	315 315A 315B 315C	Gobbara gida	0.53 0.67 0.51 0.46	2.00 2.00 2.00 3.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
39.	316	Gobbara gida	0.64	2.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
40.	317 317A 317B 317C 317D	Bhadraksha mara	0.45 0.53 0.64 0.54 0.82	2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
41.	318	Paper Mulberry	0.28	2.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
42.	319 319A 319B	Gobbara gida	0.71 0.47 0.59	4.00 2.00 2.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
43.	320	Paper Mulberry	0.34	2.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
44.	321	Paper Mulberry	0.72	2.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
45.	322	Paper Mulberry	0.58	2.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
46.	323	Gobbara gida	0.86	2.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
47.	324	Paper Mulberry	0.67	2.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
48.	325	Paper Mulberry	0.58	2.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
49.	326	Gobbara gida	1.05	2.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and

					hence recommended for retention.
50.	327 327A	Paper Mulberry	0.39 0.28	2.00 2.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
51.	328	Paper Mulberry	0.48	2.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
52.	329	Gobbara gida	0.68	2.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
53.	330	Gobbara gida	0.58	2.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
54.	331	Bhadraksha mara	0.30	2.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
55.	332	Coconut	1.08	10.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
56.	333	Coconut	0.93	10.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
57.	334	Coconut	0.81	8.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
58.	335	Coconut	0.69	9.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
59.	336	Coconut	0.90	10.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
60.	337	Coconut	0.89	10.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
61.	338	Coconut	1.04	10.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
62.	339	Coconut	1.11	10.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and

					hence recommended for retention.
63.	340	Bellary jaali	0.48	2.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
64.	341	Coconut	0.97	10.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
65.	342	Coconut	0.89	10.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
66.	343	Nilgiri	1.02	4.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
67.	344	Coconut	0.78	10.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
68.	345	Coconut	0.69	10.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
69.	346	Coconut	0.75	10.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
70.	347	Coconut	0.85	10.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
71.	348	Coconut	0.84	9.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
72.	349	Coconut	0.82	10.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
73.	350	Coconut	0.89	10.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
74.	351	Coconut	0.92	10.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.

75.	352	Coconut	0.84	10.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
76.	353	Coconut	0.80	10.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
77.	354	Coconut	0.91	10.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
78.	355	Coconut	0.81	10.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
79.	356	Coconut	0.80	10.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
80.	357	Coconut	0.95	10.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
81.	358	Coconut	0.85	8.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
82.	359	Coconut	0.79	7.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
83.	360	Coconut	0.63	5.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
84.	361	Coconut	0.85	12.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
85.	362	Paper Mulberry	0.54	2.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
86.	392	Mahogany	0.87	2.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
87.	395	Mahogany	1.66	1.50	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.

88.	396	Mahogany	0.69	1.50	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
89.	397 397A	Mahogany Mahogany	1.14 0.81	3.00 2.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
90.	398	Mahogany	1.21	2.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
91.	399	Mahogany	2.11	2.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
92.	400	Mahogany	1.48	2.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
93.	403 403A	Bhadraksha mara	0.74 0.29	2.00 2.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
94.	404	Mahogany	1.33	2.50	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
95.	405	Mahogany	0.28	2.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
96.	406	Mahogany	1.63	2.50	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
97.	407	Silver Oak	1.64	6.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
98.	408	Ashoka	1.10	3.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
99.	445	Coconut	0.80	10.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
100.	446	Coconut	0.96	10.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.



101.	454	Adike	0.43	10.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
102.	500	Coconut	1.00	10.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
103.	506	Coconut	1.07	10.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
104.	507	Coconut	0.86	10.00	The tree is standing away from the proposed construction activities of railway lane and hence recommended for retention.
105.	597	Peltoforum	0.79	2.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed alignment. Its recommended to retain on the site by pruning the branches.
106.	598	Peltoforum	1.50	1.50	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed alignment. Its recommended to retain on the site by pruning the branches.
107.	599	Peltoforum	1.32	1.50	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed alignment. Its recommended to retain on the site by pruning the branches.
108.	640	Rain tree	4.00	2.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed alignment. Its recommended to retain on the site by pruning the branches.
109.	661	Teakwood	0.74	2.50	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed alignment. Its recommended to retain on the site by pruning the branches.
110.	662	Teakwood	0.82	2.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed alignment. Its recommended to retain on the site by pruning the branches.
111.	663	Teakwood	0.79	3.50	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed alignment. Its recommended to retain on the site by pruning the branches.
112.	797 797 A	Mahogany	0.34 0.31	1.50 1.50	Tree coming on the edge of the proposed alignment, recommended for retention on the site.
113.	842	Mango	0.41	2.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed alignment. Its recommended to retain on the site by pruning the branches.
114.	844	Spathodia	1.13	3.50	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed alignment. Its recommended to retain on the site by pruning the branches.
115.	847	Gasagase mara	0.71	3.50	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed alignment. Its recommended to retain on the site by pruning the branches.

116.	848 848 A	Hebbevu Hebbevu	0.45 0.38	1.50 1.50	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed alignment. Its recommended to retain on the site by pruning the branches.
117.	849	Gasagase mara	0.35	2.50	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed alignment. Its recommended to retain on the site by pruning the branches.
118.	865	Sandalwood tree	0.70	2.00	Tree coming on the edge of the proposed alignment, recommended for retention on the site.
119.	866	Mango	0.94	2.50	Tree coming on the edge of the proposed alignment, recommended for retention on the site.
120.	867	Christmas Tree	2.23	12.00	Tree coming on the edge of the proposed alignment, recommended for retention on the site.
121.	868	Nerale	0.64	3.50	Tree coming on the edge of the proposed alignment, recommended for retention on the site.
122.	1132	Rain tree	2.18	2.50	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed alignment. Its recommended to retain on the site by pruning the branches.
123.	1133	Rain tree	1.95	3.50	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed alignment. Its recommended to retain on the site by pruning the branches.
<b>Total trees for Retention = 123 Nos.</b>					

  
 Tree Officer &  
 Deputy Conservator of Forests  
 BBMP, Bangalore.

## Transplantation of Trees

**Project Area: From Baiyappanahalli Railway Station to Lottegollahalli Railway Station  
(Corridor-2, Package-1) for Bengaluru Suburban Railway Project**

Sl. No	Tree No.	Species name	Girth (Mtr)	Height (Mtr)	Justification
1.	11	Nerale	0.50	2.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., young without major defects and hence recommended for transplantation.
2.	21	Nerale	0.65	2.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., young without major defects and hence recommended for transplantation.
3.	36	Atthi	0.31	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., young without major defects and hence recommended for transplantation.
4.	51	Hunase	0.40	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., young without major defects and hence recommended for transplantation.
5.	134	Ranjal	0.45	3.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., young

					without major defects and hence recommended for transplantation. The excavation of root ball to be executed with prior safety.
6.	137	Kari bevu	0.29	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., young without major defects and hence recommended for transplantation.
7.	146	Neem	0.48	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., young without major defects and hence recommended for transplantation.
8.	147	Mango	0.37	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., young without major defects and hence recommended for transplantation.
9.	150	Mango	0.53	2.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., young without major defects and hence recommended for transplantation.
10.	160 160A	Fig	0.26 0.29	2.00 2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., young without major defects and hence recommended for transplantation.

11.	178	Mango	0.47	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., young without major defects and hence recommended for transplantation.
12.	180	Sapota	0.42	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., young without major defects and hence recommended for transplantation.
13.	181	Hoovarasi Mara	0.29	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., young without major defects and hence recommended for transplantation.
14.	206	Mahogany	0.46	3.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., young without major defects and hence recommended for transplantation.
15.	207	Tabebuia rosea	0.70	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., young without major defects and hence recommended for transplantation.
16.	208	Mahogany	0.60	2.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., young without major defects and hence

					recommended for transplantation.
17.	212	Mahogany	0.51	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., young without major defects and hence recommended for transplantation.
18.	216	Atthi	0.35	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., young without major defects and hence recommended for transplantation.
19.	242	Kadu badami	0.34	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area proposed BSUR project limits (for Station and new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., young without major defects and hence recommended for transplantation.
20.	249/1	Toremathi	0.25	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area proposed BSUR project limits (for Station and new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., young without major defects and hence recommended for transplantation.
21.	254/1	Mahogany	0.20	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., young without major defects and hence recommended for transplantation.
22.	426	Teakwood	0.46	4.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., young without major defects and hence recommended for transplantation.

23.	427	Teakwood	0.36	3.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., young without major defects and hence recommended for transplantation.
24.	428	Teakwood	0.46	5.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., young without major defects and hence recommended for transplantation.
25.	430	Teakwood	0.39	4.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., young without major defects and hence recommended for transplantation.
26.	431	Teakwood	0.47	3.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., young without major defects and hence recommended for transplantation.
27.	441	Nelli kayi	0.42	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., standing within Teak cluster (with at most care), young without major defects and hence recommended for transplantation.
28.	526	Neem	0.65	1.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., young

					without major defects and hence recommended for transplantation.
29.	587	Rain tree	0.51	1.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., young without major defects and hence recommended for transplantation.
30.	627	Arali	1.55	2.00	Tree is coming in the proposed area and recommended for transplantation
31.	634 634 A 634 B	Dalichandra	0.40 0.47 0.32	3.50 3.50 2.00	Two side branches can be pruned and tree can be translocated to nearby area.
32.	644	Dalichandra	0.66	1.50	Tree is young and healthy. Recommended for Transplantation
33.	646	Dalichandra	0.75	2.50	Tree is young and healthy. Recommended for Transplantation
34.	649 649A	Sihi hunase Sihi hunase	0.90 0.86	1.50 3.00	Tree is forked coming in the proposed area and recommended for transplantation
35.	651 651 A 651 B 651 C 651 D	Sihi hunase	0.94 0.51 0.37 0.40 0.29	2.00 2.50 1.50 1.50 2.00	Tree is forked coming in the proposed area and recommended for transplantation
36.	675	Nerale	0.24	2.00	Tree is young and healthy. Recommended for Transplantation
37.	676	Badam	0.24	2.00	Tree is young and healthy. Recommended for Transplantation
38.	678	Halasu	0.69	2.50	Tree is young and healthy. Recommended for Transplantation
39.	683	Neem	0.33	2.00	Tree is young and healthy. Recommended for Transplantation
40.	698	Neem	0.41	2.00	Tree is young and healthy. Recommended for Transplantation
41.	699	Mahagany	0.83	2.00	Tree is young and healthy. Recommended for Transplantation
42.	700	Mahagany	0.74	2.00	Tree is young and healthy. Recommended for Transplantation
43.	703	Halasu	0.55	1.50	Tree is young and healthy. Recommended for Transplantation
44.	707	Mahagany	0.84	1.50	Tree is young and healthy. Recommended for Transplantation
45.	708	Mahagany	0.79	2.00	Tree is young and healthy. Recommended for Transplantation
46.	711	Rain tree	0.52	1.50	Tree is young and healthy. Recommended for Transplantation
47.	712	Sandalwood tree	0.32	2.00	Tree is young and healthy. Recommended for Transplantation



48.	715	Rain tree	0.63	2.00	Tree is young and healthy. Recommended for Transplantation
49.	728/1	Rain tree	0.90	2.50	Tree is young and healthy. Recommended for Transplantation
50.	731	Rain tree	0.86	2.50	Tree is young and healthy. Recommended for Transplantation
51.	753	Hebbevu	0.56	2.50	Tree is young and healthy. Recommended for Transplantation
52.	754	Arali	0.44	2.50	Tree is young and healthy. Recommended for Transplantation
53.	755	Hebbevu	0.50	3.00	Tree is young and healthy. Recommended for Transplantation
54.	761	Badam	0.45	3.00	Tree is young and healthy. Recommended for Transplantation
55.	785	Mahagany	0.77	5.00	Tree is young and healthy. Recommended for Transplantation
56.	794	Badam	0.38	3.00	Tree is young and healthy. Recommended for Transplantation
57.	853	Nerale	0.49	2.50	Tree is young and healthy. Recommended for Transplantation
58.	862	Kanagala mara	0.51	1.50	Tree is young and healthy. Recommended for Transplantation
59.	1013	Rain tree	0.44	3.00	Tree is young and healthy. Recommended for Transplantation.
60.	1175	Arali	1.19	2.00	Tree is young and found healthy. Hence, it is recommended for translocation.
61.	1203	Halasu	0.48	2.50	Tree is young and healthy. Hence, it is recommended for translocation.
62.	1250	Nerale	0.94	4.00	Over all of health condition of tree is healthy. Hence, it is recommended for translocation.
63.	1260 1260 A	Nerale	0.65 0.56	2.00 2.00	Tree has forked branches, healthy and it is near to tree No.1259, recommended for translocation very carefully, by excavating the root ball of earth.
64.	1266	Atthi	1.20	4.00	Tree is healthy, not found any visual defective symptoms. Hence, it is recommended for translocation.
65.	1281	Honge	0.70	2.00	Tree has straight bole with strong trunk base and healthy. Hence, it is recommended for translocation.
66.	1292	Nerale	0.81	3.50	Tree is young, healthy, not found any significant visible defective symptoms. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
67.	1294	Nerale	1.24	1.50	Tree is young, healthy, not found any significant visible defective symptoms. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
68.	1305	Rain tree	1.18	2.50	Tree has straight bole with strong trunk base and healthy. Hence, it is recommended for translocation.

69.	1309	Taapsee Mara	1.03	2.00	Tree found to be healthy, not found any visual defects. Hence, it is recommended for translocation.
70.	1406 1406A	Halasu	0.27 0.24	4.00 4.00	Tree has forked branches, tree is healthy, recommended for translocation.
71.	1418 1418A 1418B	Honge	0.48 0.32 0.39	1.50 2.00 2.00	Tree has multiforked, healthy, recommended for translocation by pruning branch A and B
72.	1421 1421A 1421B	Atthi	0.35 0.25 0.24	3.00 1.50 3.00	Tree has multi forked branches, suggested to translocate by pruning branches A and B
73.	1424 1424A	Honge	0.45 0.29	1.50 2.00	Tree has forked branches, healthy and not found any visible defects. Hence, it is recommended for translocation.
<b>Total trees for Translocation = 73 Nos.</b>					

  
Tree Officer &

Deputy Conservator of Forests  
BBMP, Bangalore.

## Felling of Trees

**Project Area: From Baiyappanahalli Railway Station to Lottegollahalli Railway Station (Corridor-2, Package-1) for Bengaluru Suburban Railway Project**

Sl. No	Tree No.	Species name	Girth (Mtr)	Height (Mtr)	Justification
1.	1	Tabebuia rosea	1.03	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) and decayed which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
2.	2 2A	Tabebuia rosea	0.81 0.55	2.00 2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., multiforked and decayed which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
3.	3	Tabebuia rosea	0.81	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., decayed (at 1.5m from base) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
4.	4 4A	Honge	0.68 0.63	2.00 2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., forked and decayed which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
5.	5	Tabebuia rosea	0.95	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible

					limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured and decayed (at the base & split) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
6.	6	Honge	0.75	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., decayed (with defects) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
7.	7 7A	Honge	0.71 0.42	2.00 2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., forked (with weak branch unions) and decayed (due to physical injuries) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
8.	8	Honge	1.06	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., multiforked and matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
9.	9	Honge	1.35	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.

10.	10 10A	Honge	0.68 0.47	2.00 2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., multiforked which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
11.	12	Mahogany	1.25	3.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
12.	13 13A	Mahogany	0.72 0.79	3.00 3.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., forked and decayed (knots ate forking region) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
13.	14	Arali	1.00	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., standing very close to tree no. 15 and 16 (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
14.	15	Neem	0.39	3.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., standing very close to tree no. 14 and 15 (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.

15.	16	Nerale	0.88	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., standing very close to tree no. 14 and 15 (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
16.	17 17A 17B	Dalichandra	0.56 0.46 0.32	3.00 2.00 2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., multiforked (with weak branch unions at forking regions) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
17.	18 18A	Mahogany	1.31 0.35	2.00 2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) and forked which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
18.	19	Mahogany	1.18	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
19.	20	Mahogany	1.53	3.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for

					felling.
20.	22 22A 22B 22C	Honge	0.80 0.34 0.32 0.29	2.00 2.00 2.00 2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., multiforked (with weak branch unions at forking regions) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
21.	23 23A 23B	Honge	0.43 0.42 0.41	2.00 2.00 2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., multiforked (with weak branch unions at forking regions) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
22.	24 24A 24B 24C	Honge	0.57 0.45 0.44 0.31	2.50 2.00 2.00 2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., multiforked (with weak branch unions at forking regions) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
23.	25 25A	Honge	0.51 0.50	2.00 2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., forked (with weak branch unions at forking regions) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
24.	26	Silver Oak	0.72	5.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., defects (too high), in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.

25.	27	Paper Mulberry	0.71	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., decayed (with termites indicating the susceptible conditions of the bark), in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
26.	28	Silver Oak	0.58	4.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., defects (too high), in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
27.	29	Rain tree	1.85	2.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
28.	30	Honge	0.92	3.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) and decayed which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
29.	31	Gasagase mara	0.96	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) and decayed which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree.



					Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
30.	32	Baage	1.50	5.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
31.	33	Gasagase mara	0.92	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) and decayed which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
32.	34	Honge	0.92	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
33.	35 35A	Honge	0.68 0.92	2.00 2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., forked and matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
34.	37 37A	Nerale	0.62 0.27	3.00 2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., forked (with weak branch unions) which precludes shifting /

					relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
35.	38 38A 38B	Honge	0.60 0.44 0.52	2.00 2.00 2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., multiforked (with weak branch unions at forking regions) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
36.	39 39A	Honge	0.75 0.73	2.00 2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., forked (with weak branch unions at forking regions) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
37.	40	Honge	0.94	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) and decayed which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
38.	41	Spathodea	1.34	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
39.	42 42A	Spathodea	0.96 0.74	5.00 3.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) and forked which precludes shifting /

					relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
40.	43	Spathodea	0.93	4.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
41.	44	Sihi hunase	0.46	4.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., multiforked (with weak branch unions at forking regions) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
	44A		0.44	4.00	
	44B		0.42	3.00	
	44C		0.53	2.00	
	44D		0.51	2.00	
42.	45	Coconut	1.25	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
43.	46	Sihi hunase	0.44	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., forked (with weak branch unions at forking regions) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
	46A		0.30	2.00	
44.	47	Sihi hunase	0.56	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., multiforked (with weak branch unions at forking regions) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree.
	47A		0.39	3.00	
	47B		0.42	2.00	
	47C		0.43	2.00	

					Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
45.	48	Coconut	0.73	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
46.	49	Coconut	0.74	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
47.	50	Coconut	0.78	9.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
48.	52	Coconut	0.65	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
49.	53	Gulmohar	0.37	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., defects, in addition to the species consideration which precludes

					shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
50.	54	Coconut	0.85	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
51.	55 55A	Gulmohar	0.42 0.33	2.00 2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., forked, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
52.	56	Coconut	0.63	9.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
53.	57	Coconut	0.65	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
54.	58 58A	Subabul	0.36 0.32	2.00 2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., forked, in addition to the species consideration which precludes

					shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
55.	59	Sihi hunase	0.43	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
56.	60	Sihi hunase	0.40	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
57.	61	Sihi hunase	0.24	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
58.	62 62A	Sihi hunase	0.40 0.32	2.00 2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., forked, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
59.	63	Sihi hunase	0.51	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree.

					Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
60.	64	Sihi hunase	0.69	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
61.	65	Sihi hunase	0.30	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
62.	66	Sihi hunase	0.24	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
63.	67	Sihi hunase	0.47	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
64.	68	Sihi hunase	0.43	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.

65.	69 69A	Sihi hunase	0.50 0.58	2.00 2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., forked, deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
66.	70	Paper Mulberry	0.85	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
67.	71 71A	Paper Mulberry	0.80 0.53	3.00 2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., forked, deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
68.	72	Bellary jaali	0.49	3.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
69.	73	Paper Mulberry	0.78	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.



70.	74	Paper Mulberry	0.26	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
71.	75	Paper Mulberry	0.29	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
72.	76	Paper Mulberry	0.27	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
73.	77	Paper Mulberry	0.40	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
74.	78	Paper Mulberry	0.25	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
75.	79	Paper Mulberry	0.52	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the

					proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
76.	80	Paper Mulberry	0.42	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
77.	81 81A	Sihi hunase	0.39 0.31	2.00 2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., forked, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
78.	82	Paper Mulberry	0.27	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
79.	83	Paper Mulberry	0.25	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
80.	84	Paper Mulberry	0.48	3.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health

					condition of the tree viz., deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
81.	85	Paper Mulberry	0.40	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
82.	86	Paper Mulberry	0.37	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
83.	87	Paper Mulberry	0.78	4.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
84.	88	Paper Mulberry	0.92	3.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
85.	89	Paper Mulberry	0.94	3.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree.

					Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
86.	90	Paper Mulberry	0.29	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
87.	91	Paper Mulberry	0.43	3.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
88.	92	Paper Mulberry	0.25	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
89.	93	Paper Mulberry	0.40	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
90.	94	Paper Mulberry	0.42	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
91.	95	Paper Mulberry	0.39	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible

					limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
92.	96	Paper Mulberry	0.49	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
93.	97	Paper Mulberry	0.41	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
94.	98	Bellary jaali	1.28	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
95.	99	Paper Mulberry	0.34	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
96.	100	Paper Mulberry	0.30	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new

					railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
97.	101	Bellary jaali	0.49	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
98.	102	Bellary jaali	1.30	2.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
99.	103	Paper Mulberry	0.54	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
100.	103/1	Paper Mulberry	0.35	2.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
101.	104	Paper Mulberry	0.61	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities, in

					addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
102.	105	Paper Mulberry	0.78	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
103.	106	Paper Mulberry	0.25	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
104.	107	Paper Mulberry	0.35	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
105.	108	Paper Mulberry	0.55	4.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
106.	109	Sihi hunase	0.30	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.

107.	110 110A 110B	Sihi hunase	0.58 0.38 0.44	2.00 2.00 2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., multiforked, deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
108.	111	Paper Mulberry	0.65	2.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
109.	112	Paper Mulberry	0.31	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
110.	113 113A	Sihi hunase	0.46 0.39	2.00 2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., forked, deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
111.	114	Sihi hunase	0.40	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
112.	115	Paper Mulberry	0.42	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible



					limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
113.	116	Paper Mulberry	0.29	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
114.	117	Sihi hunase	1.87	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
115.	118 118A 118B	Paper Mulberry	0.35 0.31 0.30	2.00 2.00 2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., multiforked, deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
116.	119	Paper Mulberry	0.52	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.

117.	120 120A 120B	Sihi hunase	0.35 0.28 0.31	2.00 2.00 2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., multiforked, deformities, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
118.	121 121A 121B	Honge	0.47 0.47 0.29	2.00 1.50 2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., multiforked (with weak branch unions at forking regions) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
119.	122	Dalichandra	0.51	4.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., constriction of roots, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
120.	123	Dalichandra	0.40	3.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., constriction of roots, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
121.	124	Dalichandra	0.86	2.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.

122.	125	Dalichandra	0.79	1.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) and standing close to tree no. 126, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
123.	126 126A	Nerale	0.59 0.41	3.00 2.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., forked and standing close to tree no. 125, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
124.	127	Dalichandra	0.80	1.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured and standing close to tree no. 125, 126, 128 which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
125.	128	Mango	0.45	1.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities and standing close to tree no. 125, 126, 127 which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
126.	129	Dalichandra	0.54	2.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., decayed, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
127.	130	Dalichandra	0.44	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible

					limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., decayed, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
128.	131	Nelli kayi	0.51	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., forked with weak branch unions, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
129.	132	Nerale	0.60	3.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., structure (too high and very close to IR lane), which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
130.	133	Neem	0.70	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., constriction of roots, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
131.	134/1	Mango	0.40	2.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling. Care should be taken to translocate the tree no. 134 first and then fell tree no. 134/1.
132.	135	Honge	0.90	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be

					retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
133.	136	Nerale	1.03	3.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
134.	138	Dalichandra	0.61	2.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities (topped), which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
135.	139	Mango	0.45	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities and very close to IR lane, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
136.	140	Neem	1.04	2.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
137.	141	Honge	0.64	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be

					retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., weak branch unions, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
138.	142	Dalichandra	0.37	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities (topped), which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
139.	143	Mango	0.30	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities and very close to existing IR lane, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
140.	144	Nerale	0.82	3.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured, deformities (deep cracks), which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
141.	145	Honge	0.79	1.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformities, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
142.	148	Nugge kayi	0.59	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., forked (with weak branch unions) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is

					recommended for felling.
143.	149	Honge	0.75	1.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., constricted and weak roots (as very close to drain) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
144.	151	Neem	0.85	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured, constricted and weak roots, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
145.	152	Sitafala	0.42	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., constricted and weak roots, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
146.	153	Bellary jaali	1.73	1.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
147.	154	Neem	0.67	2.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., dried, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
148.	155	Neem	0.99	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible

					limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., dried, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
149.	156	Mango	1.55	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
150.	158 158A 158B	Sapota	0.37 0.47 0.29	2.00 2.00 2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., multiforked (with weak branch unions at forking regions) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
151.	159 159A	Mango	0.62 0.57	2.00 2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., forked (with weak branch unions at forking regions) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
152.	164	Gasagase mara	0.89	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
153.	165	Silver Oak	0.95	3.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the



					proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
154.	166	Paper Mulberry	0.64	4.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., defects, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
155.	167	Paper Mulberry	0.38	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., defects, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
156.	168	Halasu	1.39	1.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., defects (too high), in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
157.	169	Coconut	0.86	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.

158.	170	Coconut	0.79	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
159.	171	Chakkotha	0.48	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., standing close to tree no. 172, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
160.	172	Silver Oak	1.95	6.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
161.	173	Mango	0.59	2.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., decayed with fungal fruiting bodies, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
162.	174	Adike	0.42	6.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., defects, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.

163.	175	Gasagase mara	0.63	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., root constriction, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
164.	176	Mango	0.53	3.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., base very close to tree no. 177, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
165.	177	Kari bevu	0.41	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., base very close to tree no. 176, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
166.	179 179A 179B	Pomegranate	0.25 0.24 0.22	2.00 2.00 2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., multiforked (with weak branch unions at forking regions) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
167.	182	Gasagase mara	0.58	2.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., decayed, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.

168.	183 183A 183B	Dalichandra	0.56 0.60 0.28	3.00 3.00 2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., forked (with weak branch unions) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
169.	191	Coconut	0.86	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
170.	192	Coconut	1.00	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
171.	193	Coconut	0.87	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
172.	194	Coconut	0.89	11.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.

173.	195	Coconut	0.78	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
174.	196	Coconut	0.80	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
175.	197	Coconut	0.95	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
176.	198	Coconut	0.92	11.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.

177.	199 199A	Gasagase mara	0.43 0.45	1.80 2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., forked (with weak branch unions at forking regions) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
178.	201	Akasha mallige	0.33	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., clusters growth with nearby trees, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
179.	202	Akasha mallige	0.31	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., clusters growth with nearby trees, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
180.	203	Akasha mallige	0.29	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., clusters growth with nearby trees, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
181.	204	Gasagase mara	0.51	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., defects, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.

192.	220 220A	Bellary jaali	0.40 0.46	2.00 2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area proposed BSUR project limits (for Station and new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., forked (with weak branch unions at forking regions) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
193.	221	Bellary jaali	0.38	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area proposed BSUR project limits (for Station and new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., defects, in addition to the species consideration, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
194.	222	Paper Mulberry	0.48	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area proposed BSUR project limits (for Station and new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., defects, in addition to the species consideration, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
195.	223	Paper Mulberry	0.33	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area proposed BSUR project limits (for Station and new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., defects, in addition to the species consideration, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
196.	224	Bellary jaali	0.26	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area proposed BSUR project limits (for Station and new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., defects, in addition to the species consideration, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
197.	225	Bellary jaali	0.31	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area proposed BSUR project limits (for Station and new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., defects, in addition to the species consideration, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree.

					Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
198.	226	Bellary jaali	0.31	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area proposed BSUR project limits (for Station and new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., defects, in addition to the species consideration, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
199.	227	Bellary jaali	0.27	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area proposed BSUR project limits (for Station and new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., defects, in addition to the species consideration, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
200.	228	Bellary jaali	0.52	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area proposed BSUR project limits (for Station and new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., defects, in addition to the species consideration, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
201.	229	Bellary jaali	0.51	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area proposed BSUR project limits (for Station and new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., defects, in addition to the species consideration, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
202.	230	Seema Thangadi	0.22	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area proposed BSUR project limits (for Station and new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., dried, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
203.	231	Harale	0.23	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area proposed BSUR project limits (for Station and new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., dried, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.



182.	205 205A	Gasagase mara	0.36 0.34	2.00 2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., forked, defects, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
183.	209 209A	Gasagase mara	0.41 0.28	2.00 2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., multiforked (with weak branch unions at forking regions) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
184.	210 210A	Gasagase mara	0.39 0.28	2.00 2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., multiforked (with weak branch unions at forking regions) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
185.	211 211A	Gasagase mara	0.51 0.39	1.50 2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., multiforked (with weak branch unions at forking regions) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
186.	213	Gasagase mara	0.50	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., defects, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.

187.	214	Gasagase mara	0.41	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., bent, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
188.	215	Gasagase mara	0.60	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., defects, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
189.	217	Gasagase mara	0.56	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., restricted root, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
190.	218 218A 218B	Akasha mallige	0.46 0.45 0.30	2.00 2.00 2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., multiforked (with weak branch unions at forking regions) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
191.	219 219A	Bellary jaali	0.53 0.62	2.00 2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., forked (with weak branch unions at forking regions) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.

204.	232	Bellary jaali	0.63	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area proposed BSUR project limits (for Station and new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., defects, in addition to the species consideration, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
205.	233	Rain tree	2.14	3.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area proposed BSUR project limits (for Station and new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
206.	234	Paper Mulberry	0.49	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area proposed BSUR project limits (for Station and new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., defects, in addition to the species consideration, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
207.	235	Bellary jaali	0.67	3.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area proposed BSUR project limits (for Station and new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., defects, in addition to the species consideration, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
208.	236	Harale	0.41	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area proposed BSUR project limits (for Station and new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., dried, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
209.	237 237A	Paper Mulberry	0.40 0.34	1.50 2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area proposed BSUR project limits (for Station and new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., forked (with weak branch unions at forking regions) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.

210.	238 238A	Paper Mulberry	0.38 0.35	2.00 2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area proposed BSUR project limits (for Station and new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., forked (with weak branch unions at forking regions) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
211.	239	Akasha mallige	0.77	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area proposed BSUR project limits (for Station and new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., defective base, decay, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
212.	240 240A 240B	Dalichandra	0.54 0.39 0.31	2.00 2.00 2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area proposed BSUR project limits (for Station and new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., multiforked (with weak branch unions at forking regions) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
213.	241	Spathodea	2.04	3.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area proposed BSUR project limits (for Station and new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
214.	243	Gasagase mara	0.52	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area proposed BSUR project limits (for Station and new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., defective branches, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
215.	244	Gulmohar	0.68	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area proposed BSUR project limits (for Station and new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., restricted root, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
216.	245	Jakaranda	0.50	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area proposed BSUR project limits (for Station and new railway lane), and

					therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., restricted root, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
217.	246	Tecoma	0.95	2.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area proposed BSUR project limits (for Station and new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
218.	247	Honge	0.68	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area proposed BSUR project limits (for Station and new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., defective branches, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
219.	248	Nelli kayi	0.41	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area proposed BSUR project limits (for Station and new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., defective branches, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
220.	249	Dalichandra	0.92	1.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area proposed BSUR project limits (for Station and new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
221.	249/2 249/2 A	Halasu	0.25 0.20	2.00 2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area proposed BSUR project limits (for Station and new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., forked, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
222.	250	Ashoka	0.61	4.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area proposed BSUR project limits (for Station and new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., restricted roots, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.

223.	251	Ashoka	0.80	3.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area proposed BSUR project limits (for Station and new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
224.	252	Ashoka	0.89	4.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area proposed BSUR project limits (for Station and new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured, defects (topped), in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
225.	253	Honge	1.40	1.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
226.	254	Hebbevu	0.97	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
227.	255	Mahogany	0.46	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., restricted roots, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
228.	256	Nelli kayi	0.79	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the

					proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured, restricted roots, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
229.	257	Honge	1.00	1.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured, restricted roots, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
230.	258	Mahogany	1.06	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured, restricted roots, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
231.	259	Peltoforum	1.69	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured, restricted roots, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
232.	260	Tecoma	0.72	3.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured, restricted roots, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
233.	261	Honge	1.22	1.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health

					condition of the tree viz., matured, restricted roots, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
234.	262 262A	Seema Thangadi	0.69 0.60	2.00 2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., forked, restricted roots, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
235.	263	Akasha mallige	0.43	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., decay, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
236.	264	Honge	0.44	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., base is close to tree no. 266, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
237.	265	Paper Mulberry	0.70	2.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., defective and species consideration, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
238.	266	Honge	0.26	2.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., base is close to tree no. 264, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.



239.	267	Paper Mulberry	0.43	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., defective and species consideration, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
240.	268	Nilgiri	1.63	3.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
241.	269	Nilgiri	1.02	4.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
242.	270	Nilgiri	0.65	4.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., defective and species consideration, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
243.	271	Nilgiri	1.32	4.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.

244.	272	Nilgiri	1.61	3.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
245.	273	Rain tree	1.26	1.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
246.	274	Rain tree	0.99	1.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
247.	275	Paper Mulberry	0.47	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., restricted roots, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
248.	276	Bhadraksha mara	0.65	2.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., close stands with tree no. 277 and 278, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.

249.	277	Bhadraksha mara	0.80	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured, close stands with tree no. 276 and 278, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
250.	278 278A	Bhadraksha mara	0.62 0.82	2.00 2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., forked, close stands with tree no. 276 and 277, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
251.	279	Coconut	0.88	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
252.	280	Coconut	0.83	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
253.	281	Rain tree	0.97	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.

254.	282	Coconut	0.90	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
255.	283	Coconut	0.69	9.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
256.	284	Subabul	0.32	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., dried, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
257.	285	Coconut	0.80	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
258.	286	Coconut	0.79	11.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.

259.	287	Seema Thangadi	0.36	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., defective, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
260.	288	Dalichandra	0.27	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., stunted, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
261.	289	Paper Mulberry	0.29	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., defective trunk, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
262.	290	Rain tree	0.85	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
263.	363	Paper Mulberry	0.35	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., very close to tree no. 364, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
264.	364	Rain tree	0.52	3.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the

					proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., bent, standing very close to tree no. 364, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
265.	365	Coconut	0.72	12.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
266.	366	Rain tree	4.82	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
267.	367	Coconut	0.74	12.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
268.	368	Buruga mara	1.22	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.

269.	369	Coconut	0.64	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
270.	370	Nilgiri	1.62	3.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
271.	371	Seema Thangadi	0.35	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., dried, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
272.	372	Akasha mallige	0.29	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., overgrown by other climber species and standing very close to nearby Mellingtonia sp., which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
273.	373	Akasha mallige	0.29	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., overgrown by other climber species and standing very close to nearby Mellingtonia sp., which precludes

					shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
274.	374	Akasha mallige	0.33	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., overgrown by other climber species and standing very close to nearby Mellingtonia sp., which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
275.	375	Akasha mallige	1.50	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., overgrown by other climber species and standing very close to nearby Mellingtonia sp., which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
276.	376	Coconut	0.75	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
277.	377	Coconut	0.71	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
278.	378	Rain tree	4.40	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health



					condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
279.	379	Atthi	1.14	3.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., decayed, matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
280.	380	Coconut	0.83	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
281.	381	Coconut	0.82	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
282.	382	Coconut	0.76	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.

283.	383	Coconut	0.68	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
284.	384	Coconut	0.90	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
285.	385	Coconut	0.70	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
286.	386	Rain tree	0.33	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., standing very close to tree no. 387 & 388, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
287.	387	Rain tree	0.48	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., standing very close to tree no. 386 & 388, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.

288.	388	Rain tree	0.59	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., standing very close to tree no. 386 & 387, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
289.	389	Silver Oak	1.66	5.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
290.	390	Silver Oak	1.40	6.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
291.	391	Silver Oak	2.06	6.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
292.	393	Sihi hunase	1.27	6.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (Station and for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.

293.	394	Rain tree	3.13	3.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (Station and for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
294.	401	Silver Oak	1.50	6.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
295.	402	Silver Oak	1.86	6.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
296.	409	Mahogany	1.90	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
297.	410	Honge	1.16	1.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.

298.	411 411A 411B	Honge	0.62 0.67 0.55	2.00 2.00 2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., multiforked (with weak branch unions at forking regions) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
299.	412	Honge	0.74	3.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., standing very close to tree no. 411, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
300.	413	Sihi hunase	0.42	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., deformed (topped), which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
301.	414 414A	Taapsee Mara	0.78 0.69	5.00 2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., multiforked (with weak branch unions at forking regions) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
302.	415 415A 415B	Taapsee Mara	0.78 0.82 0.47	1.50 3.00 2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., multiforked (with weak branch unions at forking regions) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.

303.	416	Rain tree	0.56	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., crooked (deformed), which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
304.	417	Mahogany	2.07	3.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
305.	418	Mahogany	2.10	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
306.	419	Arali	1.98	3.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
307.	420	Coconut	0.99	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
308.	421	Coconut	1.16	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be

					retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
309.	422	Coconut	1.39	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
310.	423	Coconut	1.27	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
311.	424	Adike	0.42	9.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., defects (too high), in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
312.	425	Teakwood	0.47	4.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., constricted roots, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
313.	429	Coconut	1.36	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be

					retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
314.	432	Mango	0.52	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., bent and defective, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling
315.	433	Coconut	1.22	12.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
316.	434	Coconut	1.18	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
317.	435	Coconut	1.12	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
318.	436	Coconut	0.96	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new



					railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
319.	437	Silver Oak	1.09	5.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
320.	438	Silver Oak	1.39	5.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
321.	439	Coconut	0.97	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
322.	440	Coconut	0.86	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
323.	442	Paper Mulberry	0.25	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible

					limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., defects, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
324.	443	Coconut	0.96	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
325.	444	Coconut	0.95	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
326.	447	Adike	0.47	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., defects (too high), in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
327.	448	Coconut	1.23	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
328.	449	Neem	0.49	3.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is

					standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., decayed, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
329.	450	Coconut	1.00	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
330.	451	Coconut	1.01	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
331.	452	Coconut	0.96	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
332.	453	Coconut	0.95	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.

333.	455	Mango	0.55	3.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., standing very close to tree no. 456, 457, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
334.	456	Pomegranate	0.32	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., standing very close to tree no. 455, 457, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
335.	457	Mango	0.77	3.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., standing very close to tree no. 455, 456, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
336.	458	Thale mara	0.26	4.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., multi shoots from the base, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
337.	459	Coconut	0.99	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.

338.	460	Coconut	1.22	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
339.	461	Coconut	1.05	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
340.	462	Coconut	1.30	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
341.	463	Coconut	0.93	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.

342.	464 464A 464B	Sihi hunase	1.36 0.73 1.03	2.00 2.00 4.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., multiforked (with weak branch unions at forking regions) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
343.	465	Coconut	1.14	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
344.	466	Coconut	1.25	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
345.	467	Subabul	0.64	3.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., crooked (with deformities), which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
346.	468	Lemon	0.35	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., defective, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.

347.	469	Coconut	1.23	8.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
348.	470	Coconut	1.24	9.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
349.	471	Coconut	1.04	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
350.	472	Coconut	1.45	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
351.	473	Ashoka	0.53	3.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., decayed, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.

352.	474	Coconut	1.16	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
353.	475	Coconut	1.02	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
354.	476	Coconut	0.99	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
355.	477	Adike	0.37	5.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., standing very close to adjacent trees, species consideration, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
356.	478	Adike	0.41	5.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., standing very close to adjacent trees, species consideration, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.



357.	479	Coconut	0.88	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
358.	480	Adike	0.36	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., standing very close to adjacent trees, species consideration, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
359.	481	Ashoka	0.50	3.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., defects (too high), in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
360.	482	Adike	0.44	5.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., standing very close to adjacent trees, species consideration, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
361.	483	Adike	0.44	5.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., standing very close to adjacent trees, species consideration, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
362.	484	Mango	0.47	5.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible

					limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., standing very close to adjacent trees, species consideration, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
363.	485	Adike	0.39	6.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., standing very close to adjacent trees, species consideration, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
364.	486	Adike	0.43	7.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., dead stump, standing very close to adjacent trees, species consideration, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
365.	487	Adike	0.43	5.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., standing very close to adjacent trees, species consideration, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
366.	488	Coconut	1.41	6.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
367.	489	Chakkotha	0.44	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the

					proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., standing very close to adjacent trees, species consideration, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
368.	490	Adike	0.30	4.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., standing very close to adjacent trees, species consideration, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
369.	491	Adike	0.25	3.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., standing very close to adjacent trees, species consideration, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
370.	492 492A 492B	Adike	0.27 0.31 0.24	4.00 3.00 3.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., multiforked (with weak branch unions at forking regions) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
371.	493	Nugge kayi	0.65	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., decayed, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
372.	494	Spathodea	0.85	3.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health

					condition of the tree viz., decayed, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
373.	495	Nugge kayi	0.69	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., decayed, standing very close to adjacent trees, species consideration, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
374.	496	Nugge kayi	0.54	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., decayed, standing very close to adjacent trees, species consideration, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
375.	497	Coconut	1.35	4.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
376.	498	Nugge kayi	0.74	5.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., decayed, standing very close to adjacent trees, species consideration, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
377.	499	Nerale	1.32	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health

					condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
378.	501	Coconut	1.17	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
379.	502	Adike	0.49	5.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., constricted roots, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
380.	503	Halasu	0.82	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., constricted roots, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
381.	504	Coconut	1.22	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
382.	505	Mango	0.65	1.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health

					condition of the tree viz., constricted roots, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
383.	508	Subabul	1.08	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
384.	509	Coconut	1.04	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
385.	510	Subabul	1.23	3.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
386.	511	Coconut	1.37	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
387.	512	Subabul	0.37	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be

					retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., bent, decayed, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
388.	513	Coconut	1.30	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
389.	514	Subabul	0.94	3.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
390.	515	Nilgiri	0.73	5.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
391.	516	Coconut	0.99	11.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
392.	517	Nerale	0.68	2.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new

					<p>railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., constricted roots, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.</p>
393.	518	Silver Oak	1.10	5.00	<p>The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.</p>
394.	519 519A	Honge	0.34 0.34	2.00 2.00	<p>The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., forked (with weak branch unions at forking regions) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.</p>
395.	520	Basavannapadha	0.47	2.00	<p>The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained.</p> <p>The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., constricted roots, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree.</p> <p>Hence the tree is recommended for felling.</p>
396.	521	Coconut	1.12	10.00	<p>The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained.</p> <p>The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree.</p> <p>Hence the tree is recommended for felling.</p>



397.	522 522A	Paneer Phool	0.52 0.29	2.00 2.00	<p>The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained.</p> <p>The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., forked (with weak branch unions at forking regions) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree.</p> <p>Hence the tree is recommended for felling.</p>
398.	523	Mango	0.72	2.00	<p>The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained.</p> <p>The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., decayed, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree.</p> <p>Hence the tree is recommended for felling.</p>
399.	524 524A	Chakkotha	0.40 0.45	2.00 2.00	<p>The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained.</p> <p>The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., forked (with weak branch unions at forking regions) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree.</p> <p>Hence the tree is recommended for felling.</p>
400.	525	Gasagase mara	0.80	3.00	<p>The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained.</p> <p>The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree.</p> <p>Hence the tree is recommended for felling.</p>
401.	527	Akasha mallige	0.32	2.00	The tree is felled and hence the tree is categorised under felling.
402.	528	Sihi hunase	0.62	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the

					<p>proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained.</p> <p>The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., defective, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree.</p> <p>Hence the tree is recommended for felling.</p>
403.	529	Bellary jaali	0.42	2.00	<p>The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained.</p> <p>The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., defective, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree.</p> <p>Hence the tree is recommended for felling.</p>
404.	530	Paper Mulberry	2.00	2.50	<p>The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree.</p> <p>Hence the tree is recommended for felling.</p>
405.	531	Rain tree	0.36	2.00	<p>The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., defective and standing very close to adjacent trees, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree.</p> <p>Hence the tree is recommended for felling.</p>
406.	532	Rain tree	0.59	2.00	<p>The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., defective and standing very close to adjacent trees, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree.</p> <p>Hence the tree is recommended for felling.</p>
407.	533	Seema Thangadi	0.64	2.00	<p>The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., defective and</p>

					standing very close to adjacent trees, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
408.	534	Subabul	0.80	3.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured, defective and standing very close to adjacent trees, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
409.	535	Subabul	0.65	4.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., defective and standing very close to adjacent trees, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
410.	536	Subabul	0.60	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., defective and standing very close to adjacent trees, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
411.	537	Coconut	1.27	10.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
412.	538	Subabul	0.69	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., defective and standing very close to adjacent trees, which

					precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
413.	539	Subabul	1.07	5.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
414.	540	Hebbevu	0.94	4.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
415.	541	Nerale	0.29	2.00	The tree is felled and hence the tree is categorised under felling.
416.	542	Bellary jaali	0.32	3.00	The tree is felled and hence the tree is categorised under felling.
417.	543	Mango	0.34	2.00	The tree is felled and hence the tree is categorised under felling.
418.	544	Hebbevu	1.27	4.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
419.	545	Subabul	0.51	3.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., defective, decayed, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
420.	546	Rain tree	0.60	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible

					limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., defective, decayed, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
421.	547 547A	Subabul	0.52 0.42	3.00 2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., forked, decayed, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
422.	548	Subabul	0.58	3.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., standing very close to tree no. 549, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
423.	549	Subabul	0.82	5.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
424.	550 550A 550B	Subabul	0.92 0.65 0.49	6.00 2.00 2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., forked, matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
425.	551	Subabul	0.31	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the

					proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., standing very close to tree no. 550, defective, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
426.	552 552A 552B	Subabul	0.73 0.50 0.45	3.00 2.00 2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., multiforked (with weak branch unions at forking regions) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
427.	553	Elachi Hannu	0.45	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., standing very close to tree no. 554, defective, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
428.	554 554A	Elachi Hannu	0.59 0.55	2.00 2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., forked (with weak branch unions at forking regions) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
429.	555 555A	Rain tree	0.45 0.55	2.00 2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., forked (with weak branch unions at forking regions) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
430.	556	Sihi hunase	0.60	2.00	The tree is felled and hence the tree is categorised under felling.
431.	557	Sihi hunase	0.49	2.00	The tree is felled and hence the tree is categorised under felling.

432.	558	Rain tree	0.79	2.00	The tree is felled and hence the tree is categorised under felling.
433.	559 559A	Rain tree	0.52 0.35	2.00 2.00	The tree is felled and hence the tree is categorised under felling.
434.	560	Sihi hunase	0.61	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., standing very close to adjacent tree species, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
435.	561	Sihi hunase	0.40	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., standing very close to adjacent tree species, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
436.	561/1	Sihi hunase	0.30	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., standing very close to adjacent tree species, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
437.	562	Silver Oak	0.83	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
438.	562/1	Basavannapadha	0.30	2.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible

					limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., standing very close to adjacent tree species, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
439.	563	Kalli mara	0.32	1.50	The tree is dead and hence categorised under felling.
440.	564	Silver Oak	0.38	1.50	The tree is dead and hence categorised under felling.
441.	565	Gulmohar	1.31	3.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
442.	566	Silver Oak	1.12	7.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
443.	567	Atthi	0.42	1.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., standing very close to adjacent trees, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
444.	568	Gulmohar	2.05	3.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation)



					which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
445.	569	Silver Oak	1.09	7.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
446.	570	Jungle	0.25	1.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
447.	571	Akasha mallige	0.23	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., decayed, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
448.	572	Silver Oak	1.36	6.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
449.	573	Coconut	0.99	13.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (based on the height, basal fibrous root condition, and

					scar out of disposed fronds) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
450.	574	Silver Oak	1.58	3.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
451.	575	Kalli mara	0.41	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., dead, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
452.	576	Arali	1.77	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
453.	577	Halasu	0.51	1.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., standing very close to adjacent tree species, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
454.	578	Nerale	0.35	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., standing very close to adjacent tree species, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree

					is recommended for felling.
455.	579	Atthi	2.82	1.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
456.	580	Goni mara	2.05	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., matured (with compromised root ball zone for excavation) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
457.	581	Nerale	0.48	2.00	The tree is already felled and hence categorised under felling.
458.	582 582A	Dalichandra	0.47 0.43	2.00 2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., forked (with weak branch unions at forking regions) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
459.	583	Gulmohar	0.51	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., constricted roots, bent, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
460.	584	Paper Mulberry	0.52	1.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., standing very close

					to adjacent tree species, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
461.	585	Paper Mulberry	0.56	2.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., standing very close to adjacent tree species, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
462.	586	Rain tree	0.40	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., bent and crooked, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
463.	588	Dalichandra	0.26	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., bent and crooked, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
464.	589	Sihi hunase	0.50	1.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., dried, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
465.	590	Jungle	0.51	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., defective, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.

466.	591	Jungle	0.55	2.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., decayed, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
467.	592	Jungle	0.46	2.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., defective and bent, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
468.	593	Jungle	0.46	2.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., defective, decayed, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
469.	594	Jungle	0.44	1.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., fallen, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
470.	595 595 A	Jungle	0.43 0.33	1.50 1.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., forked (with weak branch unions at forking regions) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
471.	596	Dalichandra	0.30	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new

					railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., constricted roots, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
472.	600 600 A	Teakwood	0.59 0.36	2.40 2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed alignment and recommended for felling
473.	601 601 A	Teakwood	0.53 0.35	2.00 1.50	Tree is forked, not possible for transplantation. Hence recommend for felling.
474.	602	Teakwood	0.37	2.50	Tree is forked, not possible for transplantation. Hence recommend for felling.
475.	603 603 A	Nilgiri	1.12 1.23	4.00 4.00	Tree is forked, not possible for transplantation. Hence recommend for felling.
476.	604 604 A	Nilgiri	0.84 1.07	4.00 4.00	Tree is forked, not possible for transplantation. Hence recommend for felling.
477.	605	Sihi hunase	0.99	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed alignment, hard wood species not possible for transplantation. Recommended for felling
478.	606	Sihi hunase	0.73	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed alignment, hard wood species not possible for transplantation. recommended for felling
479.	607 607 A	Sihi hunase	0.87 0.43	2.50 1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed alignment, hard wood species not possible for transplantation. recommended for felling
480.	608	Shivalingada mara	1.64	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed alignment, hard wood species not possible for transplantation. Recommended for felling
481.	609	Sihi hunase	0.41	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed alignment, hard wood species not possible for transplantation. Recommended for felling
482.	610	Sihi hunase	0.84	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed alignment, hard wood species not possible for transplantation. Recommended for felling
483.	611	Sihi hunase	0.83	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed alignment, hard wood species not possible for transplantation. Recommended for felling
484.	612 612 A	Sihi hunase Sihi hunase	0.73 0.77	3.50 3.50	Tree is coming within the proposed alignment, hard wood species not possible for transplantation. Recommended for felling
485.	613	Sihi hunase	0.45	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed alignment, hard wood species not possible for transplantation. Recommended for felling

486.	614 614 A	Sihi hunase	0.85 0.32	3.00 3.50	Tree is coming within the proposed alignment, hard wood species not possible for transplantation. Recommended for felling
487.	615	Sihi hunase	0.80	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed alignment, hard wood species not possible for transplantation. Recommended for felling
488.	616	Sihi hunase	0.71	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed alignment, hard wood species not possible for transplantation. Recommended for felling
489.	617	Sihi hunase	0.52	5.00	Tree is coming within the proposed alignment, hard wood species not possible for transplantation. Recommended for felling
490.	618	Sihi hunase	0.64	4.00	Tree is coming within the proposed alignment, hard wood species not possible for transplantation. Recommended for felling
491.	619 619 A	Sihi hunase	0.96 1.21	4.50 2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed alignment, hard wood species not possible for transplantation. Recommended for felling
492.	620	Sihi hunase	0.52	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed alignment, hard wood species not possible for transplantation. Recommended for felling
493.	621 621 A 621 B	Sihi hunase	0.81 0.36 0.25	2.00 1.50 1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed alignment, hard wood species not possible for transplantation. Recommended for felling
494.	622 622 A	Sihi hunase Sihi hunase	0.83 0.78	3.50 3.50	Tree is coming within the proposed alignment, hard wood species not possible for transplantation. Recommended for felling
495.	623 623 A	Sihi hunase	0.92 0.36	1.50 1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed alignment, hard wood species not possible for transplantation. recommended for felling
496.	624 624 A 624 B	Peltoforum	0.83 0.66 0.83	1.50 1.50 2.00	Tree is multi-forked, recommended for felling.
497.	625	Arali	4.80	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed alignment and matured. Recommended for felling
498.	626	Sihi hunase	1.33	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed alignment, hard wood species not possible for transplantation. Recommended for felling
499.	628	Teakwood	0.92	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed alignment and recommended for felling
500.	629	Teakwood	0.75	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed alignment and recommended for felling
501.	630	Dalichandra	0.78	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed alignment and recommended for felling
502.	631 631 A	Dalichandra	0.95 0.35	1.50 2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed alignment and recommended for felling

503.	632	Dalichandra	0.51	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed alignment and recommended for felling
504.	633	Teakwood	0.34	2.00	The tree main branch is cut, not healthy. Recommended for felling.
505.	635	Dalichandra	0.42	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed alignment and recommended for felling
506.	636 636 A	Sihi hunase	0.41 0.63	2.00 3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed alignment and recommended for felling
507.	637 637 A	Sihi hunase	0.55 0.37	2.00 2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed alignment and recommended for felling
508.	638	Teakwood	0.89	2.50	Tree bark is damaged and not healthy. Recommended for felling.
509.	639	Peltoforum	1.34	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed alignment and matured. Recommended for felling
510.	641 641 A	Peltoforum	1.12 0.75	3.50 1.50	Tree is forked, recommended for felling.
511.	642 642 A	Dalichandra	0.54 0.60	3.00 3.50	Tree is forked from the ground, not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling.
512.	643 643 A	Peltoforum	0.96 1.48	1.50 1.50	Tree is forked and recommended for felling
513.	645 645 A 645 B	Peltoforum	1.36 1.16 0.42	1.50 2.00 1.50	Tree is forked and recommended for felling
514.	647 647 A	Dalichandra	1.04 0.47	3.00 2.00	Tree is forked from the ground, not possible for transplantation. Hence recommend for felling.
515.	648	Jungle	0.41	1.50	Tree is dead, recommended for felling
516.	650	Dalichandra	0.61	3.00	Tree bark is damaged and not healthy. Recommended for felling.
517.	652	Sihi hunase	0.71	2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
518.	653	Teakwood	0.65	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed area, hence recommended for felling.
519.	654	Subabul	0.68	2.50	Tree is coming wihtin the proposed area, it's an invasive weedy species. Recommended for felling
520.	655	Subabul	0.87	2.00	Tree is coming wihtin the proposed area, it's an invasive weedy species. Recommended for felling
521.	656	Teakwood	0.96	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed area and matured. Recommended for felling.
522.	657 657 A	Subabul	0.69 0.40	3.50 3.50	Tree is coming wihtin the proposed area, it's an invasive weedy species. Recommended for felling.
523.	658 658 A	Sihi hunase	0.95 1.49	2.50 2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling



524.	659	Teakwood	1.47	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed area and matured. Recommended for felling.
525.	660	Teakwood	0.69	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed area and matured. Recommended for felling.
526.	664 664 A 664 B	Rain tree	1.04 0.80 0.52	2.00 2.50 1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed area, multi-forked. Recommended for felling.
527.	665 665 A	Honge	0.66 0.46	2.00 2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed area and forked. Recommended for felling.
528.	666	Paper Mulberry	0.80	5.00	Tree is coming within the proposed area and its an invasive in nature. Recommended for felling
529.	667	Paper Mulberry	0.87	3.50	Tree is coming within the proposed area and its an invasive in nature. Recommended for felling
530.	668	Paper Mulberry	0.50	5.00	Tree is coming within the proposed area and its an invasive in nature. Recommended for felling
531.	669	Paper Mulberry	1.15	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed area and its an invasive in nature. Recommended for felling
532.	670	Paper Mulberry	0.66	6.00	Tree is coming within the proposed area and its an invasive in nature. Recommended for felling
533.	671 671 A	Paper Mulberry	1.33 0.59	7.00 6.00	Tree is coming within the proposed area and its an invasive in nature. Recommended for felling
534.	672	Rain tree	2.09	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed area and matured. Recommended for felling
535.	673	Alada mara	2.69	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed area and matured. Recommended for felling
536.	674	Alada mara	6.00	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed area and matured. Recommended for felling
537.	677	Paper Mulberry	2.85	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed area and its an invasive in nature. Recommended for felling
538.	679 679 A	Mango	0.46 0.39	2.00 2.50	Tree if forked and not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling
539.	680	Mango	0.47	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed area and bended. Recommended for felling
540.	681	Arali	4.00	2.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
541.	682	Nerale	0.94	2.50	Tree is bended not fit for transplantation. Recommended for felling.
542.	684	Rain tree	1.10	2.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
543.	685	Paper Mulberry	1.10	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed area and its an invasive in nature. Recommended for felling
544.	686	Paper Mulberry	0.78	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed area and its an invasive in nature. Recommended for felling

545.	687	Paper Mulberry	0.65	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed area and its an invasive in nature. Recommended for felling
546.	688	Paper Mulberry	1.10	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed area and its an invasive in nature. Recommended for felling
547.	689	Gasagase mara	0.77	2.00	Tree is bended not fit for transplantation. Recommended for felling.
548.	690	Gasagase mara	0.36	2.00	Tree is bended not fit for transplantation. Recommended for felling.
549.	691	Neem	0.69	3.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
550.	692	Rain tree	1.84	2.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
551.	693	Sihi hunase	1.15	2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
	693 A		1.10	3.50	
552.	694	Neem	0.88	2.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
553.	695	Hebbevu	1.21	2.50	Tree main branch cut, hence Recommended for felling.
554.	696	Arali	1.60	2.50	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
555.	697	Honge	0.36	1.50	Tree is multi-forked, recommended for felling.
	697 A		0.30	1.50	
	697 B		0.30	2.00	
	697 C		0.27	1.50	
556.	701	Honge	0.38	2.00	Tree is multi-forked, recommended for felling.
	701 A	Honge	0.39	1.50	
	701 B	Honge	0.32	2.00	
	701 C	Honge	0.33	2.00	
	701 D	Honge	0.34	2.00	
557.	702	Honge	0.49	2.00	Tree is multi-forked, recommended for felling.
	702 A		0.41	2.00	
558.	704	Honge	0.67	1.50	Tree is forked, recommended for felling
559.	705	Neem	0.46	2.00	Tree is forked, recommended for felling
	705 A		0.42	3.00	
560.	706	Honge	0.54	1.50	Tree is forked, recommended for felling
	706 A		0.67	1.50	
561.	709	Honge	0.60	1.50	Tree is forked, recommended for felling
	709 A		0.30	2.00	
	709 B		0.36	2.00	
	709 C		0.36	2.00	
562.	710	Rain tree	0.96	1.50	Tree is forked, recommended for felling
	710 A		0.43	2.00	
563.	713	Mugali mara	0.62	1.50	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
	713 A		0.88	1.50	
564.	714	Arali	4.15	3.50	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
565.	716	Honge	0.55	2.00	Tree is forked, recommended for felling
566.	717	Rain tree	1.11	2.50	Tree is matured, recommended for felling

567.	718 718 A	Mugali mara	1.29 0.75	2.00 2.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
568.	719	Paper Mulberry	0.40	2.00	Tree is invasive in nature, recommended for felling
569.	720	Mugali mara	1.14	3.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
570.	721	Mugali mara	0.96	2.50	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
571.	722	Mugali mara	0.68	3.50	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
572.	723 723 A	Mugali mara	0.64 0.48	2.50 3.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
573.	724	Mugali mara	1.30	2.50	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
574.	725	Mugali mara	0.76	3.50	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
575.	726	Mugali mara	1.19	3.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
576.	727	Mugali mara	2.21	1.50	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
577.	728	Mugali mara	0.54	2.50	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
578.	729 729 A	Hunase	1.20 0.84	2.00 2.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
579.	730	Rain tree	1.44	2.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
580.	732	Echalu mara	0.95	4.50	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
581.	733	Paper Mulberry	0.75	3.50	Tree is invasive in nature, recommended for felling
582.	734 734 A	Jaali	0.85 1.29	2.00 2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
583.	735 735 A 735 B 735 C 735 D	Rain tree	0.65 0.66 0.66 0.63 0.64	1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50	Tree is forked, recommended for felling
584.	736 736 A	Rain tree	0.73 0.60	1.50 1.50	Tree is forked, recommended for felling
585.	737 737 A 737 B	Jaali	0.56 0.35 0.30	2.00 1.50 1.50	Tree is forked, recommended for felling
586.	738	Echalu mara	1.29	4.50	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
587.	739 739 A 739 B	Rain tree	0.56 0.45 0.80	1.50 1.50 2.00	Tree if forked and not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling
588.	740	Gasagase mara	0.52	1.50	Tree is bended not fit for transplantation. Recommended for felling.
589.	741	Gasagase mara	0.32	3.00	Tree is bended not fit for transplantation. Recommended for felling.
590.	742	Gasagase mara	0.39	2.00	Tree is bended not fit for transplantation. Recommended for felling.
591.	743	Gasagase mara	0.63	2.00	Tree is bended not fit for transplantation. Recommended for felling.
592.	744	Echalu mara	1.06	5.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling

593.	745	Echalu mara	0.98	5.50	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
594.	746	Echalu mara	1.12	6.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
595.	747	Honge	0.98	2.50	Tree is forked, recommended for felling
596.	748	Nerale	0.94	3.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
597.	749	Rain tree	1.75	2.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
598.	750	Rain tree	0.60	1.50	Tree is multi-forked, recommended for felling.
	750 A		0.43	1.50	
	750 B		0.33	5.00	
	750 C		0.39	1.50	
599.	751	Gasagase mara	0.53	2.00	Tree is bended not fit for transplantation. Recommended for felling.
600.	752	Hoovarasi Mara	0.73	2.00	Tree is bended not fit for transplantation. Recommended for felling.
601.	756	Sihi hunase	0.70	3.00	Tree is invasive in nature, recommended for felling
602.	757	Honge	0.58	2.00	Tree is forked, recommended for felling
603.	758	Dalichandra	0.61	3.50	Tree is bended not fit for transplantation. Recommended for felling.
604.	759	Nerale	0.87	2.00	Tree is forked, recommended for felling
	759 A		0.93	2.00	
605.	760	Honge	0.69	2.00	Tree is forked, recommended for felling
	760 A		0.49	3.00	
	760 B		0.34	3.50	
	760 C		0.44	1.50	
606.	762	Neem	0.63	4.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
607.	763	Gasagase mara	0.97	4.00	Tree is bended not fit for transplantation. Recommended for felling.
608.	764	Jungle	0.42	1.50	Tree is forked, recommended for felling
	764 A		0.26	1.50	
609.	765	Honge	0.38	1.50	Tree is forked, recommended for felling
610.	766	Subabul	0.90	2.50	Tree is invasive in nature, recommended for felling
	766 A	Subabul	1.15	2.50	
611.	767	Nilgiri	1.00	8.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
612.	768	Nilgiri	0.65	3.50	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
613.	769	Subabul	0.69	2.50	Tree is invasive in nature, recommended for felling
	769 A		0.71	4.00	
614.	770	Nilgiri	1.02	5.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
615.	771	Nilgiri	0.68	10.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
616.	772	Nilgiri	0.58	6.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
617.	773	Subabul	1.01	2.00	Tree is invasive in nature, recommended for felling
618.	774	Nilgiri	0.85	7.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
619.	775	Nilgiri	0.66	7.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
620.	776	Subabul	1.11	2.00	Tree is invasive in nature, recommended for felling
621.	777	Nilgiri	0.82	7.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling

622.	778	Sandalwood tree	0.54	2.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling as per KFD rules
623.	779	Nilgiri	1.86	8.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
624.	780	Subabul	0.84	3.50	Tree is invasive in nature, recommended for felling
625.	781	Subabul	0.58	2.00	Tree is invasive in nature, recommended for felling
626.	782	Subabul	0.54	2.00	Tree is invasive in nature, recommended for felling
627.	783	Nilgiri	1.19	7.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
628.	784	Silver Oak	1.41	8.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
629.	786	Silver Oak	1.39	8.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
630.	787	Silver Oak	0.96	8.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
631.	788 788 A	Nerale	0.35 0.50	2.50 2.50	Tree is forked, recommended for felling
632.	789 789 A	Gulmohar	1.17 0.73	1.50 1.50	Tree is forked, recommended for felling
633.	790	Ashoka	0.25	3.50	Tree is deep rooted not possible for transplantation. Recommended for felling.
634.	791	Rain tree	2.09	3.50	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
635.	792	Ashoka	0.24	2.00	Tree is deep rooted not possible for transplantation. Recommended for felling.
636.	793	Rain tree	1.28	5.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
637.	795	Gulmohar	1.85	6.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
638.	796	Hunase	0.33	2.00	Tree is deep rooted not possible for transplantation. Recommended for felling.
639.	798 798 A	Peltoforum	1.16 0.84	1.50 1.50	Tree is forked, recommended for felling
640.	799	Ashoka	0.49	4.00	Tree is deep rooted not possible for transplantation. Recommended for felling.
641.	800	Spathodia	2.00	2.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
642.	801	Spathodia	1.56	3.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
643.	802	Gulmohar	1.77	2.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
644.	803 803 A	Ashoka	0.39 0.36	1.50 1.50	Tree is deep rooted not possible for transplantation. Recommended for felling.
645.	804	Jungle	0.80	4.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
646.	805	Spathodia	1.74	3.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
647.	806 806 A	Seema Thangadi	0.56 0.45	3.00 3.00	Tree is forked, recommended for felling
648.	807	Hunase	0.98	4.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
649.	808	Dalichandra	0.94	7.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
650.	809 809 A 809 B	Seema Thangadi	0.68 0.70 0.39	2.50 2.00 3.00	Tree is invasive in nature, recommended for felling

651.	810 810 A	Seema Thangadi	0.90 0.40	2.00 2.00	Tree is invasive in nature, recommended for felling
652.	811	Seema Thangadi	0.47	3.00	Tree is invasive in nature, recommended for felling
653.	812	Gulmohar	2.27	2.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
654.	813	Gulmohar	1.11	3.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
655.	814 814 A	Sihi hunase	0.56 0.58	2.00 2.00	Tree is forked, recommended for felling
656.	815 815 A 815 B	Sihi hunase	0.57 0.34 0.35	2.00 3.00 2.00	Tree is forked, recommended for felling
657.	816 816 A	Sihi hunase	0.72 0.66	1.50 1.50	Tree is forked, recommended for felling
658.	817	Sihi hunase	1.60	6.00	Tree is forked, recommended for felling
659.	818	Sihi hunase	1.60	3.00	Tree is forked, recommended for felling
660.	819	Silver Oak	1.05	7.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
661.	820	Subabul	0.89	4.00	Tree is invasive in nature, recommended for felling
662.	821	Sihi hunase	0.33	3.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
663.	822	Sihi hunase	0.82	2.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
664.	823	Sihi hunase	0.40	2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
665.	824	Mugali mara	1.25	2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
666.	825	Echalu mara	0.76	3.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
667.	826	Echalu mara	1.12	6.50	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
668.	827	Echalu mara	0.86	6.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
669.	828 828 A 828 B 828 C	Mugali mara	1.95 1.04 0.83 0.56	3.50 3.50 3.00 3.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
670.	829	Mugali mara	1.56	3.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
671.	830	Sihi hunase	0.62	2.50	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
672.	831	Sihi hunase	0.57	2.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
673.	832	Seema Thangadi	0.55	1.50	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
674.	833	Sihi hunase	2.42	1.50	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
675.	834	Sihi hunase	0.80	3.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
676.	835	Jungle	0.44	2.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
677.	836 836 A	Sihi hunase	0.51 0.47	2.00 2.50	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
678.	837 837 A	Sihi hunase	0.53 0.51	1.50 2.50	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
679.	838	Hunase	2.60	1.50	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
680.	839	Hunase	1.69	2.50	Tree is matured, recommended for felling

681.	840	Nilgiri	2.77	4.50	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
682.	841	Nilgiri	0.83	4.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
683.	843 843 A 843 B 843 C	Sihi hunase	0.35 0.31 0.34 0.31	2.00 3.00 2.50 1.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
684.	845 845 A 845 B	Honge	0.65 0.37 0.37	2.50 2.50 3.00	Tree is forked, recommended for felling
685.	846 846 A	Mango	0.54 0.50	1.50 1.50	Tree is forked, recommended for felling
686.	850	Subabul	0.66	2.00	Tree is invasive in nature, recommended for felling
687.	851	Coconut	0.86	8.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
688.	852	Coconut	0.88	10.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
689.	854	Dalichandra	0.97	2.50	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
690.	855	Subabul	0.52	4.00	Tree is invasive in nature, recommended for felling
691.	856	Coconut	0.84	9.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
692.	857	Coconut	0.83	8.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
693.	858 858 A	Sihi hunase	0.25 0.41	2.00 2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
694.	859	Coconut	0.76	9.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
695.	860 860 A 860 B	Sihi hunase	0.49 0.39 0.49	1.50 1.50 1.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
696.	861	Coconut	0.85	8.50	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
697.	863	Coconut	0.77	7.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
698.	864	Ashoka	0.56	6.00	Tree is deep rooted not possible for transplantation. Recommended for felling.
699.	869	Silver Oak	0.77	7.50	Tree is deep rooted not possible for transplantation. Recommended for felling.
700.	870	Coconut	0.86	10.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
701.	871	Silver Oak	1.19	5.00	Tree is deep rooted not possible for transplantation. Recommended for felling.
702.	872	Coconut	0.90	10.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
703.	873	Coconut	1.03	10.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
704.	874	Coconut	0.90	10.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
705.	875	Coconut	0.91	10.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
706.	876	Coconut	1.07	10.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
707.	877	Coconut	0.93	9.50	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
708.	878	Coconut	1.01	10.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
709.	879	Coconut	1.06	9.50	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
710.	880	Coconut	0.92	9.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
711.	880/1	Rain tree	0.65	2.50	Tree is bended not fit for transplantation. Recommended for felling.
712.	881	Mugali mara	0.75	2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for

					transplantation, recommended for felling
713.	882	Mugali mara	0.45	3.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
714.	883	Mugali mara	0.65	1.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
715.	884	Mugali mara	0.79	1.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
716.	885	Coconut	0.94	8.50	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
717.	886	Coconut	0.92	8.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
718.	887	Coconut	0.99	8.50	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
719.	888	Mugali mara	0.55	2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
720.	889	Mugali mara	0.88	2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
721.	890 890 A	Jaali	0.46 0.37	1.50 2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
722.	891 891 A 891 B 891 C	Elachi Hannu	0.64 0.41 0.44 0.39	1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
723.	892	Jungle	1.02	2.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
724.	893 893 A	Paper Mulberry	0.43 0.44	2.00 2.00	Tree is invasive in nature, recommended for felling
725.	894	Rain tree	0.93	1.50	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
726.	895 895 A 895 B 895 C	Jaali	0.47 0.34 0.39 0.28	2.00 2.50 2.50 2.50	Tree is forked and hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
727.	896 896 A	Sihi hunase	0.87 0.66	2.00 3.50	Tree is forked and hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
728.	897	Sihi hunase	0.36	1.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
729.	898 898 A 898 B 898 C	Jaali	0.48 0.45 0.50 0.25	3.00 2.00 1.50 2.00	Tree is forked and hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
730.	899 899 A 899 B 899 C	Jaali	0.43 0.45 0.32 0.26	3.00 2.00 3.50 2.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
731.	900	Elachi Hannu	0.52	2.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling



732.	901 901 A 901 B 901 C	Jaali	0.33 0.43 0.35 0.34	3.50 3.50 3.00 3.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
733.	902 902 A 902 B 902 C	Sihi hunase	0.65 0.55 0.60 0.30	1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
734.	903	Sihi hunase	0.88	1.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
735.	904	Jaali	0.89	2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
736.	905 905 A 905 B 905 C	Sihi hunase	0.89 0.59 0.72 0.53	2.00 2.50 3.00 2.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
737.	906 906 A 906 B 906 C 906 D 906 E 906 F 906 G	Sihi hunase	0.68 0.43 0.39 0.51 0.59 0.56 0.45 0.74	2.00 1.50 4.00 2.00 2.50 2.50 2.00 2.00	Tree is multi-forked and hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
738.	907 907 A 907 B	Sihi hunase	0.46 0.60 0.40	2.00 2.00 2.00	Tree is multi-forked and hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
739.	908 908 A 908 B 908 C	Sihi hunase	0.79 0.76 0.65 0.60	2.50 2.00 2.00 1.50	Tree is multi-forked and hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
740.	909 909 A	Sihi hunase	0.75 0.42	2.00 2.00	Tree is multi-forked and hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
741.	910 910 A 910 B	Sihi hunase	0.34 0.24 0.30	3.50 3.00 4.00	Tree is multi-forked and hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
742.	911 911 A	Sihi hunase	0.45 0.32	3.50 2.00	Tree is multi-forked and hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
743.	912 912 A 912 B 912 C	Seema Thangadi	0.44 0.70 0.43 0.44	2.00 3.00 2.00 2.00	Tree is multi-forked and hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling

744.	913 913 A	Sihi hunase	1.00 0.94	1.50 1.50	Tree is multi-forked and hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
745.	914	Jaali	0.54	2.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
746.	915 915 A	Sihi hunase	0.58 0.56	2.00 4.00	Tree is multi-forked and hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
747.	916	Mugali mara	1.27	2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
748.	917	Mugali mara	0.36	2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
749.	918	Jungle	1.27	2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
750.	919	Mugali mara	0.44	2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
751.	920	Mugali mara	0.35	1.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
752.	921	Mugali mara	0.54	2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
753.	922	Mugali mara	0.76	1.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
754.	923	Sihi hunase	0.54	1.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
755.	924	Sihi hunase	0.28	2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
756.	925 925 A	Jaali	0.37 0.28	2.00 1.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
757.	926	Jungle	0.41	2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
758.	927 927 A 927 B 927 C 927 D 927 E	Sihi hunase	0.75 0.54 0.61 0.53 0.51 0.61	2.00 4.00 2.50 5.50 4.00 3.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
759.	928 928 A 928 B 928 C	Sihi hunase	0.57 0.36 0.55 0.80	3.50 3.00 3.50 2.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
760.	929	Sihi hunase	0.36	4.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
761.	930 930 A 930 B	Seema Thangadi	0.54 0.39 0.58	3.00 4.00 3.50	Tree is an invasive in nature, recommended for felling
762.	931	Jaali	0.75	3.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for

					transplantation, recommended for felling
763.	932	Jaali	0.43	2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
764.	933	Jaali	0.26	1.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
765.	934	Paper Mulberry	0.47	3.00	Tree is an invasive in nature, recommended for felling
766.	935	Paper Mulberry	0.40	3.50	Tree is an invasive in nature, recommended for felling
767.	936	Subabul	0.30	3.50	Tree is an invasive in nature, recommended for felling
768.	937 937 A	Mugali mara	0.47 0.26	2.50 1.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
769.	938	Jaali	0.67	1.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
770.	939	Subabul	0.25	2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
771.	940 940 A	Mugali mara	0.52 0.42	2.00 2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
772.	941	Mugali mara	0.59	3.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
773.	942	Mugali mara	0.42	3.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
774.	943 943 A	Mugali mara	0.54 0.29	2.00 3.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
775.	944	Jaali	0.53	1.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
776.	945 945 A	Mugali mara	0.44 0.46	1.50 1.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
777.	946 946 A	Seema Thangadi	0.24 0.24	3.00 3.00	Tree is an invasive in nature, recommended for felling
778.	947 947 A	Mugali mara	0.52 0.91	2.00 2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
779.	948	Mugali mara	0.53	1.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
780.	949 949 A	Mugali mara	0.48 0.39	2.50 4.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
781.	950	Gasagase mara	0.44	4.00	Tree is bended not fit for transplantation. Recommended for felling.
782.	951 951 A	Mugali mara	0.40 0.34	1.50 1.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling

783.	952 952 A 952 B	Mugali mara	1.06 0.41 0.33	2.00 1.50 2.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
784.	953 953 A 953 B 953 C	Mugali mara	0.87 0.67 0.45 0.49	1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
785.	954	Jaali	0.37	3.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
786.	955	Jaali	0.27	3.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
787.	956	Mugali mara	0.29	2.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
788.	957	Mugali mara	0.30	3.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
789.	958	Mugali mara	0.59	2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
790.	959	Mugali mara	0.38	2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
791.	960	Mugali mara	0.35	2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
792.	961	Subabul	0.24	3.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
793.	962	Mugali mara	0.99	2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
794.	963	Mugali mara	0.56	2.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
795.	964	Mugali mara	0.55	2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
796.	965	Mugali mara	0.37	2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
797.	966	Mugali mara	0.51	3.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
798.	967	Mugali mara	0.68	3.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
799.	968	Subabul	0.28	3.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
800.	969	Mugali mara	0.37	3.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
801.	970 970 A	Mugali mara	0.49 0.35	2.50 3.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
802.	971	Mugali mara	0.81	1.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
803.	972 972 A 972 B	Mugali mara	0.33 0.27 0.25	3.00 3.50 2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
804.	973	Mugali mara	0.32	3.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling

805.	974	Mugali mara	0.32	2.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
806.	975	Seema Thangadi	0.39	3.00	Tree is invasive in nature, recommended for felling
807.	976	Seema Thangadi	0.56	1.50	Tree is invasive in nature, recommended for felling
808.	977	Mugali mara	0.62	2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
809.	978	Mugali mara	0.33	2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
810.	979	Mugali mara	0.33	2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
811.	980	Subabul	0.34	3.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
812.	981	Subabul	0.45	4.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
813.	982	Jaali	0.36	2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
814.	983	Jaali	0.30	1.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
815.	984	Jaali	0.31	2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
816.	985	Mugali mara	0.47	2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
817.	986 986 A 986 B 986 C 986 D 986 E	Seema Thangadi	0.37 0.31 0.33 0.40 0.36 0.36	1.50 2.50 1.50 3.50 2.00 2.00	Tree is invasive in nature, recommended for felling
818.	987 987 A 987 B 987 C	Jaali	0.57 0.53 0.46 0.50	2.50 2.00 2.00 2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
819.	988	Mugali mara	0.48	3.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
820.	989	Jaali	0.40	3.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
821.	990	Mugali mara	0.29	2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
822.	991 991 A	Mugali mara	0.29 0.28	2.00 2.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
823.	992	Sihi hunase	0.47	2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling

824.	993 993 A 993 B 993 C 993 D 993 E	Jaali	0.49 0.43 0.41 0.41 0.37 0.34	2.00 1.50 3.00 4.00 2.00 2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
825.	994	Jungle	0.98	1.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
826.	995	Jungle	0.64	2.40	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
827.	996	Mugali mara	0.35	2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
828.	997	Paper Mulberry	0.37	2.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
829.	998 998 A	Elachi Hannu	0.32 0.35	1.50 1.50	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
830.	999	Paper Mulberry	0.46	2.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
831.	1000	Seema Thangadi	0.43	2.50	Tree is invasive in nature, recommended for felling
832.	1001	Seema Thangadi	0.37	2.00	Tree is invasive in nature, recommended for felling
833.	1002	Seema Thangadi	0.33	2.00	Tree is invasive in nature, recommended for felling
834.	1003	Mugali mara	1.10	2.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
835.	1004	Sihi hunase	1.26	2.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
836.	1005	Mugali mara	1.61	3.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
837.	1006	Mugali mara	1.00	5.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
838.	1007	Rain tree	0.90	5.50	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
839.	1008	Mugali mara	1.39	5.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
840.	1009	Jaali	1.46	3.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
841.	1010 1010 A	Mugali mara	1.36 0.53	3.00 3.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
842.	1011 1011 A 1011 B	Mugali mara	0.65 0.60 0.67	3.50 1.50 1.50	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
843.	1012 1012 A 1012 B 1012 C 1012 D	Rain tree	1.26 1.38 1.08 1.18 1.15	2.50 2.50 3.00 2.00 2.00	Tree is multi-forked matured, recommended for felling
844.	1014	Paper Mulberry	0.85	5.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
845.	1015	Paper Mulberry	0.55	4.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling

846.	1016	Paper Mulberry	0.41	3.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
847.	1017	Paper Mulberry	0.71	3.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
848.	1018	Paper Mulberry	0.35	3.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
849.	1019	Paper Mulberry	0.39	3.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
850.	1020	Paper Mulberry	0.40	3.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
851.	1021 1021 A	Paper Mulberry	0.49 0.49	2.00 2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
852.	1022	Paper Mulberry	0.74	2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
853.	1023	Paper Mulberry	0.63	5.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
854.	1024	Paper Mulberry	0.36	4.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
855.	1025	Paper Mulberry	0.32	4.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
856.	1026	Paper Mulberry	0.34	4.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
857.	1027	Paper Mulberry	0.79	4.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
858.	1028	Paper Mulberry	0.72	4.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
859.	1029	Paper Mulberry	1.00	4.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
860.	1030	Paper Mulberry	0.60	3.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
861.	1031 1031 A	Paper Mulberry	0.41 0.42	2.00 2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
862.	1032	Paper Mulberry	0.82	4.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
863.	1033	Paper Mulberry	0.54	4.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
864.	1034	Paper Mulberry	0.55	6.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
865.	1035	Paper Mulberry	0.85	3.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
866.	1036 1036 A	Paper Mulberry	1.27 0.63	5.00 6.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
867.	1037	Paper Mulberry	0.41	6.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
868.	1038	Paper Mulberry	0.43	3.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
869.	1039	Rain tree	1.30	2.50	Tree is matured and recommended for felling
870.	1040	Paper Mulberry	0.96	7.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for

					transplantation, recommended for felling
871.	1041	Sihi hunase	0.99	3.00	Tree is matured and recommended for felling
872.	1042	Paper Mulberry	0.82	4.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
873.	1043	Paper Mulberry	0.54	1.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
874.	1044	Paper Mulberry	0.43	6.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
875.	1045	Paper Mulberry	0.75	5.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
876.	1046	Paper Mulberry	0.37	3.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
877.	1047	Paper Mulberry	1.11	1.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
878.	1048	Paper Mulberry	0.38	3.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
879.	1049 1049 A 1049 B 1049 C 1049 D	Sihi hunase	0.42 0.45 0.49 0.41 0.39	3.00 3.50 3.50 1.50 2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
880.	1050 1050 A 1050 B	Sihi hunase	0.47 0.58 0.26	3.50 3.50 3.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
881.	1051	Sihi hunase	0.57	2.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
882.	1052	Mugali mara	1.11	4.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
883.	1053 1053 A 1053 B 1053 C	Mugali mara	1.15 0.98 0.98 1.09	1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
884.	1054	Sihi hunase	0.41	4.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
885.	1055 1055 A 1055 B 1055 C	Sihi hunase	0.36 0.39 0.31 0.33	5.00 2.00 2.00 4.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
886.	1056	Sihi hunase	0.69	3.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
887.	1057 1057 A	Seema Thangadi	0.32 0.38	1.50 1.50	Tree is invasive in nature, recommended for felling
888.	1058 1058 A	Sihi hunase	0.37 0.36	3.50 3.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling



889.	1059 1059 A 1059 B 1059 C	Sihi hunase	0.41 0.40 0.26 0.28	3.00 3.50 1.50 1.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
890.	1060 1060 A	Seema Thangadi	0.36 0.35	3.50 2.00	Tree is forked and recommended for felling
891.	1061	Mugali mara	0.98	2.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
892.	1062 1062 A	Sihi hunase Sihi hunase	0.54 0.43	3.00 2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
893.	1063 1063 A 1063 B	Rain tree Rain tree Rain tree	0.70 0.38 0.51	1.50 4.00 4.00	Tree is bended not fit for transplantation. Recommended for felling.
894.	1064 1064 A	Spathodia	0.85 0.74	1.50 5.50	Tree bark is damaged and not healthy. Recommended for felling.
895.	1065	Sihi hunase	0.30	2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
896.	1066	Echalu mara	1.23	6.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
897.	1067	Arali	1.74	3.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
898.	1068	Sihi hunase	0.54	2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
899.	1069	Spathodia	0.33	1.50	Tree is bended not fit for transplantation. Recommended for felling.
900.	1070 1070 A	Spathodia	0.53 0.63	4.00 4.50	Tree is forked, not possible for transplantation. Hence recommend for felling.
901.	1071	Mugali mara	1.35	2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
902.	1072 1072 A	Sihi hunase Sihi hunase	0.39 0.27	3.00 3.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
903.	1073	Mugali mara	0.38	2.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
904.	1074	Mugali mara	1.45	2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
905.	1075	Mugali mara	0.47	2.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
906.	1076 1076 A	Mugali mara	1.75 1.75	2.00 2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
907.	1077	Mugali mara	1.45	3.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
908.	1078 1078 A	Rain tree	1.04 0.27	2.50 2.50	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
909.	1079	Mugali mara	0.77	1.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
910.	1080	Mugali mara	0.65	3.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
911.	1081	Sihi hunase	0.67	4.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling

912.	1082 1082 A 1082 B 1082 C	Bhadraksha mara	0.83 0.65 0.57 0.48	1.50 1.50 2.50 1.50	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
913.	1083	Subabul	0.82	3.00	Tree is invasive in nature, recommended for felling
914.	1084 1084 A	Mugali mara	0.93 0.78	2.00 2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
915.	1085	Mugali mara	1.33	2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
916.	1086	Sihi hunase	0.48	2.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
917.	1087	Jaali	1.57	3.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
918.	1088 1088 A	Seema Thangadi	0.50 0.39	3.50 3.00	Tree is forked, recommended for felling
919.	1089	Rain tree	0.60	1.50	Tree is bended not fit for transplantation. Recommended for felling.
920.	1090 1090 A	Rain tree	0.81 0.32	2.50 3.00	Tree is forked, recommended for felling
921.	1091	Coconut	1.50	5.00	Tree bended, Recommended for felling
922.	1092	Coconut	1.38	4.00	Tree bended, Recommended for felling
923.	1093	Coconut	1.36	4.00	Tree bended, Recommended for felling
924.	1094	Basavannapadha	1.26	3.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
925.	1095	Basavannapadha	1.10	3.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
926.	1096	Jungle	0.67	2.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
927.	1097	Rain tree	1.57	2.50	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
928.	1098	Rain tree	1.57	2.50	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
929.	1099	Rain tree	2.11	2.50	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
930.	1100	Rain tree	4.05	2.50	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
931.	1101	Rain tree	1.27	2.50	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
932.	1102 1102 A 1102 B	Rain tree	0.55 0.81 0.33	1.50 1.50 4.00	Tree is forked, recommended for felling
933.	1103	Rain tree	1.02	3.50	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
934.	1104 1104 A	Rain tree	1.56 1.60	2.00 2.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
935.	1105	Rain tree	1.16	4.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
936.	1106	Rain tree	1.05	2.50	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
937.	1107 1107 A 1107 B	Sihi hunase	0.76 0.58 0.78	2.00 2.00 2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
938.	1108 1108 A 1108 B	Rain tree	0.59 0.56 0.42	5.00 3.00 3.00	Tree is forked and recommended for felling
939.	1109 1109 A	Rain tree	0.97 0.90	2.00 2.00	Tree is forked and recommended for felling

940.	1110	Mugali mara	1.19	3.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
941.	1111	Rain tree	0.85	2.00	Tree is bended not fit for transplantation. Recommended for felling.
942.	1112 1112 A 1112 B	Rain tree	0.67 0.83 0.95	1.50 1.50 1.50	Tree is forked and recommended for felling
943.	1113 1113 A	Rain tree	0.94 0.38	5.00 3.00	Tree is forked and recommended for felling
944.	1114	Arali	2.55	2.50	Tree is matured and recommended for felling
945.	1115	Rain tree	1.65	2.50	Tree is matured and recommended for felling
946.	1116	Rain tree	1.21	1.50	Tree is matured and recommended for felling
947.	1117 1117 A 1117 B	Rain tree	0.81 0.87 0.81	3.00 3.50 5.00	Tree is forked and recommended for felling
948.	1118	Rain tree	1.83	2.00	Tree is forked and recommended for felling
949.	1119 1119 A	Rain tree	0.53 0.24	3.00 2.00	Tree is forked and recommended for felling
950.	1120	Sihi hunase	1.20	2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
951.	1121	Seema Thangadi	0.50	3.00	Tree is invasive in nature, recommended for felling
952.	1122 1122 A 1122 B 1122 C	Seema Thangadi	0.40 0.40 0.39 0.42	5.50 5.50 4.00 3.00	Tree is invasive in nature, recommended for felling
953.	1123 1123 A 1123 B	Seema Thangadi	0.38 0.30 0.28	5.50 2.50 4.00	Tree is invasive in nature, recommended for felling
954.	1124	Mango	0.86	3.00	Tree is bended not fit for transplantation. Recommended for felling.
955.	1125 1125 A 1125 B 1125 C	Sihi hunase	0.76 1.43 0.97 1.06	3.50 2.00 3.50 3.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
956.	1126	Sihi hunase	1.34	3.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
957.	1127	Rain tree	1.01	3.00	Tree is matured and recommended for felling
958.	1128 1128 A 1128 B 1128 C 1128 D	Rain tree	0.77 0.80 0.78 0.96 0.77	2.00 2.00 1.50 3.00 1.50	Tree is forked and recommended for felling

959.	1129 1129 A 1129 B	Sihi hunase	0.40 0.38 0.31	2.50 2.00 2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
960.	1130 1130 A	Rain tree	1.44 1.29	3.00 3.00	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
961.	1131	Jungle	1.33	5.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
962.	1134	Mango	1.27	3.00	Tree is matured and recommended for felling
963.	1135	Rain tree	0.80	2.00	Tree already dead, recommended for felling.
964.	1136	Rain tree	2.80	2.50	Tree is matured and recommended for felling
965.	1137 1137 A 1137 B	Sitafala	0.64 0.47 0.38	2.00 2.00 2.00	Tree if forked and not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling
966.	1138	Rain tree	1.96	2.50	Tree is matured and recommended for felling
967.	1139	Honge	0.49	2.00	Tree is forked and recommended for felling
968.	1140	Subabul	0.63	6.00	Tree is invasive in nature, recommended for felling
969.	1141 1141 A	Neem	0.80 0.59	4.00 4.00	Tree is forked and recommended for felling
970.	1142	Honge	0.59	1.50	Tree is forked, recommended for felling
971.	1143	Honge	0.45	3.50	Tree is forked, recommended for felling
972.	1144	Honge	0.35	4.00	Tree is forked, recommended for felling
973.	1145	Neem	0.70	3.50	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
974.	1146	Honge	0.50	2.50	Tree is forked, recommended for felling
975.	1147	Honge	0.88	2.00	Tree is forked, recommended for felling
976.	1148	Honge	0.60	2.00	Tree is forked, recommended for felling
977.	1149	Rain tree	2.67	3.50	Tree is matured, recommended for felling
978.	1150	Coconut	0.83	7.00	Tree is bended not fit for transplantation. Recommended for felling.
979.	1151	Mango	0.82	3.00	Tree is bended not fit for transplantation. Recommended for felling.
980.	1152 1152 A	Sihi hunase	1.05 1.14	1.50 1.50	Tree has forked branches, matured not worth for translocation.
981.	1153 1153 A 1153 B	Jungle	0.77 0.57 0.72	3.00 2.00 2.00	Tree has multifork (3 Nos.) just one feet above the ground level, the main bole is damaged. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
982.	1154	Atthi	1.44	2.50	Matured tree, the tree will not survive upon translocation, since the metabolic activity decreases with age increases. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
983.	1155 1155 A	Mugali mara	0.69 0.80	2.50 2.50	Tree has forked branches and the forked branch is mechanically damaged and also not worth for translocation.
984.	1156	Dalichandra	0.43	2.00	Tree is young, healthy, but the approach is not convenient for transit for translocation. Hence, it is recommended for felling.

985.	1157 1157 A	Mugali mara	0.69 0.54	2.50 2.00	Tree has forked branches, and matured, not worth for translocation.
986.	1158	Sihi hunase	0.64	1.50	Tree is young, healthy, but in terms of economic point of view, it is not so appropriate for translocation.
987.	1159 1159 A 1159 B	Seeme tangadi	0.46 0.34 0.32	2.50 2.00 2.00	Tree has multiforked (3 Nos), fodder tree, not worth for translocation.
988.	1160 1160 A	Honge	0.75 0.37	3.00 2.00	Tree has forked branches, some branches are infested fungal fruiting bodies, and also wood borer. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
989.	1161	Honge	0.64	2.00	Tree is having straight, clear bole, but it is infested with wood borer. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
990.	1162 1162 A	Honge	0.80 0.45	2.00 2.00	Tree has forked branches, and some minor branches are already pruned. The pruned branches are infested with wood borer. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
991.	1163	Honge	1.05	2.00	Tree has forked branch, one branch is small and another branch is lean. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
992.	1164 1164 A 1164 B 1164 C 1164 D 1164 E	Honge	0.92 0.82 0.63 0.50 0.57 0.92	1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50	Tree has multi forked branches (5 Nos) and it appears like a bush, not possible to excavate the appropriate root ball of earth for translocation. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
993.	1165	Coconut	1.27	10.00	Tree is tall, it does not fit for translocation.
994.	1166	Coconut	1.09	9.50	Tree is tall, it does not fit for translocation.
995.	1167	Honge	1.50	4.50	Matured tree, and the tree is infested with wood borer. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
996.	1168	Coconut	1.02	9.00	Tree is tall, it does not fit for translocation.
997.	1169	Honge	0.72	3.00	This tree is very close to tree No.1168 (less than 1 feet), not possible to excavate the root ball of earth for translocation.
998.	1170 1170 A	Arali	4.22 2.28	2.50 3.00	Matured tree, the tree will not survive upon translocation, since the metabolic activity decreases with age increases. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
999.	1171	Honge	1.30	2.00	Tree has forked branches, and one forked branch is pruned already. The excavation of root ball of earth is not possible due to presence of tree No. 1170. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.

1000.	1172 1172 A	Indian cherry tree	0.82 0.64	3.00 3.50	Tree has forked branches, this species will propagate easily through vegetative means - suckers. Hence, it is not worth for translocation.
1001.	1173	Arali	3.60	2.00	Matured tree, the tree will not survive upon translocation, since the metabolic activity decreases with age increases. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1002.	1174	Arali	3.99	2.00	Matured tree, the tree will not survive upon translocation, since the metabolic activity decreases with age increases. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1003.	1176	Coconut	0.94	5.00	Tree is tall, it does not fit for translocation.
1004.	1177	Arali	2.90	2.50	Matured tree, the tree will not survive upon translocation, since the metabolic activity decreases with age increases. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1005.	1178	Coconut	1.14	6.50	Tree is tall, it does not fit for translocation.
1006.	1179 1179 A	Honge	0.85 0.59	2.00 2.00	Tree has forked branches, and matured, not worth for translocation.
1007.	1180	Silver Oak	1.37	6.00	This tree has lease ecological significance, hence, it is recommended for felling.
1008.	1181	Silver Oak	1.45	4.50	This tree has lease ecological significance, hence, it is recommended for felling.
1009.	1182	Coconut	1.33	8.00	Tree is tall, it does not fit for translocation.
1010.	1183	Coconut	1.10	6.50	Tree is tall, it does not fit for translocation.
1011.	1185	Arali	4.94	3.00	Matured tree, the tree will not survive upon translocation, since the metabolic activity decreases with age increases. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1012.	1188	Rain tree	2.70	2.00	Matured tree, the tree will not survive upon translocation, since the metabolic activity decreases with age increases. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1013.	1189	Arali	3.06	2.00	Matured tree, the tree will not survive upon translocation, since the metabolic activity decreases with age increases. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1014.	1190	Sihi hunase	1.45	2.50	Matured tree, the tree will not survive upon translocation, since the metabolic activity decreases with age increases. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1015.	1192	Arali	3.32	2.50	Matured tree, the tree will not survive upon translocation, since the metabolic activity decreases with age increases. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1016.	1193	Coconut	1.05	8.00	Tree is tall, it does not fit for translocation.
1017.	1194	Arali	2.00	3.50	Matured tree, the tree will not survive upon translocation, since the metabolic activity decreases with age increases. Hence, it is recommended for felling.

1018.	1195	Nilgiri	1.65	7.00	Tree has negative impact on environment, and it is unfit for translocation.
1019.	1196	Nerale	1.68	2.50	Matured tree, the tree will not survive upon translocation, since the metabolic activity decreases with age increases. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1020.	1197	Arali	2.40	3.50	Matured tree, the tree will not survive upon translocation, since the metabolic activity decreases with age increases. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1021.	1198	Coconut	1.04	7.00	Tree is tall, it does not fit for translocation.
1022.	1199	Coconut	0.93	7.00	Tree is tall, it does not fit for translocation.
1023.	1200 1200 A	Rain tree	0.98 1.25	2.50 2.50	Tree has forked branch, matured. This tree is recommended for felling, since the metabolic activities of tree decreases with age increase.
1024.	1201 1201 A	Spathodia	0.84 0.31	5.50 2.50	Tree standing adjacent to the compound wall, tree has forked branches and it is matured. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1025.	1202	Subabul	0.82	3.50	This tree is grown for green manuring purpose, not worth for translocation.
1026.	1208	Arali	1.99	2.50	Matured tree, the tree will not survive upon translocation, since the metabolic activity decreases with age increases. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1027.	1209	Nilgiri	1.98	5.00	Tree has negative impact on environment, and it is unfit for translocation.
1028.	1210 1210 A	Mahogany	0.53 0.36	1.50 1.50	Tree has forked branches, and it is located near the compound wall, the excavation of appropriate root ball of earth is not possible. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1029.	1211	Sihi hunase	0.38	5.00	Tree is young, not worth for translocation in view of economics. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1030.	1212	Hunase	2.26	1.50	Matured tree, this will not survive upon translocation, since the metabolic activity of matured tree is less, hence the survival rate is less. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1031.	1213	Mango	0.82	1.50	Tree is has straight bole, found to be grafted one. The life span of grafted trees are less compared to other cultivars. Hence, this is recommended for felling.
1032.	1214	Hunase	1.50	2.00	Matured tree and the major branches are already pruned. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1033.	1215 1215 A	Jungle	1.10 0.90	3.00 2.80	Tree has forked branches and it is dried.
1034.	1216	Halasu	0.95	2.50	Tree is very close to tree No.1217, not possible to excavate appropriate root ball of earth. Hence, it is recommended for felling.

1035.	1217	Halasu	1.06	2.50	Tree is very close to tree No.1216, not possible to excavate appropriate root ball of earth. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1036.	1218	Nilgiri	0.85	4.00	Tree has negative impact on environment, and it is unfit for translocation.
1037.	1219	Neem	1.27	3.00	This tree is very close to tree No.1220. This tree is hard wood species, this will not survive upon translocation. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1038.	1220	Nerale	0.36	2.00	Tree is young and healthy, but it is very adjacent to tree No.1219. Hence, excavation of root ball of earth is not possible.
1039.	1221	Arali	1.57	2.00	Matured tree, this will not survive upon translocation, since the metabolic activity of matured tree is less, hence the survival rate is less. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1040.	1222	Nilgiri	1.28	8.00	Tree has negative impact on environment, and it is unfit for translocation.
1041.	1223	Sandalwood tree	0.35	2.50	Tree is young and it is adjacent to tree No.1224. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1042.	1224	Sandalwood tree	0.41	4.00	Tree is young and it is adjacent to tree No.1223. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1043.	1226 1226 A	Jungle	0.56 0.61	7.00 6.00	Tree has forked branches, and one forked branch is damaged and tree is matured. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1044.	1227	Rain tree	1.38	3.00	Matured tree, the tree will not survive upon translocation, since the metabolic activity decreases with age increases. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1045.	1228	Rain tree	1.37	3.50	Tree has negative impact on environment, and it is unfit for translocation.
1046.	1229	Mango	0.78	3.00	Tree is young, but the bole got mechanically damaged, and dead. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1047.	1230	Nerale	1.73	1.50	Matured tree, the tree will not survive upon translocation, since the metabolic activity decreases with age increases. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1048.	1231	Ashoka	0.46	3.50	This tree is not worth for translocation with respect to economics point of view.
1049.	1234 1234 A	Rain tree	1.08 0.71	3.00 3.00	Tree is located very near to 1235, 1236, 1237 and 1238. The distance between these trees is less than 1 feet. The excavation of appropriate root ball of earth is not possible for translocation. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1050.	1235	Rain tree	0.86	3.00	Tree is located very near to 1235, 1236, 1237 and 1238. The distance between these trees is less than 1 feet. The excavation of appropriate root ball of earth is not possible for translocation. Hence, it is recommended



					for felling.
1051.	1236	Rain tree	1.55	1.80	Tree is located very near to 1235, 1236, 1237 and 1238. The distance between these trees is less than 1 feet. The excavation of appropriate root ball of earth is not possible for translocation. Matured tree, the tree will not survive upon translocation, since the metabolic activity decreases with age increases. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1052.	1237	Rain tree	0.33	4.00	Tree is located very near to 1235, 1236, 1237 and 1238. The distance between these trees is less than 1 feet. The excavation of appropriate root ball of earth is not possible for translocation.
1053.	1238 1238 A	Rain tree	1.53 1.43	2.50 2.00	Tree is located very near to 1235, 1236, 1237 and 1238. The distance between these trees is less than 1 feet. The excavation of appropriate root ball of earth is not possible for translocation. Tree has forked branches and it is matured, the metabolic activity of tree reduces with increases in age. Hence, this matured tree is recommended for felling, this tree will not survive upon translocation.
1054.	1239	Rain tree	1.36	3.50	Matured tree, the tree will not survive upon translocation, since the metabolic activity decreases with age increases. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1055.	1240	Thangadi	2.02	4.00	Matured tree, the tree will not survive upon translocation, since the metabolic activity decreases with age increases. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1056.	1241	Rain tree	1.22	3.50	The tree is matured, and found knots on the bole. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1057.	1242	Silver Oak	1.50	4.00	This tree is not worth for translocation with respect to economics point of view.
1058.	1243 1243 A	Honge	1.32 0.96	2.00 2.50	Tree has forked branch and tree is matured, and found galls on the main bole. Hence, it is not fit for translocation.
1059.	1244	Neem	0.68	3.00	Tree is standing adjacent to residential building, hence, not possible to excavate appropriate root ball of earth. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1060.	1245 1245 A	Sihi hunase	0.55 0.48	2.00 3.50	Tree has forked branches, and not worth for translocation in view of economics point, since, it is soft wood fast growing tree.
1061.	1246	Spathodia	1.02	4.50	Tree is standing adjacent to the residence. Some branches are already fallen. Hence, it is recommended for felling.

1062.	1247 1247 A	Seebe hannu	0.38 0.41	1.50 1.50	This tree belongs to horticultural species and it is grafted, not suitable for translocation.
1063.	1248	Butter fruit	0.45	2.50	This tree belongs to horticultural species and it is grafted, not suitable for translocation.
1064.	1249 1249 A	Badam	0.96 0.63	2.00 2.00	Tree is standing in front of the residential buildings, not possible to excavate the appropriate root ball of earth for translocation. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1065.	1251	Halasu	0.73	3.00	Tree is very near to tree NO.1252, 1253, 1254, 1255 and 1256 and appears like a cluster, not possible to excavate root ball of earth for translocation.
1066.	1252	Halasu	0.74	3.00	Tree is very near to tree NO.1252, 1253, 1254, 1255 and 1256 and appears like a cluster, not possible to excavate root ball of earth for translocation.
1067.	1253	Butter fruit	0.53	4.60	Tree is very near to tree NO.1252, 1253, 1254, 1255 and 1256 and appears like a cluster. This tree belongs to horticultural species and it is grafted, not suitable for translocation.
1068.	1254	Nelli kayi	0.35	4.00	Tree is very near to tree NO.1252, 1253, 1254, 1255 and 1256 and appears like a cluster. This tree belongs to horticultural species and it is grafted, not suitable for translocation.
1069.	1255	Nelli kayi	0.34	2.50	Tree is very near to tree NO.1252, 1253, 1254, 1255 and 1256 and appears like a cluster. This tree belongs to horticultural species and it is grafted, not suitable for translocation.
1070.	1256	Butter fruit	0.65	3.50	Tree is very near to tree NO.1252, 1253, 1254, 1255 and 1256 and appears like a cluster. This tree belongs to horticultural species and it is grafted, not suitable for translocation.
1071.	1257	Halasu	0.61	5.50	This tree is very adjacent to tree No.1258. Not possible to excavate appropriate root ball of earth for translocation. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1072.	1258 1258 A	Mango	0.54 0.47	1.50 1.50	Tree has forked branches, and it grafted one. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1073.	1259	Buruga mara	0.84	2.00	Tree is near to tree No. 1260, tree is healthy and buttresses were found. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1074.	1261	Gasagase mara	0.66	2.00	This species will propagate easily through vegetative means - suckers. Hence, it is not worth for translocation.
1075.	1262	Gasagase mara	0.67	4.00	This species will propagate easily through vegetative means - suckers. Hence, it is not worth for translocation.

1076.	1263 1263 A	Honge	0.48 0.46	2.00 2.00	Tree has forked branches, and galls and knot were found on the main bole. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1077.	1264	Rain tree	2.61	1.50	Matured tree, the tree will not survive upon translocation, since the metabolic activity decreases with age increases. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1078.	1265	Hunase	2.20	2.00	Matured tree, the tree will not survive upon translocation, since the metabolic activity decreases with age increases. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1079.	1267 1267 A	Mugali mara	1.36 1.85	2.00 2.00	Matured tree and it has forked branches, the tree will not survive upon translocation, since the metabolic activity decreases with age increases. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1080.	1268 1268 A	Spathodia	1.15 1.14	5.00 2.00	Matured tree and it has forked branches, the tree will not survive upon translocation, since the metabolic activity decreases with age increases. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1081.	1269	Mugali mara	1.97	2.00	Matured tree, the tree will not survive upon translocation, since the metabolic activity decreases with age increases. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1082.	1270 1270 A 1270 B 1270 C	Mugali mara	0.76 0.73 0.56 0.78	6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00	Tree has multi fork (4 Nos.) and matured. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1083.	1271 1271 A 1271 B	Sihi hunase	1.10 0.58 0.83	3.00 3.50 3.00	Tree has multi fork (3 Nos.) and matured. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1084.	1272	Mugali mara	2.10	2.00	Tree has multiforked branches (4 Nos.) and lean. Hence, is recommended for felling.
1085.	1274 1274 A	Mugali mara	1.17 0.99	4.00 3.00	Matured tree and it has forked branches, the tree will not survive upon translocation, since the metabolic activity decreases with age increases. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1086.	1275	Mugali mara	1.23	2.00	Tree is healthy, fast growing soft wood species, not worth for translocation, with respect to economics.
1087.	1276	Mugali mara	1.08	5.00	Tree is healthy, fast growing soft wood species, not worth for translocation, with respect to economics.
1088.	1277	Mugali mara	0.90	6.00	Tree is healthy, fast growing soft wood species, not worth for translocation, with respect to economics.

1089.	1278 1278 A	Mugali mara	1.15 1.25	4.00 5.00	Matured tree and it has forked branches, the tree will not survive upon translocation, since the metabolic activity decreases with age increases. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1090.	1279	Mugali mara	1.22	1.50	Tree is healthy, fast growing soft wood species, not worth for translocation, with respect to economics.
1091.	1280 1280 A 1280 B	Taapsee Mara	1.21 0.68 0.70	5.00 5.00 5.00	Matured tree and it has multi forked branches, the tree will not survive upon translocation, since the metabolic activity decreases with age increases. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1092.	1282	Mugali mara	0.99	5.00	Tree is healthy, fast growing soft wood species, not worth for translocation, with respect to economics.
1093.	1283	Jaali	1.57	2.00	Matured tree, hard wood species, tree will not survive upon translocation.
1094.	1284	Mugali mara	1.92	4.50	Tree is healthy, fast growing soft wood species, not worth for translocation, with respect to economics.
1095.	1285 1285A	Mugali mara	1.00 1.00	5.00 5.00	Tree has forked branches and Tree is healthy, fast growing soft wood species, not worth for translocation, with respect to economics.
1096.	1286	Mugali mara	1.69	2.00	Tree is healthy, fast growing soft wood species, not worth for translocation, with respect to economics.
1097.	1287 1287 A	Mugali mara	1.47 1.39	2.00 1.50	Tree is healthy, fast growing soft wood species, not worth for translocation, with respect to economics.
1098.	1287/1	Mugali mara	0.32	3.00	Tree is healthy, fast growing soft wood species, not worth for translocation, with respect to economics.
1099.	1287/2	Mugali mara	0.90	1.50	Tree is healthy, fast growing soft wood species, not worth for translocation, with respect to economics.
1100.	1288 1288 A	Mugali mara	1.34 1.46	1.50 1.50	Tree has forked branches, fast growing soft wood species, not worth for translocation, with respect to economics.
1101.	1289	Mugali mara	1.17	4.50	Tree is healthy, fast growing soft wood species, not worth for translocation, with respect to economics.

1102.	1290 1290 A 1290 B	Mugali mara	1.02 1.07 0.99	3.00 2.00 2.00	Tree is healthy, fast growing soft wood species, not worth for translocation, with respect to economics.
1103.	1291	Honge	0.57	1.50	Tree is infested with scale insects and weak. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
1104.	1293	Taapsee Mara	0.65	1.50	This tree looks like a bush and it has forked branches, not worth for translocation.
1105.	1295 1295 A	Mugali mara	1.42 1.26	2.00 3.50	Tree has forked branches, fast growing soft wood species, not worth for translocation, with respect to economics.
1106.	1296	Mugali mara	0.92	1.50	Tree has forked branches, fast growing soft wood species, not worth for translocation, with respect to economics.
1107.	1297 1297 A	Mugali mara	0.94 1.08	6.00 4.00	Tree has forked branches, fast growing soft wood species, not worth for translocation, with respect to economics.
1108.	1298 1298 A	Mugali mara	1.01 0.79	3.50 3.50	Tree has forked branches, fast growing soft wood species, not worth for translocation, with respect to economics.
1109.	1299	Nerale	1.27	2.00	Tree is very close to tree No. 1300 and tree is healthy. But not possible to excavate appropriate root ball of earth for translocation.
1110.	1300 1300 A 1300 B	Mugali mara	1.08 1.15 0.90	1.50 1.50 2.00	Tree has forked branches, fast growing soft wood species, not worth for translocation, with respect to economics.
1111.	1301 1301A	Jaali	1.23 1.10	2.00 2.00	Matured tree, hard wood species, tree will not survive upon translocation.
1112.	1302	Bage mara	0.84	3.50	The bole of the tree is damaged. Tree is recommended for felling
1113.	1303 1303 A	Spathodia	1.09 0.92	2.00 2.50	Tree has forked branches, and some of the branches are already dried. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1114.	1304 1304 A	Dalichandra	0.68 0.50	3.00 3.00	Tree has forked branches, and one branch is pruned. Tree is also lean. Hence, it is recommended for felling
1115.	1306	Mugali mara	1.08	2.00	The tree is fast growing soft wood species, not worth for translocation, with respect to economics.
1116.	1307 1307 A	Mugali mara	0.70 0.89	2.00 3.00	Tree has forked branches, fast growing soft wood species, not worth for translocation, with respect to economics.
1117.	1308 1308 A 1308 B	Mugali mara	0.82 1.04 0.86	2.00 4.00 4.50	Tree has forked branches, fast growing soft wood species, not worth for translocation, with respect to economics.

1118.	1310 1310 A 1310 B	Mugali mara	1.12 0.97 1.55	2.00 4.00 4.50	Tree has forked branches, fast growing soft wood species, not worth for translocation, with respect to economics.
1119.	1311 1311 A	Mugali mara	1.74 1.38	2.00 2.00	Tree has forked branches, fast growing soft wood species, not worth for translocation, with respect to economics.
1120.	1312 1312 A	Mugali mara	1.36 1.14	4.00 3.00	Tree has forked branches, fast growing soft wood species, not worth for translocation, with respect to economics.
1121.	1313	Mugali mara	0.52	1.50	The tree is fast growing soft wood species, not worth for translocation, with respect to economics.
1122.	1314 1314 A 1314 B 1314 C	Mugali mara	0.91 1.01 1.01 0.61	4.00 4.00 4.50 2.00	Tree has multi forked branches, fast growing soft wood species, not worth for translocation, with respect to economics.
1123.	1315	Mugali mara	1.48	2.50	The tree is fast growing soft wood species, not worth for translocation, with respect to economics.
1124.	1316	Mugali mara	1.44	4.50	The tree is fast growing soft wood species, not worth for translocation, with respect to economics.
1125.	1317	Mugali mara	0.78	5.00	The tree is fast growing soft wood species, not worth for translocation, with respect to economics.
1126.	1318 1318 A	Jaali	1.53 0.77	1.50 3.00	Matured tree, hard wood species, tree will not survive upon translocation.
1127.	1319	Mugali mara	0.81	4.00	The tree is fast growing soft wood species, not worth for translocation, with respect to economics.
1128.	1320	Mugali mara	0.83	3.50	The tree is fast growing soft wood species, not worth for translocation, with respect to economics.
1129.	1321	Mugali mara	1.09	4.50	The tree is fast growing soft wood species, not worth for translocation, with respect to economics.
1130.	1322 1322 A	Mugali mara	0.97 0.97	1.80 1.50	Tree has forked branches, fast growing soft wood species, not worth for translocation, with respect to economics.
1131.	1323	Rain tree	1.52	2.00	Matured tree, the tree will not survive upon translocation, since the metabolic activity decreases with age increases. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1132.	1324 1324 A	Jaali	1.09 0.90	3.00 2.00	Matured tree, hard wood species, tree will not survive upon translocation.

1133.	1325 1325 A 1325 B	Mugali mara	1.08 0.93 0.80	4.00 4.00 4.00	Tree has multi forked branches, fast growing soft wood species, not worth for translocation, with respect to economics.
1134.	1326 1326 A	Mugali mara	1.55 1.58	2.00 2.00	Tree has forked branches, fast growing soft wood species, not worth for translocation, with respect to economics.
1135.	1327 1327 A	Mugali mara	1.55 1.02	2.00 4.00	Tree has forked branches, fast growing soft wood species, not worth for translocation, with respect to economics.
1136.	1328	Jaali	1.31	3.50	Matured tree, hard wood species, tree will not survive upon translocation.
1137.	1329 1329 A	Mugali mara	0.86 0.90	1.50 3.50	Tree has forked branches, fast growing soft wood species, not worth for translocation, with respect to economics.
1138.	1330	Mugali mara	1.12	1.50	Fast growing soft wood species, not worth for translocation, with respect to economics.
1139.	1331 1331 A 1331 B	Mugali mara	1.14 1.04 1.11	4.00 5.00 3.00	Tree has forked branches, fast growing soft wood species, not worth for translocation, with respect to economics.
1140.	1332 1332 A 1332 B 1332 C 1332 D 1332 E	Seema Thangadi	0.24 0.24 0.21 0.24 0.22 0.24	2.00 2.50 2.00 3.00 3.50 3.00	Tree is multiforked and recommended for felling
1141.	1333	Paper Mulberry	0.75	2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
1142.	1334	Paper Mulberry	0.42	3.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
1143.	1335	Paper Mulberry	0.27	2.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
1144.	1336	Paper Mulberry	0.25	3.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
1145.	1337	Paper Mulberry	0.31	3.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
1146.	1338	Paper Mulberry	0.25	6.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
1147.	1339	Paper Mulberry	0.24	5.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
1148.	1340 1340 A 1340 B 1340 C	Paper Mulberry	0.26 0.22 0.20 0.23	6.00 6.00 6.00 6.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling

1149.	1341 1341 A	Paper Mulberry	0.22 0.21	6.00 6.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
1150.	1342	Paper Mulberry	0.22	4.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
1151.	1343	Paper Mulberry	0.52	1.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
1152.	1344	Paper Mulberry	0.39	2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
1153.	1345	Paper Mulberry	0.65	2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
1154.	1346	Paper Mulberry	0.33	2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
1155.	1347	Paper Mulberry	0.30	5.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
1156.	1348	Paper Mulberry	0.25	5.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
1157.	1349	Paper Mulberry	0.32	5.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
1158.	1350 1350 A 1350 B	Paper Mulberry	0.69 0.33 0.27	3.00 3.00 3.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
1159.	1351 1351 A 1351 B	Sihi hunase	0.40 0.39 0.26	3.00 2.00 3.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
1160.	1352	Paper Mulberry	0.26	5.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
1161.	1353	Paper Mulberry	0.31	4.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
1162.	1354 1354 A	Sihi hunase	0.44 0.27	2.00 2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
1163.	1355	Sihi hunase	0.37	1.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
1164.	1356 1356 A 1356 B 1356 C 1356 D	Sihi hunase	0.40 0.31 0.31 0.36 0.25	2.50 3.00 2.50 2.50 2.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
1165.	1357	Mugali mara	0.52	2.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling



1166.	1358 1358 A 1358 B 1358 C	Sihi hunase	0.25 0.25 0.26 0.26	3.50 3.50 3.50 3.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
1167.	1359	Mugali mara	0.27	4.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
1168.	1360	Seema Thangadi	0.27	3.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
1169.	1361	Sihi hunase	0.25	3.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
1170.	1362	Rain tree	0.24	3.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
1171.	1363 1363 A	Sihi hunase	0.68 0.46	2.00 2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
1172.	1364	Sihi hunase	0.27	3.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
1173.	1365	Mugali mara	0.29	2.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
1174.	1366 1366 A	Sihi hunase	0.33 0.23	3.00 4.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
1175.	1367 1367 A	Sihi hunase	0.44 0.28	2.50 2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
1176.	1368	Subabul	0.30	5.00	Tree is invasive in nature, recommended for felling
1177.	1369 1369 A 1369 B	Seema Thangadi	0.29 0.20 0.23	3.00 3.00 2.50	Tree is invasive in nature, recommended for felling
1178.	1370	Neem	0.30	3.50	Tree is young, but the survival rate of hard wood species is very less. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1179.	1371 1371A 1371B	Sihi hunase	0.44 0.35 0.35	1.50 1.50 1.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., multiforked (with weak branch unions at forking regions) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
1180.	1372 1372A 1372B	Seema Thangadi	0.50 0.28 0.28	2.00 1.80 1.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the

					proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., multiforked (with weak branch unions at forking regions) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
1181.	1373 1373A	Seema Thangadi	0.36 0.26	2.00 2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., multiforked (with weak branch unions at forking regions) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
1182.	1374 1374A 1374B	Seema Thangadi	0.28 0.28 0.28	2.00 2.00 1.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., multiforked (with weak branch unions at forking regions) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
1183.	1375	Sihi hunase	0.28	1.80	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., defects, in addition to the species consideration which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
1184.	1376 1376A	Jungle	0.36 0.29	1.50 1.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., forked (with weak branch unions at forking regions) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.

1185.	1377	Dalichandra	0.28	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., standing very close to adjacent tree species (1378), which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
1186.	1378	Dalichandra	0.26	1.80	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., standing very close to adjacent tree species (1377), which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
1187.	1379	Sihi hunase	0.26	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., defective, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
1188.	1380 1380A	Dalichandra	0.35 0.29	2.50 2.50	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., forked (with weak branch unions at forking regions) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
1189.	1381	Sihi hunase	0.30	2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., decayed, which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.

1190.	1382 1382A 1382B	Dalichandra	0.48 0.50 0.33	2.00 2.00 2.00	The site condition reveals that, the tree is standing in the area adjoining the permissible limits of existing IR lane and within the proposed BSUR project limits (for new railway lane), and therefore the tree cannot be retained. The status of growth / health condition of the tree viz., multiforked (with weak branch unions at forking regions) which precludes shifting / relocation of the tree. Hence the tree is recommended for felling.
1191.	1383	Paper Mulberry	1.12	2.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
1192.	1384	Paper Mulberry	0.50	2.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
1193.	1385	Paper Mulberry	0.40	2.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
1194.	1386	Sihi hunase	0.26	2.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
1195.	1387	Paper Mulberry	0.40	2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
1196.	1388 1388A 1388B 1388C 1388D	Seema Thangadi	0.60 0.45 0.55 0.34 0.50	1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50	Tree is multi-forked, recommended for felling
1197.	1389	Sihi hunase	0.32	2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
1198.	1390 1390A	Sihi hunase	0.35 0.30	1.50 1.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
1199.	1391 1391A 1391B	Sihi hunase	0.60 0.70 0.50	2.00 2.00 2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
1200.	1392 1392A 1392B	Sihi hunase	0.75 0.75 0.50	2.00 1.50 2.00	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
1201.	1393 1393A 1393B 1393C	Sihi hunase	0.70 0.55 0.48 0.48	2.00 2.00 2.00 1.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
1202.	1394	Jungle	0.95	1.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
1203.	1395	Spathodia	0.48	2.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling

1204.	1396 1396A	Sihi hunase	0.55 0.40	1.50 1.50	Tree is hard wood species not possible for transplantation, recommended for felling
1205.	1397	Mugali mara	1.97	3.00	Matured tree, the tree will not survive upon translocation, since the metabolic activity decreases with age increases. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1206.	1398 1398A 1398B	Honge	1.02 0.39 0.34	1.50 4.00 4.00	This tree has mult forked (3 Nos.), matured, and it is infested wood borer. Hence it is recommended for felling
1207.	1399	Mugali mara	1.35	2.00	Matured tree, the tree will not survive upon translocation, since the metabolic activity decreases with age increases. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1208.	1400 1400A	Mugali mara	1.02 0.71	3.00 4.00	Matured tree, the tree will not survive upon translocation, since the metabolic activity decreases with age increases. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1209.	1401	Jaali	1.05	3.00	This tree is hard wood species, this will not survive upon translocation. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1210.	1402	Subabul	0.80	1.50	This tree is grown for green manuring purpose, not worth for translocation.
1211.	1403	Rain tree	1.50	3.00	Matured tree, the tree will not survive upon translocation, since the metabolic activity decreases with age increases. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1212.	1404	Cherry	0.45	2.00	Tree is young, healthy but not worth for translocation.
1213.	1405	Spathodia	2.13	3.00	Matured tree, the tree will not survive upon translocation, since the metabolic activity decreases with age increases. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1214.	1407 1407A 1407B	Hunase	0.63 0.61 0.35	2.00 2.00 1.50	This tree has mult forked (3 Nos.), matured. Hence it is recommended for felling
1215.	1408	Jaali	0.35	4.00	This tree is hard wood species, this will not survive upon translocation. Hence, it is recommended for felling.

1216.	1409 1409A	Jaali	0.59 0.45	2.00 2.00	Tree has forked branches and it belongs to hard wood species, this will not survive upon translocation. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1217.	1410 1410A	Jaali	0.46 0.51	4.00 4.00	Tree has forked branches and it belongs to hard wood species, this will not survive upon translocation. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1218.	1411 1411A	Atthi	0.43 0.40	3.00 2.00	The tree is young, healthy but This tree is close to tree no.1412, not possible to excavate appropriate root ball of earth for translocation. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1219.	1412	Jaali	0.42	2.00	Tree is young and it is adjacent to the tree N1411. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1220.	1413 1413A 1413B 1413C 1413D 1413E 1413F	Sihi hunase	0.62 0.44 0.62 0.54 0.49 0.43 0.44	4.10 1.50 4.00 4.00 4.00 4.00 1.50	Tree has multiforked (8 Nos.) and it looks like clump, it is having negligible ecological significance. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1221.	1414	Rain tree	1.31	1.50	Tree is lean, matured hence, it is recommended for felling.
1222.	1415	Rain tree	1.12	1.50	The bole of the tree is damaged. Tree is recommended for felling
1223.	1416	Hebbevu	0.69	5.00	The branches of the tree are dried, hence, it is unfit for translocation.
1224.	1417	Cherry	0.29	2.00	This tree belongs to horticultural species and it is grafted, not suitable for translocation.
1225.	1419	Cherry	0.44	1.50	The ecological significance of this tree is least, hence, it is not worth for translocation in view of economics.
1226.	1420	Bettada nelli kayi	0.24	1.50	This tree belongs to horticultural species and it is grafted, not suitable for translocation.
1227.	1422	Dalichandra	1.05	3.00	Matured tree and lean, and bole is damaged, hence, it is recommended for felling.
1228.	1423 1423A	Dalichandra	0.80 0.83	4.00 3.00	Tree has forked branches and matured. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1229.	1425	Subabul	0.47	2.00	This tree is grown for green manuring purpose, not worth for translocation.
1230.	1426 1426A	Subabul	0.40 0.24	1.50 1.50	This tree is grown for green manuring purpose, not worth for translocation.

1231.	1427	Subabul	0.77	3.00	This tree is grown for green manuring purpose, not worth for translocation.
1232.	1428	Subabul	0.25	3.00	This tree is grown for green manuring purpose, not worth for translocation.
1233.	1429	Honge	1.03	3.00	The tree is healthy but the tree is adjacent to the retaining wall, hence, not possible to excavate appropriate root ball of earth. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
1234.	1430 1430A 1430B 1430C	Honge	0.75 0.43 0.72 0.69	2.50 1.50 1.50 1.50	The tree has multiforked and healthy but the tree is adjacent to the retaining wall, hence, not possible to excavate appropriate root ball of earth. Hence, it is recommended for felling.

**Total trees for Felling = 1234 Nos.**

  
Tree Officer &

Deputy Conservator of Forests,  
BBMP, Bangalore.

