

# BRUHAT BENGALURU MAHANAGARA PALIKE

Office of the Deputy Conservator of Forests, Bruhat Bengaluru Mahanagara Palike N.R Square, Bangalore

No: DCF/PR. 356/2024-25

Date: 29.05.2024

To.

The General Manager (Civil)/Corrior 02, K-RIDE, Samparka Søudha, 1<sup>st</sup> Floor, Opp. Orion Mall, Dr. Rajkumar Road, Rajajinagar 1<sup>st</sup> Block, Bengaluru

## **OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM**

Sub: Permission regarding Translocation and Removal of trees which are standing at the Project Area from Benniganahalli Railway Station to Chikkabanavara Railway Station excluding station buildings for **Design and Construction of Elevated**Viaduct of length 8.027 kms and AT-Grade Section of Length 17.551 Kms for Corridor 02, Bengaluru Suburban Railway Project (BSRP) in Bengaluru – reg

Ref:

- a) KRIDE/B\$RP/C-2/BBMP/055 dtd 17.10.2023
- b) KRIDE/B\$RP/C-2/BBMP/002 dtd 30.01.2024
- c) KRIDE/B\$RP/C-2/BBMP/008 dtd. 07.05.2024
- d) Member Secretary, TEC and ACF Letter No. ACF/PR.13/2024-25 dtd
   28.05.2024 along with Report and Proceedings of Tree Expert Committee

\* \* \* \* \*

#### Preamble:

 A proposal on the above mentioned subject was submitted by the General Manager, Civil/Corridor 02, KRIDE, Bengaluru under Sections 8 (2) and 8 (3) (vii) of Karnataka Preservation of Trees Act, 1976 to the DCF/Tree Officer, BBMP regarding removal of 1227 trees for Design and Construction of Elevated Viaduct of length of 8.027 Kms and AT-Grade Section of length of 17.551 Kms for KRIDE Project.

This is an additional proposal pertaining to Bangalore Suburban Railway Project, Corridor 02 involving 1227 trees of different species, of which the background is as follows.

#### Background

In this context, K-RIDE had submitted two applications earlier;

- 1. One application to the DCF, BBMP requesting for removal of 661 roadside trees and
- 2. Another application to the DCF, Bengaluru Urban Division requesting for removal of the 2495 trees standing inside the properties,
  - for laying out and construction of BSRP, Corridor 02 extending from Baiyappanahalli Railway Station to Chikkabanavara Railway Station, Bengaluru.

Further as per the PCCF, HOFF Order dtd 13.06.2022, the proposal which was submitted to the DCF, Bengaluru Urban Division by KRIDE was transferred to the fold of the DCF, BBMP, Forest Wing for further action. Apparently, the proposal of 2495 trees which was received from the DCF, Bengaluru Urban Division was divided into 02 Packages i.e., Package 01 - Extending from Baiyappanahalli Railway Station to Lottegollahalli Railway Station; and Package 02 - Extending from Lottegollahalli Railway Station to Chikkabanawara Railway Station.

Subsequently, all the three proposals were processed and taking into consideration the missing trees and unnumbered trees, necessary Official Memorandums were issued by the Tree Officer/DCF, BBMP.

Name of the Proposal/project	No. of trees for Retention- on-site	No. of trees for Translocation	No. of trees for Felling	Total (Nos)
BSRP, Corridor 02 Extending from Baiyappanahalli Railway Station to Chikkabanawara Railway Station (Roadside trees)	315	58	268	641
BSRP, Corridor 02, Package 01 Extending from Baiyappanahalli Railway Station to Lottegollahalli Railway Station (Trees standing inside the properties)	123	73	1234	1430
BSRP, Corridor 02, Package 02 Extending from Lottegollahalli Railway Station to Chikkabanawara Railway Station (Trees standing inside the properties)	135	47	596	778
	573	178	2098	2849

#### Present Proposal

In the earlier TEC Meeting held on 25.01.2024 the Committee had sought clarification from the concerned KRIDE Engineers who were present during the TEC Meeting about the necessity for

submission of the additional application for Corridor 02. Subsequently all the clarifications were submitted by the KRIDE to the Tree Officer/DCF, BBMP, Bengaluru.

- 2. The Tree Officer and Deputy Conservator of Forests, BBMP vide his letter dated 26.02.2024 submitted his findings on objections/suggestions received in response to the Public Notice issued by him along with preliminary assessment of trees related to application filed by the General Manager/ Civil/Corridor 02, KRIDE, Bengaluru pertaining to removal of 1227 number of trees, standing along the existing Railway Track extending from Bangalore Benniganahalli Railway Station to Chikkabanavara Railway Station excluding Station buildings for Corridor 02, BSRP Design and Construction of Elevated Viaduct of length of 8.027 Kms and AT-Grade Section of length of 17.551 Kms. Further the KRIDE authorities have stated that the earlier applications did not include trees at few locations due to the entry restrictions as land acquisition process was in progress with Defence authorities and private owners. Additionally, the construction of double decker bridge at Mohan Kumar Road, Reconstructions of ROB's (02 Nos) with Approach Road, the construction of Approach Road to RUB to eliminate LC-6 are the new proposals as the private land acquisition was in progress for Yeshwanthapura Station locations.
- 3. In this context, the Field Forest Officers conducted the spot inspections on dtd 18.11.2023, 12.01.2024 and 13.01.2024, the ACF/DCF visited the areas on 21.02.2024 & 22.02.2024, and then TEC visited the areas and conducted field Inspections from 18.03.2024 to 21.03.2024 and 23.03.2024, duly examining all the trees besides having discussions with the Project Engineers.

The Field Inspection Report was tabled during the TEC meeting held on 15.04.2024 and detailed discussions were held.

- i. The primary objective of the TEC was to retain-on-site as many trees as possible.
- ii. In case the trees are falling within the project activity area and their removal becomes inevitable, the next option for TEC was for translocation of trees depending upon its general condition and its location so that the extraction of root ball of adequate size becomes feasible.
- iii. The felling of trees has to be the last resort and that has to be done very judiciously in a prudent manner.

Based on the records/documents produced by K-RIDE, followed by thorough scrutiny of the same and detailed discussions of the field inspection reports which were prepared after examination of each and every tree, the following order is issued.

### **ORDER**

Under the circumstances explained above and in exercise of the powers vested with the undersigned as per Section 8 (3) of Karnataka Preservation of Trees Act, 1976 and based on the guidelines and decisions taken as per the Field Inspection Report and Proceedings of the Meeting dated 15.04.2024 of the TEC for retention-on-site, translocation, and removal of trees which fall in the Project area extending from Benniganahalli Railway Station to Chikkabanawara Railway Station excluding Station buildings, the below mentioned schedule is approved subject to the conditions mentioned thereon. This Order will come into effect after fifteen (15) days from the date of uploading of the order on the Official website of BBMP and for that purpose separate directions will be issued from this Office.

### **SCHEDULE**

- 1. The Four Hundred and Ninety Three (493) trees which are listed in Annexure A appended to this Official Memorandum have to be retained-on-site. Hence, permission is declined to remove the said 493 trees and they should continue to stand at their present locations.
- 2. Based on the considerations as stated above and also detailed in the Report, the Eight Nine (89) trees which are listed with justification, enclosed to this Official Memorandum as Annexure B have to be translocated. Hence permission is accorded to translocate the said 89 trees to suitable places as mentioned below in the 'Conditions'.
- 3. The remaining Six Hundred and Ninety Nine (699) trees only which are listed with justification, enclosed to this Official Memorandum as Annexure C can be removed. Hence permission is accorded for removal of these said 699 trees only as per the felling of trees norms adopted by Karnataka Forest Department (KFD).

### **Conditions**

- 1. No damage should be caused to the trees which are retained on the spot, while carrying out the civil works or any project related works.
- 2. The trees which are retained-on-site have to be properly protected and maintained. Accordingly K-RIDE should give an assurance in this respect.
- 3. The translocation of trees should be done at the following proposed locations in collaboration with the DCF, BBMP. As per your letter cited under ref. (c), no other developmental activity has to be carried out in the following proposed areas for translocation of trees.
  - Location 1: Vacant Railway land available near RR College, Chikkabanavara, Bengaluru

- Location 2: Vacant area available inside campus of CQAE, Jalahalli, Bengaluru
- 4. The Persons/Agencies who are entrusted with translocation works should have sufficient knowledge and experience in such works.
- 5. The work of translocation of trees has to be executed under close supervision of Officials/Officers of Forest Wing of BBMP and according to the formulated guidelines of UAS, Bengaluru.
- 6. The trees so translocated have to be properly maintained and taken care of, for a minimum period of three years.
- 7. The entire process of translocation of trees has to be properly documented and records compiled in a systematic manner.
- 8. As per the Section 10 of KPT Act 1976, which provides that where any tree has fallen or destroyed due to force of nature or other natural causes, requires to plant a tree or trees in place of the tree so fallen or destroyed.
- 9. In lieu of the trees translocated, felled trees (sums upto 788), 10 healthy and heighted saplings have to be planted. The saplings have to be planted as per forestry practices and maintained for a minimum period of three years. Photographs and proper documentation has to be there for saplings/seedlings planted.
- 10. Regular monitoring must be done to ensure the conducive growth of translocated trees and planted saplings/seedlings.

Tree Officer and

Deputy Conservator of Forests Bruhat Bengaluru Mahanagara Palike, Bengaluru

# Copy to:

- The Chairman, Tree Authority and Chief Conservator of Forests, Bangalore Circle, Bangalore for kind information
- 2. The Member Secretary Tree Expect Committee, and the Assistant Conservator of Forests, BBMP for information and further action.
- 3. The Assistant Conservator of Forests, BBMP for information and further action
- 4. The Range Forest Officers/Deputy Range Forest Officers for information and further action
- 5. Office Copy

L.

**Application Nos:** 

Original – KRIDE/BSRP/C-2/BBMP/055 dtd 17.10.2023

Revised - KRIDE/BSRP/C-2/BBMP/002 dtd 30.01.2024

Project Area:

Design and Construction of Elevated Viaduct of length 8.027 kms and AT-

Grade Section of Length 17.551 kms extending from Benniganahalli Railway Station to Chikkabanavara Railway Station excluding Station

buildings, for Corridor 02 of BSRP

Sl. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendations
I <sub>sc</sub>	2	Pongamia pinnata	0.73	1.00	The tree is standing close to the channel dug which is proposed for drainage. The tree is standing within the project area, and does not hinder the construction activities, and recommended for retention.
2.	3	Pongamia pinnata	0.24	1.70	The tree is standing close to the channel dug which is proposed for drainage. The tree is standing within the project area, and does not hinder the construction activities, and recommended for retention.
3.	4	Swetenia mahogani	0.75	2.53	The tree is standing close to the channel dug which is proposed for drainage. The tree is standing within the project area, and does not hinder the construction activities, and recommended for retention.
4.	5	Unknown sp.	0.42	1.60	The tree is standing close to the channel dug which is proposed for drainage. The tree is standing within the project area, and does not hinder the construction activities, and recommended for retention.
5.	6	Pongamia pinnata	0.34	0.91	The tree is standing close to the channel dug which is proposed for drainage. The tree is standing within the project area, and does not hinder the construction activities, and recommended for retention.
6.	7	Syzygium sp.	0.86	2.10	The tree is standing close to the channel dug which is proposed for drainage. The tree is standing within the project area, and does not hinder the construction activities, and recommended for retention.
7.	8	Terminalia bellirica	0.28	2.40	The tree is standing close to the channel dug which is proposed for drainage. The tree is standing within the projec area, and does not hinder the construction activities, and recommended for retention.
8.	9	Pongamia pinnata	0.40	1.80	The tree is standing close to the channel dug which is proposed for drainage. The tree is standing within the project area, and does not hinder the construction activities, and recommended for retention.
9.	10	Syzygium sp.	0.70	1.90	The tree is standing close to the channel dug which is proposed for drainage. The tree is standing within the project area, and does not hinder the construction activities, and recommended for retention.
10.	11	Muntingia calabura	0.60	2.00	The tree is standing close to the channel dug which is proposed for drainage. The tree is standing within the project area, and does not hinder the construction activities, and recommended for retention.
11.	12	Pongamia pinnata	0.29	0.60	The tree is standing close to the channel dug which is proposed for drainage. The tree is standing within the project area, and does not hinder the construction activities, and recommended for retention.
12.	13	Grevillea robusta	0.35	2.30	The tree is standing close to the channel dug which i proposed for drainage. The tree is standing within the project area, and does not hinder the construction activities, and recommended for retention.
13.	14	Pongamia pinnata	0.60	1.50	The tree is standing close to the channel dug which is proposed for drainage. The tree is standing within the project area, and does not hinder the construction activities, and recommended for retention.

SI. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendations
14.	15	Pongamia pinnata	0.60	1.20	The tree is standing close to the channel dug which is proposed for drainage. The tree is standing within the project area, and does not hinder the construction activities, and recommended for retention.
15.	16	Syzygium sp.	0.82	2.00	The tree is standing close to the channel dug which is proposed for drainage. The tree is standing within the project area, and does not hinder the construction activities, and recommended for retention.
16.	17	Pongamia pinnata	0.34	1.10	The tree is standing close to the channel dug which is proposed for drainage. The tree is standing within the project area, and does not hinder the construction activities, and recommended for retention.
17.	18	Melia dubia	0.35	2.00	The tree is standing close to the channel dug which is proposed for drainage. The tree is standing within the project area, and does not hinder the construction activities, and recommended for retention.
18.	19 A	Syzygium sp.	0.56/ 0.38	2.70	The tree is standing close to the channel dug which is proposed for drainage. The tree is standing within the project area, and does not hinder the construction activities, and recommended for retention.
19.	20	Pongamia pinnata	0.56	2.50	The tree is standing close to the channel dug which is proposed for drainage. The tree is standing within the project area, and does not hinder the construction activities, and recommended for retention.
20.	21	Pongamia pinnata	0.63	1.35	The tree is standing close to the channel dug which is proposed for drainage. The tree is standing within the project area, and does not hinder the construction activities, and recommended for retention.
21.	22	Swetenia mahogani	0.55	2.50	The tree is standing close to the channel dug which is proposed for drainage. The tree is standing within the project area, and does not hinder the construction activities, and recommended for retention.
22.	23	Ficus racemosa	1.32	2.30	The tree is standing close to the channel dug which is proposed for drainage. The tree is standing within the project area, and does not hinder the construction activities, and recommended for retention.
23.	24	Pongamia pinnata	0.50	0.60	The tree is standing close to the channel dug which is proposed for drainage. The tree is standing within the project area, and does not hinder the construction activities, and recommended for retention.
24.	25 A	Syzygium sp.	0.62/ 0.53	3.00	The tree is standing close to the channel dug which is proposed for drainage. The tree is standing within the project area, and does not hinder the construction activities, and recommended for retention.
25.	26	Pongamia pinnata	0.68	1.30	The tree is standing close to the channel dug which is proposed for drainage. The tree is standing within the project area, and does not hinder the construction activities, and recommended for retention.
26.	27	Syzygium sp.	0.86	1.30	The tree is standing close to the channel dug which is proposed for drainage. The tree is standing within the project area, and does not hinder the construction activities, and recommended for retention.
27.	28	Pongamia pinnata	0.72	1.50	The tree is standing close to the channel dug which is proposed for drainage. The tree is standing within the project area, and does not hinder the construction activities, and recommended for retention.
28.	29 A	Pongamia pinnata	0.58/ 0.43	2.00	The tree is standing close to the channel dug which is proposed for drainage. The tree is standing within the project area, and does not hinder the construction activities, and recommended for retention.
29.	30	Pongamia pinnata	0.18	1.20	The tree is standing close to the channel dug which is proposed for drainage. The tree is standing within the project area, and does not hinder the construction activities, and recommended for retention.

SI. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendations
30.	46/1	Pongamia pinnata	0.35/ 0.25	1.00	The tree is standing close to the channel dug which is proposed for drainage. The tree is standing within the project area, and does not hinder the construction activities, and recommended for retention.
31.	90	Swetenia mahogani	1.08	3.20	The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for Railway Under Bridge, close to LC 6. However, the ground position of the tree does not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
32,	92	Cocos nucifera	0.97	3.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
33.	93	Syzygium sp.	0.67	2.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
34,	94	Cocus nucifera	1.00	3.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
35.	95	Cocus nucifera	0.86	3.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
36.	96	Azadirachta indica	0.45	2.00	The tree is dead, and standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The snag is recommended for retention.
37.	97	Cocus nucifera	1.03	3.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
38.	98	Ficus religiosa	6.00	1.50	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
39.	99	Cocus nucifera	0.96	3.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
40.	100	Artocarpus heterophyllus	1.64	2.50	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
41	101	Mangifera indica	0.73	3.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
42.	102	Cocus nucifera	0.99	3.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
43.	103	Cocus nucifera	1.30	3.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
44.	104	Cocus nucifera	0.90	3.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
45.	105	Cocus nucifera	1.35	3.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
46.	106	Cassia siamea	0.84	0.50	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
47.	107 A	Cassia siamea	0.65/ 0.66	1.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
48.	108	Peltophorum sp.	2.70	1.50	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
49.	119	Peltophorum sp.	1.52	2.50	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
50.	120	Peltophorum sp.	1.96	2.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
51.	121 A B	Pongamia pinnata	0.58/ 0.60/ 0.25	2.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
52.	122	Tecoma sp.	0.94	2.50	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
53.	123	Ficus benghalensis	2.95	2.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
54.	124 A	Ficus religiosa	0.70/ 0.66	3.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
55.	125	Samanea saman	2.10	2.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
56.	126 A	Ficus religiosa	0.60/ 0.65	2.50	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.

Sl. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendations
57.	127	Samanea saman	1.60	2.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
58.	128 A B	Ficus religiosa	0.62/ 0.84/ 0.30	2.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
59.	129	Samanea saman	0.48	3.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
60.	130	Ficus religiosa	0.53	2.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
61.	131	Ficus benghalensis	3.20	1.50	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
62.	132	Ficus benghalensis	3.50	2.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
63,	133	Santalum album	0.27	2.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
64.	134	Polyalthia sp.	0.90	3.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
65.	135	Polyalthia sp.	0.68	3.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
66.	136	Polyalthia sp.	0.78	3.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
67.	137	Polyalthia sp.	0.76	3.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
68.	138	Polyalthia sp.	0.70	3.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
69.	139	Polyalthia sp.	0.74	3.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
70.	140	Polyalthia sp.	0.81	3.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
71.	141	Polyalthia sp.	0.81	3.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
72.	142	Polyalthia sp.	0.82	3.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
73.	143	Polyalthia sp.	0.88	3.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
74.	144	Polyalthia sp.	0.93	3.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
75.	145 A	Cassia siamea	0.35/ 0.30	1.50	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
76.	146 A	Cassia siamea	0.35/ 0.30	1.50	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
77.	147	Polyalthia sp.	1.04	3.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
78.	148	Polyalthia sp.	0.96	3.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
79.	149	Polyalthia sp.	1.00	3.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
80.	150 A B C	Pongamia pinnata	0.40/ 0.40/ 0.40/ 0.40/ 0.40	2.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
81.	151	Pelthophorum sp.	1.94	2.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
82.	152	Pelthophorum sp.	1.75	2.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
83.	153	Pelthophorum sp.	1.67	2.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
84.	171	Ficus benghalensis	9.00	3.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
85.	172	Samanea saman	3.70	3.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
86.	173	Delonix regia	1.60	1.50	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the

SI. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendations
					construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
87.	174	Peltophorum sp.	1.80	1.50	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
88.	175	Peltophorum sp.	1.76	1.50	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
89.	176	Samanea saman	2.73	3.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
90.	177	Peltophorum sp.	1.58	3.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
91.	178	Peltophorum sp.	1.80	2.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
92.	179	Peltophorum sp.	1.72	2.50	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder th construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
93.	180	Pongamia pinnata	0.45	1.50	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder th construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
94.	181	Pongamia pinnata	0.30	2.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder th construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
95,	182	Samanea saman	3.30	2.50	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder th construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
96.	183	Mellingtonia hortensis	0.63	3.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
97.	184 A	Mellingtonia hortensis	0.94/ 0.74	3.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
98.	185	Mellingtonia hortensis	0.90	3.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
99.	186	Mellingtonia hortensis	0.87	3.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
100.	187	Mellingtonia hortensis	0.57	3.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
101.	188	Mellingtonia hortensis	0.85	3.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.  The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the
102	189	Mellingtonia hortensis	0.88	3.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
103.	190	Mellingtonia hortensis Mellingtonia	0.45	3.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.  The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the project area.
104.	191	hortensis Mellingtonia	0.39	3.00	construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.  The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the project area, but do not hinder the project area.
105.	192	hortensis  Mellingtonia	0.85	3.00	construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.  The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the project area, but do not hinder the project area, but do not hinder the project area.
106.	193	hortensis  Mellingtonia	0.56	3.00	construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.  The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the project area, but do not hinder the project area.
107.	194	hortensis  Mellingtonia	1.00	3.00	construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.  The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the project area, but do not hinder the project area.
108,	195	hortensis  Mellingtonia	0.61	3.00	construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.  The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the project area, but do not hinder the project area.
109.	196	hortensis  Mellingtonia	0.64	3.00	construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.  The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the
110.	197	hortensis  Mellingtonia	0.20	3.00	construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.  The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the project area, but do not hinder the project area.
1119	198	hortensis  Mellingtonia	0.83	3.00	construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.  The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the project area, but do not hinder the project area.
112.	199	hortensis  Mellingtonia	0.46	3.00	construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.  The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the project area, but do not hinder the project area, but do not hinder the project area.
113.	200	hortensis	0.84	3.00	construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
114.	201	Grevillea robusta	1.20	3.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
115.	202	Grevillea robusta	1.20	3.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
116.	203	Grevillea robusta	1.10	3.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
117.	204	Peltophorum sp.	2.90	1.50	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention

SI. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendations
118.	205 A	Aegle marmalos	0.53/ 0.52	1.50 1.50	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
119.	206	Peltophorum sp.	1.20	2.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
120.	207	Bauhinia purpurea	0.33	2.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
121,	208	Peltophorum sp.	2.20	2.50	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
122,	209	Peltophorum sp.	2.45	2.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
123.	211	Ficus religiosa	4.00	3.00	The tree is dried, and recommended for retention.
124.	211/1	Ficus religiosa	0.36	1.00	These are the trees standing close to the huge transplanted Ficus tree - tree no. 211, in the central island of a roundabout. Recommended for retention.
125.	211/2	Ficus religiosa	0.35	1.00	These are the trees standing close to the huge transplanted Ficus tree - tree no. 211, in the central island of a roundabout. Recommended for retention.
126.	212 A	Pongamia pinnata	0.42/ 0.36	2.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
127.	213	Syzygium sp.	0.48	2.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
128.	214	Pongamia pinnata	0.55	1.50	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
129.	215	Tabeubia rosea	0.33	1.50	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
130,	216 A	Tabeubia rosea	0.68/ 0.38	2.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
131,	217	Pongamia pinnata	0.30	1.50	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
132.	218	Markhamia lutea	0.35	1.50	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
133.	222 A	Pongamia pinnata	0.43/	1.50	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
134.	223	Pongamia pinnata	0.35	1.50	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
135.	224	Samanea saman	1.00	2.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
136.	225 A	Pongamia pinnata	$\frac{0.51}{0.30}$	2.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
137.	226	Thespesia populnea	0.44	2.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
138.	227 A	Swetenia mahogani	0.56 0.23	1.50	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
139.	228	Pongamia pinnata	0.52	1.50	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
140.	229 A B	Pongamia pinnata	0.70/ 0.30/ 0.25	1.50	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
141.	230 A	Pongamia poinnata	0.26/ 0.30	1.50	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
142.	231 A	Pongamia pinnata	0.35/ 0.30/	1.50 1.50	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
	B 232	риниц	0.30	1.50	The state of the s
	A	Pongamia	0.25/		The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the
143.	B C D	pinnata	0.25/ 0.25/ 0.25	1.50	construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
144.	233 A	Swetenia mahogani	0.30/	2.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention

SI. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendations
145.	234 A B	Pongamia pinnata	0.40/ 0.30/ 0.40	1.50	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
146.	235 A B	Pongamia pinnata	0.43/ 0.25/ 0.25	1.50	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
147.	237 A B	Broussonetia papyrifera	1.50/ 0.45/ 0.60	3.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
148.	238	Thespesia populnea	0.65	2.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
149.	239	Swetenia mahogani	0.60	2.50	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder th construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
150.	240	Pongamia pinnata	0.35	1.50	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder th construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
151.	241	Swetenia mahogani	0.37	2.50	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder th construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
152.	242 A	Pongamia pinnata	0.80/ 0.80	2.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder th construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
153.	243	Grevillea robusta	1.40	2.50	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder th construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
154.	244	Terminala catappa	1.03	3.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder th construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
155.	245	Terminalia catappa	0.85	3.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder th construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
156.	246	Terminalia catappa	0.95	3.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
157.	247	Terminalia catappa	1.10	3.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
158.	248	Terminalia catappa	1.55	3.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder th construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
159,	249	Grevillea robusta	0.49	3.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder th construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
160.	250	Terminalia catappa	0.84	3.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder th construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
161.	251	Terminalia catappa	1.10	3.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder th construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
162.	252	Bauhinia purpurea	0.52	3.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder th construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
163.	253	Bauhinia purpurea	0.55	2.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder th construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
164.	254	Terminalia catappa	1.67	1.50	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
165.	255	Cassia siamea	0.40	2.50	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder th construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
166.	256	Dead Tree	0.50	1.50	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder th construction activities. The snag is recommended for retention.
167.	257 A	Dalbergia sisso	0.35/ 0.30	2.50	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder th construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
168.	266/1	Broussonetia papyrifera	0.80	3.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
169.	266/2 A	Broussonetia papyrifera	0.68/ 0.40	3.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder th construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
170.	266/3	Samanea saman	0.46	1.50	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
171:	274 A B	Samanea saman	0.52/ 0.30/ 0.35	1.50	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
172.	275 A B	Samanea saman	0.28/ 0.25/ 0.26	1.50	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder th construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
173.	276 A	Samanea saman	0.62/ 0.60	1.50 1.50	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder th construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention

SI. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendations
174.	294	Nerale	0.36	2.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed Viaduct, can be retained on the site.
175.	296	Atti	0.76	2.50	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed Viaduct, can be retained on the site.
176.	299	Atti	0.48	2.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed Viaduct, can b retained on the site.
177.	305	Nerale	0.51	2.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed Viaduct, can be retained on the site.
178.	307	Atti	0.84	2.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed Viaduct, can be retained on the site.
179,	308	Paper Mulberry	0.37	2.50	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed Viaduct, can be retained on the site.
180.	309	Silver oak	0.83	2.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed Viaduct, can be retained on the site.
181	567	Sandalwood	0.30	2.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed approach roa can be retained on the site.
192	568	Paltanharum	0.30	3.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed approach roa
182.	A	Peltophorum	0.25	3.00	can be retained on the site.
183.	573	Sandalwood	0.30	2.50	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed approach roa can be retained on the site.
184.	575	Cassia	0.27	2.50	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed approach roa can be retained on the site.
185.	582	Sandalwood	0.24	1.50	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed viaduct, can be retained on the site.
186.	583	Sandalwood	0.20	2.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed viaduct, can retained on the site.
187.	584	Peltophorum	0.24	3.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed viaduct, can retained on the site.
188,	585	Peltophorum	0.31	3.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed viaduct, can retained on the site.
189.	586	Peltophorum	0.25	3.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed viaduct, can retained on the site.
190.	587	Sandalwood	0.29	1.50	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed viaduct, can retained on the site.
191.	588	Subabul	0.40	3.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed viaduct, can retained on the site.
192.	593	Baage	0.27	3.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed viaduct, can retained on the site.
193,	594	Baage	0.28	3.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed viaduct, can retained on the site.
194.	595	Sandalwood	0.25	2.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed viaduct, can retained on the site.
195.	597	Hebbevu	0.65	3.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed viaduct, can retained on the site.
196.	598	Hebbevu	1.00	3.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed viaduct, can retained on the site.
197.	701	Casia	0.35	3.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed viaduct, can retained on the site.
	702		0.45	3.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed viaduct, can
198.	Α	Casia	0.41	3.00	retained on the site.
	В		0.24	3.00	
199.	709	Cassia	0.69	2.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed viaduct, can
177.	A	Cassia	0.46	2.00	retained on the site.
	724		0.43	1.50	T
200.	Α	Teak	0.36	1.50	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed viaduct, can retained on the site.
	В		0.39	1.50	Totalited on the Site.
201.	725	Peltophorum	0.20	2.50	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed viaduct, can
	Α	Peltophorum	0.26	2.50	retained on the site.  Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed viaduct, can

Tree No.	Tree Na.	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendations
727	727 Honge	0.28	1.50	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed viaduct, can be retained on the site.
728	728 Peltophorus	m 0.77	2.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed viaduct, can be retained on the site.
729	729	0.34	1.50	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed viaduct, can be
Α	A Teak	0.22	1.50	retained on the site.
730	730 Paper Mulb	perry 0.59	3.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed viaduct, can b retained on the site.
731	731	0.63	1.50	
Α	A Peltophorui	m 0.56	1.50	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed viaduct, can be retained on the site.
В	В	0.57	1.50	
732	732 Jungle	0.33	3.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed viaduct, can b retained on the site.
733	733 Paper Mulb	perry 0.62	3.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed viaduct, can b retained on the site.
735	735 Jungle	0.21	1.50	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed viaduct, can b retained on the site.
736	736 Jungle	0.27	3.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed viaduct, can b retained on the site.
737	737 Peltophorus	m 1.22	1.50	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed viaduct, can b retained on the site.
738	738 Jungle	0.26	3.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed viaduct, can b retained on the site.
739	739 Jungle	0.78	2.50	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed viaduct, can be retained on the site.
740	740 Peltophorum	n 0.28	1.50	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed viaduct, can be retained on the site.
741	741 Chani	0.43	1.50	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed viaduct, can be retained on the site.
742	742 Neerali	0.18	2.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed viaduct, can be retained on the site.
743		0.36	3.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed viaduct, can be retained on the site.
747		1.01	1.50	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed viaduct, can b
A B		0.72 0.52	1.50 1.50	retained on the site.
748	748 Sandalwood		2.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed viaduct, can be retained on the site.
749	749 Kakke	0.24	1.50	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed viaduct, can be retained on the site.
750	750 Kakke	0.40	2.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed viaduct, can be retained on the site.
751	751 Paper Mulb	erry 0.25	1.50	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed viaduct, can be retained on the site.
752	752 Silver oak	0.45	3.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed viaduct, can be retained on the site.
753	753 Silver oak	0.49	3.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed viaduct, can be retained on the site.
754	754 Teak	1.73	3.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed viaduct, can be retained on the site.
755	755 Honge	0.31	1.50	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed viaduct, can be retained on the site.
756	756 Silver oak	0.31	3.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed viaduct, can be retained on the site.
757	757	0.98	1.50	Tree is coming on the edge of the managed viadust can be
A		0.86	3.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed viaduct, can be retained on the site.
		1.08	1.50	
758	Atti			Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed viaduct, can be retained on the site.
				Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed viaduct, can be
750 751 A B	75° A B 75° A	6 Silver oak 7 Gumtree 8 Atti	6 Silver oak 0.31 7 0.98 0.86 1.08 1.96 2.63	6 Silver oak 0.31 3.00 7 0.98 1.50 0.86 3.00 1.08 1.50 8 Atti 1.96 2.00 2.63 1.50

SI. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendations
	778		0.26	1.50	
232.	A	Neerali	0.21	1.50	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed viaduct, can be retained on the site.
	В		0.20	1.50	Tetallied off the site.
233.	805	Markhamia lutea	0.80	3.00	The tree is standing within the project area. The ground position of the tree does not hinder the proposed construction activities (viaduct and pier). The tree is recommended for retention.
234.	806	Pongamia pinnata	1.50	3.00	The tree is standing within the project area. The groun position of the tree does not hinder the proposed constructio activities (viaduct and pier). The tree is recommended for retention.
235.	807	Broussonetia papyrifera	0.40	2.00	The tree is standing within the project area. The groun position of the tree does not hinder the proposed constructio activities (viaduct and pier). The tree is recommended for retention.
236.	808	Cocus nucifera	0.82	3.00	The tree is standing within the project area. The groun position of the tree does not hinder the proposed constructio activities (viaduct and pier). The tree is recommended for retention.
237.	809	Santalum album	0.56	2.00	The tree is standing within the project area. The groun position of the tree does not hinder the proposed construction activities (viaduct and pier). The tree is recommended for retention.
238.	810 A	Pongamia pinnata	0.87 0.87	2.00	The tree is standing within the project area. The groun position of the tree does not hinder the proposed construction activities (viaduct and pier). The tree is recommended for retention.
239.	811	Pongumia pinnata	1.10	2.00	The tree is standing within the project area. The groun position of the tree does not hinder the proposed construction activities (viaduct and pier). The tree is recommended for retention.
240.	812	Cocus nucifera	0.32	4.00	The tree is standing within the project area. The groun position of the tree does not hinder the proposed construction activities (viaduct and pier). The tree is recommended for retention.
241.	813	Pongamia pinnata	1.32	3.00	The tree is standing within the project area. The grour position of the tree does not hinder the proposed construction activities (viaduct and pier). The tree is recommended for retention.
242.	814	Aegle marmelos	0.54	1.50	The tree is standing within the project area. The grour position of the tree does not hinder the proposed construction activities (viaduct and pier). The tree is recommended for retention.
243.	815	Cocus nucifera	1.27	5.00	The tree is standing within the project area. The group position of the tree does not hinder the proposed construction activities (viaduct and pier). The tree is recommended for retention.
244.	816	Ficus religiosa	2.00	3.00	The tree is standing within the project area. The grour position of the tree does not hinder the proposed construction activities (viaduct and pier). The tree is recommended for retention.
245.	817	Cocus nucifera	1.30	4.00	The tree is standing within the project area. The ground position of the tree does not hinder the proposed construction activities (viaduct and pier). The tree is recommended for retention.
246.	818	Cocus nucifera	0.70	3.00	The tree is standing within the project area. The ground position of the tree does not hinder the proposed construction activities (viaduct and pier). The tree is recommended for retention.
247.	819	Pongamia pinnata	0.39	2.50	The tree is standing within the project area. The group position of the tree does not hinder the proposed construction activities (viaduct and pier). The tree is recommended for retention.

Sl. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendations
248.	820	Polyalthia sp.	0.35	3.00	The tree is standing within the project area. The ground position of the tree does not hinder the proposed construction activities (viaduct and pier). The tree is recommended for retention.
249.	821	Cocus nucifera	1.05	3.00	The tree is standing within the project area. The ground position of the tree does not hinder the proposed construction activities (viaduct and pier). The tree is recommended for retention.
250.	822	Muntingia calabura	0.46	2.50	The tree is standing within the project area. The ground position of the tree does not hinder the proposed construction activities (viaduct and pier). The tree is recommended for retention.
251.	825	Pongamia pinnata	0.28	2.00	The tree is standing within the project area. The ground position of the tree does not hinder the proposed construction activities (viaduct and pier). The tree is recommended for retention.
252.	826	Swetenia mahogani	0.90	1.80	The tree is standing within the project area. The ground position of the tree does not hinder the proposed construction activities (viaduct and pier). The tree is recommended for retention.
253.	827	Pongamia pinnata	0.80	1.20	The tree is standing within the project area. The ground position of the tree does not hinder the proposed construction activities (viaduct and pier). The tree is recommended for retention.
254.	828	Samanea saman	3.10	1.50	The tree is standing within the project area. The ground position of the tree does not hinder the proposed construction activities (viaduct and pier). The tree is recommended for retention.
255.	829	Spathodea campanulata	3.20	3.00	The tree is standing within the project area. The ground position of the tree does not hinder the proposed construction activities (viaduct and pier). The tree is recommended for retention.
256,	830	Mangifera indica	0.61	2.50	The tree is standing within the project area. The ground position of the tree does not hinder the proposed construction activities (viaduct and pier). The tree is recommended for retention.
257.	831	Pongamia pinnata	0.83	3.00	The tree is standing within the project area. The ground position of the tree does not hinder the proposed construction activities (viaduct and pier). The tree is recommended for retention.
258.	850	Unknown sp.	1.13	3.00	The tree is standing within the project area. The ground position of the tree does not hinder the proposed construction activities (double-decker flyover). The tree is recommended for retention.
259.	851	Unknown sp.	1.80	2.80	The tree is standing within the project area. The ground position of the tree does not hinder the proposed construction activities (double-decker flyover). The tree is recommended for retention.
260.	852	Spathodea campanulta	1.80	4.00	The tree is standing within the project area. The ground position of the tree does not hinder the proposed construction activities (double-decker flyover). The tree is recommended for retention.
261.	853	Bauhinia purpurea	0.55	2.00	The tree is standing within the project area. The ground position of the tree does not hinder the proposed construction activities (double-decker flyover). The tree is recommended for retention.
262.	859	Pongamia pinnata	0.58	1.20	The tree is standing abutting the project area. The tree is recommended for retention.
263.	860	Cocos nucifera	1.00	3.50	The tree is standing abutting the project area. The tree is recommended for retention.
264.	868	Cocos nucifera	0.89	3.00	The tree is standing abutting the project area. The tree is recommended for retention.
265.	869	Mangifera indica	0.81	2.00	The tree is standing abutting the project area. The tree is recommended for retention.

SI. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendations
266.	870 A	Psidium guajava	0.31	1.00	The tree is standing abutting the project area. The tree is recommended for retention.
267.	875	Cocos nucifera	1.24	3.00	The tree is standing abutting the project area. The tree is recommended for retention.
268.	876	Cocos nucifera	1.16	3.00	The tree is standing abutting the project area. The tree is recommended for retention.
269.	877	Mangifera indica	1.31	2.00	The tree is standing abutting the project area. The tree is recommended for retention.
270.	886/1	Muntingia calabura	0.30	0.90	The tree is standing abutting the project area. The tree is recommended for retention.
271.	886/2	Muntingia calabura	0.25	0.90	The tree is standing abutting the project area. The tree i recommended for retention.
272.	887 A B C D	Pongamia pinnata	0.37/ 0.36/ 0.43/ 0.17/ 0.21	1,10	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
273.	888	Pongamia pinnata	0.66	1.30	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
274.	889	Swetenia mahogani	0.94	1.60	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
275	896 A	Pongamia pinnata	0.53/ 0.45	1.50	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder th construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
276.	897	Swetenia mahogani	0.79	1.50	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder th construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
277	898	Pongamia pinnata	0.64	1.40	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder th construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
278.	899	Swetenia mahogani	0.89	1.80	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
279.	904/1 A B C	Pongamia pinnata	0.31/ 0.26/ 0.20/ 0.20	1.20	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder th construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
280.	904/2 A B	Pongamia pinnata	0.25/ 0.19/ 0.18	1.10	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder th construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
281.	905	Swetenia mahogani	1.15	1.70	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
282.	906	Pongamia pinnata	0.36	1.20	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder th construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
283.	910/1	Spathodea campanulata	0.25	1.10	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
284.	911 A B	Pongamia pinnata	0.35/ 0.32/ 0.34	1.30	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
285.	912	Swetenia mahogani	0.64	1.60	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
286.	913 A B	Pongamia pinnata	0.43/ 0.30/ 0.22	1.30	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
287.	913/1	Broussonetia papyrifera	0.40	1.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
288.	914	Swetenia mahogani	0.63	1.50	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
289.	915	Swetenia mahogani	0.78	1.40	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
290.	918	Swetenia mahogani	0.84	1.60	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
291.	919	Swetenia mahogani	0.92	1.50	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention

SI. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendations
292.	920	Swetenia mahogani	0.57	1.80	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
293.	923	Swetenia mahogani	0.72	2.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder th construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
294.	924	Swetenia mahogani	0.84	1.60	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder th construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
295.	925	Swetenia mahogani	0.85	1.70	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder th construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
296.	928	Swetenia mahogani	0.75	2.10	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
297.	929	Tabeubia rosea	0.59	1.60	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
298.	930	Swetenia mahogani	1.14	1.80	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
299.	931	Swetenia mahogani	0.86	1.70	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
300.	934	Tabeubia rosea	0.81	1.60	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
301.	935	Swetenia mahogani	1.08	1.40	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
302.	936	Tabeubia rosea	0.28	1.60	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
303.	937	Swetenia mahogani	0.88	1.50	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
304.	940	Tabeubia rosea	0.72	1.50	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
305.	941	Swetenia mahogani	0.94	1.50	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
306.	942	Swetenia mahogani	0.77	2.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
307.	944 A	Tabeubia rosea	0.70/ 0.64	2.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
308.	945	Swetenia mahogani	0.75	3.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
309.	946	Swetenia mahogani	0.64	2.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
310.	947	Muntingia calabura	0.65	2.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
311,	948	Swetenia mahogani	1.11	2.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
312.	949	Swetenia mahogani Swetenia	0.56	2.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.  The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the project area.
313.	950 951	mahogani Swetenia	0.58	2.00	construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.  The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the project area, but do not hinder the project area.
314.	951 A	mahogani	0.77	3.50	construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.  The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the tree is standing in the project area.
315.	952	Samanea saman Swetenia	1.55	3.50	construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.  The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the project area.
316.	953	mahogani	0.67	2.00	construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
317.	955 A	Prosopis sp.	0.69/ 0.65	2.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention
318.	958	Leuceana leucocephala	0.39	5.00	The tree is standing in the project area, but do not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
319.	981/1	Cocos nucifera	1.00	1.00	The tree is standing abutting the project area proposed for construction of boundary wall. The tree is recommended for retention.
320.	981/2	Ficus religiosa	0.85	5.00	The tree is standing abutting the project area proposed for construction of boundary wall. The tree is recommended for retention.

SI. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendations
321.	981/3	Ficus religiosa	1.80	5.00	The tree is standing abutting the project area proposed fo construction of boundary wall. The tree is recommended fo retention.
322.	981/4	Ficus religiosa	1.11	2.00	The tree is standing abutting the project area proposed fo construction of boundary wall. The tree is recommended fo retention.
323.	998	Jacaranda sp.	0.90	1.50	The tree is standing abutting the project area proposed fo construction of boundary wall. The tree is recommended fo retention.
324.	1007	Silveroak	1.00	6.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the proposed pump house recommended for retention on the site.
325	1023	Teakwood	0.50	1.50	Tree is coming in the edge of proposed approach road, can b retained on the site.
326,	1024	Teakwood	0.55	2.50	Tree is coming in the edge of proposed approach road, can b retained on the site.
327.	1030	Sugarberry	0.58	1.50	Tree is standing on the edge of the boundary wall, can b retained on the site by pruning the branches.
328.	1034	Teakwood	0.47	2.50	Tree is standing on the edge of the boundary wall, can b retained on the site by pruning the branches.
329.	1039	Teakwood	0.27	2.50	Tree is standing on the edge of the proposed approach road can be retained on the site by pruning the branches.
330.	1040	Casia	0.46	3.00	Tree is standing on the edge of the proposed approach road
3301	A	Casia	0.35	2.50	can be retained on the site by pruning the branches.
331	1042	Casia	0.30	2.50	Tree is standing on the edge of the proposed approach roa can be retained on the site by pruning the branches.
332.	1051	Nerale	0.40	3.00	Tree is standing on the edge of the proposed drain, can be retained on the site by pruning the branches.
333.	1058	Eucalyptus sp.	3.50	4.50	The tree is standing abutting the project area proposed for reconstruction of road within the defence compound. The tree is recommended for retention.
334.	1076/1	Santalum album	0.28	2.00	The tree is standing abutting the project area proposed for reconstruction of road within the defence compound. The tree is recommended for retention.
335.	1076/2	Santalum album	0.32	2.00	The tree is standing abutting the project area proposed for reconstruction of road within the defence compound. The tree is recommended for retention.
336.	1076/3	Santalum album	0.36	2.00	The tree is standing abutting the project area proposed for reconstruction of road within the defence compound. The tree is recommended for retention.
337.	1076/4	Tamarindus indicus	0.19/ 0.14	2.00	The tree is standing abutting the project area proposed for reconstruction of road within the defence compound. The tree is recommended for retention.
338.	1076/5	Leuceana leucocephala	0.22	2.00	The tree is standing abutting the project area proposed for reconstruction of road within the defence compound. The tree is recommended for retention.
339.	1076/6	Santalum album	0.30	3.00	The tree is standing abutting the project area proposed for reconstruction of road within the defence compound. The tree is recommended for retention.
340.	1076/9	Cassia siamea	0.35	3.00	The tree is standing abutting the project area proposed for reconstruction of road within the defence compound. The tree is recommended for retention.
341.	1085	Mahogany	0.19	1.50	123 trees are standing in between existing south wester railway line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. They a situated away from both the track and formed an islan. These trees are situated on low lying area and may affected during backfilling or embankment process. Ca should be taken to protect these trees during construction process.
342.	1086	Sampige	0.20	1.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated awa from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situate on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the treduring construction process.

SI. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendations
343,	1087	Honge	0.18	1.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
344.	1088	Mahogany	0.30	2.00	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
345.	1089	Sandalwood	0.19	2.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
346.	1090	Honge	0.24	2.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated
	A	Honge	0.22	2.50	on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
347.	1091	Sampige	0.26	1.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
348.	1092	Kadubadam	0.24	2.00	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
	1093	Atti	0.82	3.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line &
349.	A	Atti	0.78	2.50	proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
350.	1094	Mahogany	0.32	2.00	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
	1095	Jungle	0.56	1.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away
351	A	Jungle	0.40	1.50	from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
	1096	Mahogany	0.23	1.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line &
352.	A	Mahogany	0.23	1.50	proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
353.	1097	Kadubadam	0.50	2.00	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree

SI. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendations
					during construction process.
354.	1098	Mahogany	0.50	1.75	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
355.	1099	Mahogany	0.38	1.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
356.	1100	Acacia Polycantha	0.26	1.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling o embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
357.	1101	Acacia Polycantha	0.26	2.00	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling o embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
358.	1102	Mahogany	0.55	2.00	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling of embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
359.	1103	Kadubadam	0.32	3.00	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling of embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
360.	1104	Atti	1.00	2.00	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line of proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situate on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling of embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
361,	1105	Mahogany	0.57	1.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling of embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
362.	1106	Atti	0.52	1.75	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated
	A	Atti	0.26	1.75	on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling o embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the treduring construction process.
363.	1107	Kadubadam	0.45	1.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling of embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
364.	1108	Kadubadam	0.27	2.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling of

SI. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendations
					embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
365.	1109	Atti	0.86	2.00	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
366.	1110	Kadubadam	0.25	2.00	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
367.	1111	Kadubadam	0.43	2.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
368.	1112	Atti	1.23	1.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling o embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
369.	1113	Kadubadam	0.33	1.75	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling of embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
370.	1114	Kadubadam	0.25	1.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling of embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
371.	1115	Atti	1.20	1.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling of embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
372.	1116	Atti	0.83	1.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling of embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
373.	1117	Acacia Polycantha	0.25	1.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling of embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
	1118	Kadubadam	0.28	2.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line &
374.	A	Kadubadam	0.25	2.50	proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling of embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
375.	1119	Nerale	0.47	2.00	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situate

Sl. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendations
			4		on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling o embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tre during construction process.
376.	1120	Nerale	0.39	1.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling of embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
377	1121	Raintree	1.47	1,50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated
	A	Raintree	1.50	2.50	on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling o embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tre during construction process.
378.	1122	Mahogany	0.40	1,50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling of embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
379.	1123	Atti	1.40	1.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated awa from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situate
319.	A	Atti	1.50	2.50	on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling of embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tre during construction process.
380.	1124	Mahogany	0.46	1.75	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line of proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated awa from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated
380.	A	Mahogany	0.38	1.75	on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling of embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tre during construction process.
381.	1125	Echalu	0.82	6.00	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line of proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated awa from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situate on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling of embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
382.	1126	Teakwood	0.99	2.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line of proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated awa from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling of embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
383.	1127	Teakwood	0.50	2.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line of proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated awa from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling of
	Α	Teakwood	0.42	3.00	embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the treduring construction process.
384.	1128	Raintree	1.24	2.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line of proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated awar from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling of embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
385.	1129	Atti	2.10	1.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line a proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated awa from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling a embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
	1130	Silveroak	0.81	5.00	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line

Sl. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendations
					from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling of embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
387.	1131	Paper Mulbery	1.02	5.00	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling of embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
388.	1132	Teakwood	0.44	3.00	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling of embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
389.	1133	Teakwood	0.60	1.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling of embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
390.	1134	Teakwood	0.72	3.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line of proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situate on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling of embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
	1135	Elache	0.35	2.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line of proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated awa from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated
391.	A	Elache	0.30	3.00	on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling of embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tre- during construction process.
392 <sub>%</sub>	1136	Raintree	0.84	3.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line of proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated awa from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling of embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
393.	1137	Teakwood	0.63	2.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line of proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated awa from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling of embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
394.	1138	Teakwood	0.53	2.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line of proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated
	A	Teakwood	0.36	1.75	on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling of embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tre- during construction process.
	1139	Peltoforum	1.13	1.75	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line of
	A	Peltoforum	0.63	6.00	proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated awa from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situate
395.	В	Peltoforum	0.63	6.00	on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling of embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the treduring construction process.
	-				The tree is standing in between existing SWR line
396.	1140	Nerale	0.48	3.00	proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated awa from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.

SI. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendations
					proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
	1142	Teakwood	0.64	3.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line &
398.	A	Teakwood	0.51	3.00	proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
	1143	Teakwood	0.62	3.00	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line &
399.	A	Teakwood	0.64	3.00	proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling of embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
400.	1144	Teakwood	0.50	3.00	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
401.	1145	Teakwood	0.40	2.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
402.	1146	Teakwood	0.83	1.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling of embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
403.	1147	Teakwood	0.60	2.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling o embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
404.	1148	Teakwood	0.40	2.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling o embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
405.	1149	Teakwood	0.38	2.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling o embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
406.	1150	Teakwood	0.56	2.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling of embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
407.	1151	Teakwood	0.56	3.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated

SI. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendations
					on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
408.	1152	Raintree	0.36	4.00	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree
409.	1153	Teakwood	0.72	1.75	during construction process.  The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
410.	1154	Paper Mulbery	1.07	2.00	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
411.	1155	Teakwood	0.65	3.00	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling of embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
412.	1156	Raintree	1,25	3,50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling of embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
413	1157	Teakwood	0.53	3.00	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling o embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
414.	1158	Teakwood	0.61	2.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling of embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
415.	1159	Teakwood	0.54	2.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling of embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
	1160	Teakwood	0.48	1.75	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line &
416.	В	Teakwood Teakwood	0.45	1.75	proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling o embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
417.	1161	Neam	0.16	2.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated

SI. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendations
					on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
418.	1162	Teakwood	0.40	3.00	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
419.	1163	Teakwood	0.63	2.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
420.	1164	Teakwood	0.82	2.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
	1165	Peltoforum	0.71	2.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line &
	A	Peltoforum	0.58	6.00	proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away
421.	В	Peltoforum	0.40	6.00	from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
422.	1166	Teakwood	0.75	2.00	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
423,	1167	Raintree	0.45	2.00	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
424.	1168	Teakwood	0.38	2.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
425.	1169	Teakwood	0.85	2.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
	1170	Teakwood	0.25	1.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line &
426.	A	Teakwood	0.24	3.00	proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
427.	1171	Teakwood	0.61	2.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away

SI. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendations
W.					on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
	1172	Raintree	0.74	1.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line &
428.	A	Raintree	0.72	2.00	proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
429.	1173	Raintree	0.63	1.75	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
	1174	Paper Mulbery	0.88	5.00	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line &
430.	A	Paper Mulbery	0.62	5.50	proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
431.	1175	Paper Mulbery	0.89	4.00	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
432.	1176	Elache	0.61	1.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
	1177	Paper Mulbery	0.60	5.00	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line &
433.	A	Paper Mulbery	0.61	5.00	proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
	1178	Paper Mulbery	0.86	4.00	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line &
434.	A	Paper Mulbery	0.46	2.00	proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
435.	1179	Teakwood	0.51	2.00	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
	1180	Teakwood	0.50	2.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line &
436.	A	Teakwood	0.49	2.50	proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated a from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situ on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the during construction process.
437	1181	Mahogany	0.49	3.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or

SI. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendations
					embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tre during construction process.
438.	1182	Teakwood	0.56	2.00	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling of embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
	1183	Teakwood	0.67	2.00	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line &
439.	A	Teakwood	0.70	2.00	proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated awa from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling of embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
440.	1184	Teakwood	0.51	2.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line of proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated awa from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling of embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
441.	1185	Teakwood	0.48	2.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line of proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated awa from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling of embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
442.	1186	Teakwood	0.45	2.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line of proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated awa from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling of embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
443.	1187	Atti	0.62	4.00	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line of proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated awar from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling of embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
444.	1188	Sandalwood	0.15	1.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line of proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated awar from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling of embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
445.	- 1189 :	Sandalwood	0.12	1.75	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line of proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated award from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling of embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
	1190	Peltoforum	1.26	2.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line
446.	A	Peltoforum	1.24	3.00	proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated aware from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
447.	1191	Sandalwood	0.21	1.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated awa from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling.

SI. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendations
					embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
448.	1192	Sandalwood	0.28	1.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
					The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated
449.	1193	Sandalwood	0.16	2.00	on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree
450.	1194	Sandalwood	0.12	2.00	during construction process.  The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
451.	1195 A B C	Sandalwood	0.38 0.31 0.30 0.28 0.22	1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
452.	1196	Sandalwood	0.26	1.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree
	1197	Sandalwood	0.25	1.50	during construction process.  The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated
453.	A	Sandalwood	0.20	1.50	on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
454.	1198	Teakwood	0.23	1.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
455.	1199	Teakwood	0.41	2.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
456.	1200	Mahogany	0.36	2.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree
457.	1201	Teakwood	0.41	2.00	during construction process.  The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.

SI. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendations
458.	1202	Mahogany	0.33	2.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
459.	1203	Teakwood	0.52	2.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
460.	1204	Gond	0.75	3.00	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
461.	1205	Silveroak	1.70	3.00	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
462.	1206 A B	Honge	0.70 0.56 0.28	3.00 3.00 -3.00	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
463.	1207	Silveroak	1.62	3.50	The tree is standing in between existing SWR line & proposed KRIDE railway track area. This is situated away from both the track and formed an island. This tree is situated on low lying area and may be affected during backfilling or embankment process. Care should be taken to protect the tree during construction process.
464.	1208	Ficus religiosa	1.53	1.50	The tree is standing within the project area proposed for construction of Station. However, the ground position of the tree does not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
465.	1209	Mangifera indica	1.08	1.50	The tree is standing within the project area proposed for construction of Station. However, the ground position of the tree does not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
466.	1210	Broussonetia papyrifera	2.55	3.00	The tree is standing within the project area proposed for construction of Station. However, the ground position of the tree does not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
467.	1211	Broussonetia papyrifera	1.05	1.50	The tree is standing within the project area proposed for construction of Station. However, the ground position of the tree does not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
468.	1212	Spathodea campanulata	1.73	3.00	The tree is standing within the project area proposed for construction of Station. However, the ground position of the tree does not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
469.	1213	Broussonetia papyrifera	0.86	2.00	The tree is standing within the project area proposed for construction of Station. However, the ground position of the tree does not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
470.	1214	Cocus nucifera	0.80	6.00	The tree is standing within the project area proposed for construction of Station. However, the ground position of the tree does not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.

Sl. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendations
471.	1215	Artocarpus heterophyllus	1.02	2.50	The tree is standing within the project area proposed for construction of Station. However, the ground position of the tree does not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
472.	1216	Ficus benghalensis	2.50	3.00	The tree is standing within the project area proposed for construction of Station. However, the ground position of the tree does not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
473.	1217	Broussonetia papyrifera	1.86	2.50	The tree is standing within the project area proposed for construction of Station. However, the ground position of the tree does not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
474.	1218	Ficus racemosa	3.21	2.50	The tree is standing within the project area proposed for construction of Station. However, the ground position of the tree does not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
475.	1219 A	Broussonetia papyrifera	1.18/ 0.62	2.50	The tree is standing within the project area proposed for construction of Station. However, the ground position of the tree does not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
476.	1220	Broussonetia papyrifera	1.35	2.00	The tree is standing within the project area proposed for construction of Station. However, the ground position of the tree does not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
477 <sub>*</sub>	1221	Syzygium sp.	1.78	4.00	The tree is standing within the project area proposed for construction of Station. However, the ground position of the tree does not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
478.	1222	Ficus religiosa	2.83	1.50	The tree is standing within the project area proposed for construction of Station. However, the ground position of the tree does not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
479.	1223	Broussonetia papyrifera	1.14	2.50	The tree is standing within the project area proposed for construction of Station. However, the ground position of the tree does not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
480.	1224	Tamarindus indica	2.01	1.50	The tree is standing within the project area proposed for construction of Station. However, the ground position of the tree does not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
481	1225	Ficus religiosa	3.10	2.00	The tree is standing within the project area proposed for construction of Station. However, the ground position of the tree does not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
482.	1226	Broussonetia papyrifera	0.98	3.00	The tree is standing within the project area proposed for construction of Station. However, the ground position of the tree does not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
483.	1227	Ficus religiosa	1.76	2.00	The tree is standing within the project area proposed for construction of Station. However, the ground position of the tree does not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
484.	1228	Broussonetia papyrifera	1.10	1.50	The tree is standing within the project area proposed for construction of Station. However, the ground position of the tree does not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
485.	1229	Syzygium sp.	1.62	3.00	The tree is standing within the project area proposed for construction of Station. However, the ground position of the tree does not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
486.	1230	Ficus religiosa	3.30	2.00	The tree is standing within the project area proposed for construction of Station. However, the ground position of the tree does not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.

SI. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendations
487.	1231	Ficus racemosa	3.40	1.50	The tree is standing within the project area proposed for construction of Station. However, the ground position of the tree does not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
488.	1232	Eucalyptus sp.	2.30	6.00	The tree is standing within the project area proposed for construction of Station. However, the ground position of the tree does not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
489.	1233	Ficus religiosa	3.60	1.50	The tree is standing within the project area proposed for construction of Station. However, the ground position of the tree does not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
490.	1234	Ficus racemosa	2.75	2.00	The tree is standing within the project area proposed for construction of Station. However, the ground position of the tree does not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
491.	1235	Ficus religiosa	2.65	2.50	The tree is standing within the project area proposed for construction of Station. However, the ground position of the tree does not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
492.	1236	Mangifera indica	1.21	3.50	The tree is standing within the project area proposed for construction of Station. However, the ground position of the tree does not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.
493.	1237	Cocus nucifera	0.75	6.00	The tree is standing within the project area proposed for construction of Station. However, the ground position of the tree does not hinder the construction activities. The tree is recommended for retention.

Total number of trees for retention-on-site = 493 Nos.

Tree Officer &

Deputy Conservator of Forests,

BBMP, Bengaluru

**Application Nos** 

: Original – KRIDE/BSRP/C-2/BBMP/055 dtd 17.10.2023

Revised - KRIDE/BSRP/C-2/BBMP/002 dtd 30.01.2024

Project Area:

Design and Construction of Elevated Viaduct of length 8.027 kms and AT-

Grade Section of Length 17.551 kms extending from Benniganahalli Railway Station to Chikkabanavara Railway Station excluding Station

buildings, for Corridor 02 of BSRP

Sl. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendations
1.	36 A B	Pongamia pinnata	0.65/ 0.57/ 0.48	1.50	The tree is standing the project area proposed for retention wall. The tree is forked, however in consideration to the healthy condition of the tree, the tree is recommended for transplantation.
2.	41	Pongamia pinnata	0.52	1.00	The tree is healthy, and standing in the project area proposed for construction of retention wall. The tree is recommended for transplantation.
3,	43 A B	Pongamia pinnata	0.23/ 0.25/ 0.18	1.00	The tree is healthy, and standing in the project area proposed for construction of retention wall. The tree is recommended for transplantation.
4.	45	Pongamia pinnata	0.28	1.25	The tree is healthy, and standing in the project area proposed for construction of retention wall. The tree is recommended for transplantation.
5.	48 A	Pongamia pinnata	0.33/ 0.21	1.00	The tree is healthy, and standing in the project area proposed for construction of retention wall. The tree is recommended for transplantation.
6.	63	Pongamia pinnata	0.21	1.25	The tree is healthy, and standing within the project area earmarked for Railway Under Bridge, close to LC 6. The tree is recommended for transplantation.
7.	70	Markhamia lutea	0.35	2.30	The tree is healthy, and standing within the project area. The tree is recommended for transplantation.
8.	71	Ficus benjamina	0.26	2.05	The tree is healthy, and standing within the project area earmarked for Railway Under Bridge, close to LC 6. The tree is recommended for transplantation.
9.	72 A	Pongamia pinnata	0.30/ 0.46	1.30	The tree is healthy, and standing within the project area earmarked for Railway Under Bridge, close to LC 6. The tree is recommended for transplantation.
10.	219	Pongamia pinnata	0.28	1.50	The tree is standing in one end (towards Peenya) of the median away from the ROB. In order to facilitate free traffic flow after the destruction of existing ROB and construction of additional ROB, a portion of existing median has to be demolished. The tree is recommended for transplantation.
11.	220 A	Pongamia pinnata	0.35/ 0.30	1.50	The tree is forked, standing in one end (towards Peenya) of the median away from the ROB. In order to facilitate free traffic flow after the destruction of existing ROB and construction of additional ROB, a portion of existing median has to be demolished. The tree is recommended for transplantation.
12.	221 A B	Pongamia pinnata	0.35/ 0.35/ 0.30	1.50	The tree is forked, standing in one end (towards Peenya) of the median away from the ROB. In order to facilitate free traffic flow after the destruction of existing ROB and construction of additional ROB, a portion of existing median has to be demolished. The tree is recommended for transplantation.
13.	278	Atti	1.44	2.00	Tree is coming in proposed approach road area, recommended for transplantation in nearby area
14.	279	Nerale	0.38	3.00	Tree is coming in proposed Viaduct area. It is young & healthy, recommended for transplantation.
15.	281	Atti	0.80	1.50	Tree is coming in proposed Viaduct area, young & healthy, recommended for transplantation.
16.	282	Atti	0.44	2.00	Tree is coming in proposed Viaduct area, young & healthy, recommended for transplantation.
17.	284	Atti	0.71	1.50	Tree is coming in proposed approach road area, recommended for transplantation in nearby area
18.	285 A	Atti	0.34	2.00	Tree is coming in proposed approach road area, recommended for transplantation in nearby area
	A		0.33	2.00	transplantation in hoursy area

SI. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendations
19.	286 A	Atti	0.59	2.00	Tree is coming in proposed approach road area, recommended for transplantation by pruning smaller branch in nearby area
20.	287	Nerale	0.39	2.50	Tree is coming in proposed approach road area, young & healthy recommended for transplantation.
21.	288	Atti	0.70	1.50	Tree is coming in proposed approach road area, young & healthy recommended for transplantation.
22.	290	Atti	0.27	3.00	Tree is coming in proposed approach road area, young & healthy recommended for transplantation.
23.	291	Atti	0.47	3.00	Tree is coming in proposed approach road area, young & healthy recommended for transplantation.
24.	298	Toremathi	0.29	3.00	Tree is coming in proposed approach road area, young & healthy recommended for transplantation.
25.	301	Nerale	0.45	3.00	Tree is coming in proposed approach road area, young & healthy recommended for transplantation.
26.	303	Atti	0.60	1.50	Tree is coming in proposed approach road area, young & healthy recommended for transplantation.
27.	304	Nerale	0.40	1.50	Tree is coming in proposed approach road area, young & healthy recommended for transplantation.
28.	310	Atti	0.46	2.50	Tree is coming in proposed approach road area, young & healthy recommended for transplantation.
29.	339	Honge	0.32	1.50	Tree is coming in proposed viaduct area, young & healthy recommended for transplantation.
30.	340	Dalichandra	0.25	1.50	Tree is coming in proposed viaduct area, young & healthy recommended for transplantation.
31.	343	Honge	0.37	1.50	Tree is coming in proposed viaduct area, young & healthy recommended for transplantation.
32.	344	Basant Paudha	0.36	1.50	Tree is coming in proposed viaduct area, young & healthy recommended for transplantation.
33.	345	Sampige	0.25	1.50	Tree is coming in proposed viaduct area, young & healthy recommended for transplantation.
34.	348	Atti	0.25	2.00	Tree is coming in proposed viaduct area, young & healthy recommended for transplantation.
35.	369	Honge	0.36	1.50	Tree is coming in proposed viaduct area, young & healthy recommended for transplantation.
36.	391	Honge	0.30	1.50	Tree is coming in proposed drain area, young & healthy recommended for transplantation.
37.	438	Mahagony	0.62	2.50	Tree is coming in proposed viaduct area, young & healthy recommended for transplantation.
38.	441	Honge	0.45	2.00	Tree is coming in proposed viaduct area, young & healthy recommended for transplantation.
39.	487	Mahagony	0.29	1.50	Tree is coming in proposed viaduct area, young & healthy recommended for transplantation.
40.	490	Shivane	0.40	2.00	Tree is coming in proposed viaduct area, young & healthy recommended for transplantation.
41.	492	Shivane	0.45	2.50	Tree is coming in proposed viaduct area, young & healthy recommended for transplantation.
42.	493	Shivane	0.30	3.00	Tree is coming in proposed viaduct area, young & healthy recommended for transplantation.
43.	502	Mahagony	0.64	1.50	Tree is coming in proposed viaduct area, young & healthy recommended for transplantation.
44.	506	Mahagony	0.60	2.50	Tree is coming in proposed viaduct area, young & healthy recommended for transplantation.
45.	507	Peepal	0.78	2.50	Tree is coming in proposed viaduct area, young & healthy recommended for transplantation.
46.	551	Kadu badami	0.24	2.00	Tree is coming in proposed viaduct area, young & healthy recommended for transplantation.
47.	619	Atti	1.00	2.50	Tree is coming in proposed viaduct area, young & healthy recommended for transplantation.
48.	635	Atti	0.22	3.00	Tree is coming in proposed viaduct area, young & healthy recommended for transplantation.
49.	654	Halasu	0.72	2.50	Tree is coming in proposed viaduct area, young & healthy recommended for transplantation.
50.	674	Atti	0.33	2.00	Tree is coming in proposed viaduct area, young & healthy recommended for transplantation.

Şl. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendations
51.	675	Atti	0.41	2.00	Tree is coming in proposed viaduct area, young & healthy recommended for transplantation.
52.	677	Tabebuia rosea	0.24	2.50	Tree is coming in proposed viaduct area, young & healthy recommended for transplantation.
53.	678	Honge	0.32	2.50	Tree is coming in proposed viaduct area, young & healthy recommended for transplantation.
54.	686	Atti	0.19	1.50	Tree is coming in proposed viaduct area, young & healthy recommended for transplantation.
55.	698	Honge	0.24	1.50	Tree is coming in proposed viaduct area, young & healthy recommended for transplantation.
56.	766	Atti	0.25	1.50	Tree is coming in proposed viaduct area, young & healthy recommended for transplantation.
57.	839	Pongamia pinnata	0.36	3.00	The tree is healthy and standing within the project area earmarked for construction of service road / station. The tree is recommended for transplantation.
58.	846	Ficus benjamina	0.48	3.00	The tree is healthy and standing within the project area (for viaduct and pier). The tree is recommended for transplantation.
59.	855/1	Pongamia pinnata	0.20	1.20	The tree is healthy and recommended for transplantation.
60.	857	Markhamia lutea	0.42	2.00	The tree is healthy and recommended for transplantation.
61.	858	Michelia champaca	0.45	1.50	The tree is healthy and recommended for transplantation.
62.	861	Pongamia pinnata	0.58	2.00	The tree is healthy and recommended for transplantation.
63.	879	Psidium guajava	0.50/ 0.08	1.00	The tree is healthy and recommended for transplantation.
64.	880	Pongamia pinnata	0.43	1.20	The tree is healthy and recommended for transplantation.
65.	883	Terminalia sp.	0.41	1.60	The tree is healthy and recommended for transplantation.
66.	891	Swetenia mahogani	0.62	1.50	The tree is with constricted roots (due to drainage channel on one side), and standing in the project area proposed for constriction of pile cap / pillar (no. 31) and viaduct. The tree is recommended for transplantation, with additional proper care.
67.	900 A	Pongamia pinnata	0.49/ 0.27	1.20	The tree is forked, with constricted roots (due to drainage channel on one side), and standing in the project area proposed for constriction of pile cap / pillar (no. 30) and viaduct. The tree is recommended for transplantation, with additional proper care.
68.	904	Swetenia mahogani	0.64	1.40	The tree is with constricted roots (due to drainage channel on one side), and standing in the project area proposed for constriction of pile cap / pillar (no. 30) and viaduct. The tree is recommended for transplantation, with additional proper care.
69.	909	Swetenia mahogani	0.49	1.50	The tree is with constricted roots (due to drainage channel on one side), and standing in the project area proposed for constriction of pile cap / pillar (no. 29) and viaduct. The tree is recommended for transplantation, with additional proper care.
70.	932	Swetenia mahogani	0.68	1.50	The tree is with constricted roots (due to drainage channel on one side), and standing in the project area proposed for constriction of pile cap / pillar (no. 25) and viaduct. The tree is recommended for transplantation, with additional proper care.
71.	933	Tabeubia rosea	1.03	1.50	The tree is with constricted roots (due to drainage channel on one side), and standing in the project area proposed for constriction of pile cap / pillar (no. 25) and viaduct. The tree is recommended for transplantation, with additional proper care.
72.	939	Tabeubia rosea	0.79	2.00	The tree is with constricted roots (due to drainage channel on one side), and standing in the project area proposed for constriction of pile cap / pillar (no. 24) and viaduct. The tree is recommended for transplantation, with additional proper care.
73.	943	Swetenia mahogani	0.72	1.50	The tree is with constricted roots (due to drainage channel on one side), and standing in the project area proposed for constriction of pile cap / pillar (no. 23) and viaduct. The tree is recommended for transplantation, with additional proper care.
74.	957	Terminalia catappa	0.23	2.00	The tree is standing in the project area in the ongoing construction activities between pillar no. 7 and 8. The tree is recommended for transplantation.

SI. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendations
75.	981	Lagerstroemia speciosa	0.60	2.00	The tree is healthy, and standing in the project area proposed for construction of boundary wall (of BSRP). The tree is recommended for transplantation.
76.	982	Lagerstroemia speciosa	0.50	2.00	The tree is healthy, and standing in the project area proposed for construction of boundary wall (of BSRP). The tree is recommended for transplantation.
77.	983	Lagerstroemia speciosa	0.30	1.00	The tree is healthy, and standing in the project area proposed for construction of boundary wall (of BSRP). The tree is recommended for transplantation.
78.	-986	Cocos mucifera	0.80	0.50	The tree is healthy, and standing in the project area proposed for construction of boundary wall (of BSRP). The tree is recommended for transplantation.
79.	987	Lagerstroemia speciosa	0.60	2.50	The tree is healthy, and standing in the project area proposed for construction of boundary wall (of BSRP). The tree is recommended for transplantation.
80.	988	Lagerstroemia speciosa	0.40	2.00	The tree is healthy, and standing in the project area proposed for construction of boundary wall (of BSRP). The tree is recommended for transplantation.
81.	989	Lagerstroemia speciosa	0.60	1.00	The tree is healthy, and standing in the project area proposed for construction of boundary wall (of BSRP). The tree is recommended for transplantation.
82.	990	Cocos nucifera	0.90	1.20	The tree is healthy, and standing in the project area proposed for construction of boundary wall (of BSRP). The tree is recommended for transplantation.
83.	991	Lagerstroemia speciosa	0.70	3.00	The tree is healthy, and standing in the project area proposed for construction of boundary wall (of BSRP). The tree is recommended for transplantation.
84.	993	Lagerstroemia speciosa	0.70	2.00	The tree is healthy, and standing in the project area proposed for construction of boundary wall (of BSRP). The tree is recommended for transplantation.
85.	994	Cocos nucifera	1.00	1.50	The tree is healthy, and standing in the project area proposed for construction of boundary wall (of BSRP). The tree is recommended for transplantation.
86.	995	Lagerstroemia speciosa	0.60	1.50	The tree is healthy, and standing in the project area proposed for construction of boundary wall (of BSRP). The tree is recommended for transplantation.
87.	996	Cocos nucifera	1.20	2.00	The tree is healthy, and standing in the project area proposed for construction of boundary wall (of BSRP). The tree is recommended for transplantation.
88.	999	Cocos nucifera	0.90	1.50	The tree is healthy, and standing in the project area proposed for construction of boundary wall (of BSRP). The tree is recommended for transplantation.
89.	1015	Honge	0.36	2.50	Tree is standing on the edge of the proposed approach road, young & healthy can be translocated to nearby area.

**Total Translocation of trees = 89 Nos.** 

Deputy Conservator of Forests, BBMP, Bengaluru

Tree Officer &

Application Nos : Original – KRIDE/BSRP/C-2/BBMP/055 dtd 17.10.2023

Revised - KRIDE/BSRP/C-2/BBMP/002 dtd 30.01.2024

Project Area:

Design and Construction of Elevated Viaduct of length 8.027 kms and AT-Grade Section of Length 17.551 kms extending from Benniganahalli

Railway Station to Chikkabanavara Railway Station excluding Station

buildings, for Corridor 02 of BSRP

SI. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendation
1.	1	Ficus religiosa	0.47	3.10	The tree is partially dried (relocated) and standing very close to the constructed retention wall. The tree is recommended for felling.
2,	31	Pongamia pinnata	0.68	0.72	The tree is standing very close to the channel dug which is proposed for drainage. The tree is standing within the project area, and hinder the continuity of width of the channel. The roots of the tree is exposed. The tree is recommended for felling.
3.	32	Ziziphus mauritiana	0.35	2.00	The tree is standing very close to the tree no. 33, thereby excavation of root ball in not feasible. The tree is standing in the project area proposed for retention wall, and hence recommended for felling.
4.	33	Pongamia pinnata	0.54	1.02	The tree is standing very close to the tree no. 32, thereby excavation of root ball in not feasible. The tree is completely dried with severe powder post beetle infestation. The tree is standing in the project area proposed for retention wall, and hence recommended for felling.
5	34	Pongamia pinnata	0.48	0.78	The roots of the tree is completely exposed on one side, thereby preventing desired excavation root ball. The tree is standing in the project area proposed for retention wall, and hence recommended for felling.
6.	35	Pongamia pinnata	0.42	1.20	The tree is not present in the location (felling).
7.	37	Pongamia pinnata	0.48	1.00	The tree is decayed at the base. The tree is standing in the project area proposed for retention wall, and hence recommended for felling.
8.	38 A B C	Pongamia pinnata	0.44/ 0.51/ 0.40/ 0.33/ 0.42	1.00	The tree is multiforked with canker (due to mechanical injury) symptoms. The tree is standing in the project area proposed for retention wall, and hence recommended for felling.
9.	39 A B C D	Pongamia pinnata	0.45/ 0.60/ 0.34/ 0.36/ 0.37	1.00	The tree is multiforked with canker (due to mechanical injury) symptoms. The tree is standing in the project area proposed for retention wall, and hence recommended for felling.
10.	40 A B C	Pongamia pinnata	0.40/ 0.30/ 0.40/ 0.25	1.00	The tree is multiforked with canker (due to mechanical injury) symptoms. The tree is standing in the project area proposed for retention wall, and hence recommended for felling.
11,	42 A	Pongamia pinnata	0.36/ 0.37	1.00	The tree is with decay symptoms. The tree is standing in the project area proposed for retention wall, and hence recommended for felling.
12.	44 A	Pongamia pinnata	0.26/ 0.37	1.00	The tree is partially dried. The tree is standing in the project area proposed for retention wall, and hence recommended for felling.
13.	46	Pongamia pinnata	0.34	0.50	The tree is partially dried. The tree is standing in the project area proposed for retention wall, and hence recommended for felling.
14.	47	Samanea saman	0.45	1.80	The tree is with canker (due to mechanical injury) symptoms. The tree is standing in the project area proposed for retention wall, and hence recommended for felling.

SI. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendation
15.:	49 A	Pongamia pinnata	0.38/ 0.44	1.00	The tree is standing close to concrete structures, indicating the high probability of constricted roots. The tree is recommended for felling.
16.	50	Pongamia pinnata	0.45	1.05	The tree is partially dried, and standing within the project area earmarked for Railway Under Bridge, close to LC 6. The tree is recommended for felling.
17.	51 A B C	Pongamia pinnata	0.43/ 0.32/ 0.26/ 0.56/ 0.28	1.00	There are more probabilities that the concrete structures close to the tree succumb the tree with constricted roots. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for Railway Under Bridge, close to LC 6. The tree is recommended for felling.
18.	52	Pongamia pinnata	0.35	1.10	The tree is with decay symptoms. There are more probabilities that the concrete structures close to the tree succumb the tree with constricted roots. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for Railway Under Bridge, close to LC 6. The tree is recommended for felling.
19.	53 A B	Pongamia pinnata	0.72/ 0.33/ 0.63	1.20	There are more probabilities that the concrete structures close to the tree succumb the tree with constricted roots. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for Railway Under Bridge, close to LC 6. The tree is recommended for felling.
20.	54 A	Pongamia pinnata	0.54/ 0.26	1.20	The tree is with decay symptoms. There are more probabilities that the concrete structures close to the tree succumb the tree with constricted roots. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for Railway Under Bridge, close to LC 6. The tree is recommended for felling.
21.	55	Tabeubia rosea	1.25	1.50	There are more probabilities that the concrete structures close to the tree succumb the tree with constricted roots. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for Railway Under Bridge, close to LC 6. The tree is recommended for felling.
22.	56 A	Pongamia pinnata	0.51/ 0.46	1.10	There are more probabilities that the concrete structures close to the tree succumb the tree with constricted roots. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for Railway Unde Bridge, close to LC 6. The tree is recommended for felling.
23.	57	Tabeubia rosea	1.32	3.20	There are more probabilities that the concrete structures close to the tree succumb the tree with constricted roots. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for Railway Under Bridge, close to LC 6. The tree is recommended for felling.
24.	58	Pongamia pinnata	0.24	2.00	The tree is stunted, and without enough foliage. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for Railway Under Bridge, close to LC 6. The tree is recommended for felling.
25.	59	Pongamia pinnata	0.58	2.50	There are more probabilities that the concrete structures close to the tree succumb the tree with constricted roots. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for Railway Unde Bridge, close to LC 6. The tree is recommended for felling.
26.	60	Tabeubia rosea	1.45	1.20	There are more probabilities that the concrete structures close to the tree succumb the tree with constricted roots. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for Railway Unde Bridge, close to LC 6. The tree is recommended for felling.
27.	61	Pongamia pinnata	0.58	2.30	There are more probabilities that the concrete structures close to the tree succumb the tree with constricted roots. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for Railway Under Bridge, close to LC 6. The tree is recommended for felling.
28.	62	Pongamia pinnata	0.54	1.90	There are more probabilities that the concrete structures close to the tree succumb the tree with constricted roots. The tree i standing within the project area earmarked for Railway Unde Bridge, close to LC 6. The tree is recommended for felling.
29.	64 A	Pongamia pinnata	0.60/ 0.75	2.50	There are more probabilities that the concrete structures close to the tree succumb the tree with constricted roots. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for Railway Unde Bridge, close to LC 6. The tree is recommended for felling.
30.	65	Aegle marmelos	0.50	3.00	There are more probabilities that the concrete structures close to the tree succumb the tree with constricted roots. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for Railway Unde Bridge, close to LC 6. The tree is recommended for felling.

SI. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendation
31.	66	Nyctanthes arbor-tristis	0.51	0.40	The tree is with decay symptoms. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for Railway Under Bridge, close to LC 6. The tree is recommended for felling.
32.	67	Acaia ferruginea	1.56	3.53	There are more probabilities that the concrete structures close to the tree succumb the tree with constricted roots. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for Railway Under Bridge, close to LC 6. The tree is recommended for felling.
33.	68	Ficus racemosa	1.62	4.10	There are more probabilities that the concrete structures close to the tree succumb the tree with constricted roots. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for Railway Under Bridge, close to LC 6. The tree is recommended for felling.
34.	69	Gmelina arborea	0.84	1.00	There are more probabilities that the concrete structures close to the tree succumb the tree with constricted roots. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for Railway Under Bridge, close to LC 6. The tree is recommended for felling.
35.	73	Tecoma sp.	0.30	1.34	The tree is bent, and standing within the project area earmarked for Railway Under Bridge, close to LC 6. The tree is recommended for felling.
36.	74	Samanea saman	2.54	2.10	The girth of the tree reveal excavation of desirable root ball and relocation is not feasible. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for Railway Under Bridge, close to LC 6. The tree is recommended for felling.
37.	75	Cocus nucifera	1.05	9.00	The girth and height of the tree reveal excavation of desirable root ball and relocation is not feasible. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for Railway Under Bridge, close to LC 6. The tree is recommended for felling.
38.	76	Cocus nucifera	1.00	10.00	The girth and height of the tree reveal excavation of desirable root ball and relocation is not feasible. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for Railway Under Bridge, close to LC 6. The tree is recommended for felling.
39.	77	Cocus nucifera	0.92	10.00	The height of the tree reveal excavation of desirable root ball and relocation is not feasible. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for Railway Under Bridge, close to LC 6. The tree is recommended for felling.
40.	78	Samanea saman	1.50	1.20	The girth and height of the tree reveal excavation of desirable root ball and relocation is not feasible. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for Railway Under Bridge, close to LC 6. The tree is recommended for felling.
41.	79	Samanea saman	2.10	2.50	The girth and height of the tree reveal excavation of desirable root ball and relocation is not feasible. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for Railway Under Bridge, close to LC 6. The tree is recommended for felling.
42.	80	Melia dubia	1.45	5.00	The girth and height of the tree reveal excavation of desirable root ball and relocation is not feasible. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for Railway Under Bridge, close to LC 6. The tree is recommended for felling.
43.	81	Ficus drupacea	2.08	2.50	The girth and height of the tree reveal excavation of desirable root ball and relocation is not feasible. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for Railway Under Bridge, close to LC 6. The tree is recommended for felling.
44.	82	Artocarpus heterophyllus	1.78	1.00	The girth and height of the tree reveal excavation of desirable root ball and relocation is not feasible. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for Railway Under Bridge, close to LC 6. The tree is recommended for felling.
45.	83	Leuceana leucocephala	0.87	7.00	The tree is bent. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for Railway Under Bridge, close to LC 6. The tree is recommended for felling.
46.	84	Pongamia pinnata	0.74	3.00	The tree is standing very close to tree no. 85 and 86, thereby excavation of healthy root ball is not feasible. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for Railway Under Bridge, close to LC 6. The tree is recommended for felling.
47.	85	Pongamia pinnata	0.86	2.00	The tree is standing very close to tree no. 84 and 86, thereby excavation of healthy root ball is not feasible. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for Railway Under Bridge, close to LC 6. The tree is recommended for felling.

SI. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendation
48.	86	Pongamia pinnata	0.62	3.50	The tree is standing very close to tree no. 84 and 85, thereby excavation of healthy root ball is not feasible. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for Railway Under Bridge, close to LC 6. The tree is recommended for felling.
49.	87	Melia dubia	2.25	10.00	The girth and height of the tree reveal excavation of desirable root ball and relocation is not feasible. Also the tree is standing very close to tree no.87. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for Railway Under Bridge, close to LC 6. The tree is recommended for felling.
50.	88	Melia dubia	2.23	6.50	The girth and height of the tree reveal excavation of desirable root ball and relocation is not feasible. Also the tree is standing very close to tree no. 87. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for Railway Under Bridge, close to LC 6. The tree is recommended for felling.
51.	89 A	Pongamia pinnata	0.70/ 0.79	0.62	The tree is forked, and standing amidst the impact of anthropogenic activities. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for Railway Under Bridge, close to LC 6. The tree is recommended for felling.
52.	91	Melia dubia	2.10	5.20	The tree is partially (without foliage) dried. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for Railway Under Bridge, close to LC 6. The tree is recommended for felling.
53.	109	Broussonetia papyrifera	0.45	3.00	The vicinity of the tree is succumbed to garbage dump, thereby affecting the tree's protection zone. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for widening of the road aligned to the width of proposed ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
54.	110	Broussonetia papyrifera	0.60	3.00	The vicinity of the tree is succumbed to garbage dump, thereby affecting the tree's protection zone. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for widening of the road aligned to the width of proposed ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
55.	111	Broussonetia papyrifera	0.50/ 0.45	3.00	The vicinity of the tree is succumbed to garbage dump, thereby affecting the tree's protection zone. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for widening of the road aligned to the width of proposed ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
56.	112	Broussonetia papyrifera	0.75	3.00	The vicinity of the tree is succumbed to garbage dump, thereby affecting the tree's protection zone. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for widening of the road aligned to the width of proposed ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
57.	113	Broussonetia papyrifera	0.60	3.00	The vicinity of the tree is succumbed to garbage dump, thereby affecting the tree's protection zone. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for widening of the road aligned to the width of proposed ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
58.	114	Peltophorum sp.	1.06	3.00	The tree is bent and standing within the project area earmarked for approach road for the additional ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
59.	115 A	Broussonetia papyrifera	0.65/ 0.65	2.00	The tree is forked, decayed (at base), and standing within the project area earmarked for approach road for the additional ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
60.	116	Peltophorum sp.	1.60	2.00	The tree is standing in slope terrain preventing the excavation of adequate root ball, and within the project area earmarked for approach road for the additional ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
61.	117 A B C	Cassia siamea	0.45/ 0.35/ 0.30/ 0.30	1.50	The tree is multiforked, decayed bent and standing within the project area earmarked for approach road for the additional ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
62.	118	Pongamia pinnata	0.47	3.00	The tree is standing in slope terrain preventing the excavation of adequate root ball, and within the project area earmarked for approach road for the additional ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.

Sl. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendation
63.	154	Pelthophorum sp.	1.60	3.00	The tree is standing in slope terrain preventing the excavation of adequate root ball, and within the project area earmarked for approach road for the ROB to be reconstructed. The tree is recommended for felling.
64.	155	Pelthophorum sp.	1.90	1.50	The tree is standing in slope terrain preventing the excavation of adequate root ball, and within the project area earmarked for approach road for the ROB to be reconstructed. The tree is recommended for felling.
65.	155/1 A	Unknown species	0.36/ 0.30	1.00	The tree is forked, and standing within the project area earmarked for approach road for the additional ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
66.	155/2	Unknown species	0.40	2.00	The tree is standing within the project area earmarked (in slope terrain) for approach road for the additional ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
67.	155/3	Broussonetia papyrifera	0.28	2.00	The tree is standing within the project area earmarked (in slope terrain) for approach road for the additional ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
68.	155/4	Broussonetia papyrifera	0.29	2.00	The tree is standing within the project area earmarked (in slope terrain) for approach road for the additional ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
69.	155/5 A	Broussonetia papyrifera	0.50/ 0.40	2.00	The tree is standing within the project area earmarked (in slope terrain) for approach road for the additional ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
70.	156 A B C D E F G H I J K	Cassia siamea	0.34/ 0.30/ 0.25/ 0.28/ 0.28/ 0.36/ 0.32/ 0.34/ 0.25/ 0.26/ 0.34/ 0.38	3.00	The tree is multiforked, decayed, and standing within the project area earmarked for approach road for the additional ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
71.	157 A B C	Cassia siamea	0.33/ 0.25/ 0.28/ 0.25/ 0.28	3.00	The tree is multiforked, decayed, and standing within the project area earmarked for approach road for the additional ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
72.	158	Cassia siamea	0.35	1.50	The tree is standing in slope terrain preventing the excavation of adequate root ball, and within the project area earmarked for approach road for the additional ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
73.	159	Cassia siamea	0.38	2.50	The tree is standing in slope terrain preventing the excavation of adequate root ball, and within the project area earmarked for approach road for the additional ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
74.	159/1	Samanea saman	1.30	1.50	The tree is standing (not feasible for excavation of applicable root ball) within the project area earmarked for approach road for the additional ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
75.	159/2 A B	Samanea saman	1.00/ 0.90/ 0.80	1.70	The tree is standing (not feasible for excavation of applicable root ball) within the project area earmarked for approach road for the additional ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
76.	159/3	Samanea saman	1.70	1.75	The tree is standing (not feasible for excavation of applicable root ball) within the project area earmarked for approach road for the additional ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
77.	160	Cassia siamea	0.40	3.00	The tree is standing in slope terrain preventing the excavation of adequate root ball, and within the project area earmarked for approach road for the additional ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
78.	161	Cassia siamea	0.38	2.50	The tree is standing in slope terrain preventing the excavation of adequate root ball, and within the project area earmarked for approach road for the additional ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.

Sl. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendation
79,	162	Cassia siamea	0.36	2.50	The tree is standing in slope terrain preventing the excavation of adequate root ball, and within the project area earmarked for approach road for the additional ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
80.	163	Cassia siamea	0.30	2.50	The tree is standing in slope terrain preventing the excavation of adequate root ball, and within the project area earmarked for approach road for the additional ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
81,	164 A B	Zizyphus jujuba	0.70/ 0.40/ 0.30	1.00	The tree is multiforked with basal decay, and standing within the project area earmarked for approach road for the additional ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
82.	165	Pongamia pinnata	0.58	1.50	The tree is standing in slope terrain preventing the excavation of adequate root ball, and within the project area earmarked for approach road for the additional ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
83.	166	Prosopis sp.	0.70	0.50	The tree is severely over grown by climbers, standing in slope terrain preventing the excavation of adequate root ball, and within the project area earmarked for approach road for the additional ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
84.	167 A	Cassia siamea	0.35/ 0.30	2.00	The tree is multiforked, and decayed, and standing within the project area earmarked for approach road for the additional ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
85.	A B C D E F	Cassia siamea	0.30/ 0.32/ 0.35/ 0.30/ 0.28/ 0.30/ 0.25/ 0.30/	2.00	The tree is multiforked, and standing within the project area earmarked for approach road for the additional ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
	H		0.35/ 0.25		The tree is decayed, and standing within the project area
86.	169	Cassia siamea	0.38	3.00	earmarked for approach road for the additional ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
87.	170	Samanea saman	1.54	1.50	The tree is standing in slope terrain preventing the excavation of adequate root ball, and within the project area earmarked for approach road for the additional ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
88.	210	Peltophorum sp.	0.67	2.50	The protection zone of the tree is infringed by concrete / hard surfaces affecting the roots. The tree is standing in the project area earmarked for approach road to additional ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
89.	236	Dead Tree		17000	The tree is felled (felling).
90.	258	Broussonetia papyrifera	0.30	2.50	The tree is standing in slope terrain (preventing the excavation of adequate root ball), within the project area earmarked for approach road for the additional ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
91,	259	Broussonetia papyrifera	0.30	1.50	The tree is standing in slope terrain (preventing the excavation of adequate root ball), within the project area earmarked for approach road for the additional ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
92.	260	Broussonetia papyrifera	0.29	1.50	The tree is standing in slope terrain (preventing the excavation of adequate root ball), within the project area earmarked for approach road for the additional ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
93.	261	Casia siamea	0.48	1,50	The tree is broken from the base, fallen and standing within the project area earmarked for approach road for the additional ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
94.	262	Broussonetia papyrifera	0.37	3.00	The tree is standing in slope terrain (preventing the excavation of adequate root ball), within the project area earmarked for approach road for the additional ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
95.	263 A	Broussonetia papyrifera	0.70/ 0.94	1.50	The tree is standing in slope terrain (preventing the excavation of adequate root ball), within the project area

SI. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendation
					earmarked for approach road for the additional ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
96.	263/1	Unknown species	0.80	3.00	The tree is standing in slope terrain (preventing the excavation of adequate root ball), within the project area earmarked for approach road for the additional ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
97.	263/2 A B	Samanea saman	0.75/ 0.55/ 0.23	2.00	The tree is multiforked, standing in slope terrain (preventing the excavation of adequate root ball), within the project area earmarked for approach road for the additional ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
98.	263/3	Broussonetia papyrifera	0.18	2.00	The tree is standing in slope terrain (preventing the excavation of adequate root ball), within the project area earmarked for approach road for the additional ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
99.	264	Broussonetia papyrifera	0.42	3.00	The tree is standing in slope terrain (preventing the excavation of adequate root ball), within the project area earmarked for approach road for the additional ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
100,	265	Broussonetia papyrifera	0.58	1.50	The tree is standing in slope terrain (preventing the excavation of adequate root ball), within the project area earmarked for approach road for the additional ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
101.	266	Broussonetia papyrifera	0.60	2.50	The tree is standing in slope terrain (preventing the excavation of adequate root ball), within the project area earmarked for approach road for the additional ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
102.	267 A B	Cassia siamea	0.67/ 0.45/ 0.30/ 0.30	1.50 1.50 1.50 1.50	The tree is multiforked, decayed, and standing in slope terrain (preventing the excavation of adequate root ball), within the project area earmarked for approach road for the additional ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
103.	268	Samaneā samān	1.30	2.00	The tree is standing (close to tree no. 269) in slope terrain (preventing the excavation of adequate root ball), within the project area earmarked for approach road for the additional ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
104.	269 A B	Samanea saman	0.54/ 0.40/ 0.40	2.50	The tree is standing (close to tree no. 268) in slope terrain (preventing the excavation of adequate root ball), within the project area earmarked for approach road for the additional ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
105	270	Broussonetia payrifera	0.30	3.00	The tree is standing in slope terrain (preventing the excavation of adequate root ball), within the project area earmarked for approach road for the additional ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
106.	271 A	Broussonetia papyrifera	0.40/ 0.30	3.00	The tree is standing in slope terrain (preventing the excavation of adequate root ball), within the project area earmarked for approach road for the additional ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
107.	272_	Broussonetia papyrifera	0.80	1.50	The tree is standing in slope terrain (preventing the excavation of adequate root ball), within the project area earmarked for approach road for the additional ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
108.	273	Broussonetia papyrifera	0.85/ 0.80	1.50	The tree is standing in slope terrain (preventing the excavation of adequate root ball), within the project area earmarked for approach road for the additional ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
109.	277	Shiva hunase	1.88	3.00	Tree is coming in proposed approach road area, matured and recommended for felling
110.	280	Silver oak	0.81	3.00	Tree is coming in proposed Viaduct area, recommended for felling.
111,	283	Silver oak	0.65	3.00	Tree is coming in proposed Viaduct area with deep root system, recommended for felling.
112.	289	Silver oak	0.69	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area, recommended for felling
113.	292	Sandalwood	0.35	3.00	Tree is coming in proposed viaduct area, recommended for felling as per the FD rules.

SI. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendation
114.	293	Silver oak	0.46	3.00	Tree is coming in proposed viaduct area, recommended felling as per the FD rules.
115.	295	Silver oak	0.70	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project ar recommended for felling
116.	297	Silver oak	0.62	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project ar recommended for felling
117₅	300	Silver oak	0.56	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project ar recommended for felling
118.	302	Silver oak	0.74	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project ar recommended for felling
119.	306	Silver oak	0.27	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project ar recommended for felling
120.	311	Hunase	0.79	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project ar recommended for felling
121,	312	Echalu	1.21	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project ar recommended for felling
122.	313	Silver oak	0.30	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project ar recommended for felling
123.	314	Silver oak	0.82	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project ar recommended for felling
124.	315	Subabul	0.69	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project ar recommended for felling
125.	316	Subabul	0.63	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project ar recommended for felling
126.	317	Subabul	0.65	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project ar recommended for felling
127.	318	Benjamine	0.30	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project ar recommended for felling
128	319	Subabul	0.72	1_50	Tree is coming within the proposed project ar recommended for felling
129.	320	Subabul	0.38	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project as recommended for felling
130.	321	Subabul	0.44	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project as recommended for felling
131.	322	Silver oak	0.47	3.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project ar recommended for felling
132.	323	Baage	0.86	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project ar recommended for felling
133.	324	Subabul	0.56	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project an
133.	A		0.43	3.00	recommended for felling  Tree is coming within the proposed project as
134.	325	Silver oak	0.53	3.00	recommended for felling
135.	326	Silver oak	0.65	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project as recommended for felling
136.	327	Silver oak	0.46	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project an
	Α		0.28	2.50	recommended for felling
137.	328	Silver oak	1.10	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project ar recommended for felling
138.	329	Subabul	0.46	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project ar
	A		0.30	2.50	recommended for felling
139.	330	Subabul	0.55	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project as recommended for felling
	331		0.46	2.50	
	A		0.39	2.50	Two is coming within the approach against
140.	B C	Benjamine	0.55 0.28	2.50 2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project are recommended for felling
-	D		0.28	2.50	recommended for fermig
	E		0.28	2.50	
141.	332	Subabul	0.60	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project ar recommended for felling
	333		0.55	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project as
142.		Subabul			recommended for felling
	Α		0.30	3.00	II TOOGHIIIGHACA TOT TOHIIIZ

Sl. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendation
	В		0.46	3.00	
143.	334	Subabul	0.62	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
	335		0.56	3.00	
	A		0.44	2.00	1
144. B	В	Subabul	0.37	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
	С		0.47	3.00	- recommended for ferming
	D		0.52	3.00	
145.	336	Spathodea	0.55	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project area
143.	A	Spaniouea	0.48	2.00	recommended for felling
	337	0.70 1.50			
	A		0.50	2.00	
146.	В	Peltophorum	0.51	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
	С		0.44	2.50	- recommended for ferming
	D		0.48	2.50	
147.	338	Honge	0.29	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
148.	341	Paper Mulberry	0.63	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
149.	342	Paper Mulberry	0.31	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
150.	346	Silver oak	0.62	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
151	347	Solekai	0.24	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project area
	A		0,28	1,50	recommended for felling
152.	349	Paper Mulberry	0.63	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area
	A	, ,	0.83	3.00	recommended for felling
153.	350	Paper Mulberry	0.27	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
154.	351	Paper Mulberry	0.30	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area
	A	1	0.26	2.00	recommended for felling
	352		0.61	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project area
155.	A	Teak	0.32	2.00	recommended for felling
	В		0.58	2.00	
156.	353	Paper Mulberry	0.34	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
157.	354	Paper Mulberry	0.31	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project area
	A		0.22	1.50	recommended for felling
158.	355	Paper Mulberry	0.30	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
159.	356	Paper Mulberry	0.33	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
160.	357	   Teak	0.50	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project area
	Α	1 out	0.41	2.00	recommended for felling
161.	358	   Teak	0.42	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project area
	Α		0.47	2.00	recommended for felling
162.	359	Teak	0.73	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
163.	360	Teak	0.50	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
164.	361	Teak	0.40	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
165.	362	Paper Mulberry	0.36	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling

Sl. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendation
166.	363	Teak	0.49	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project are recommended for felling
167,	364	Paper Mulberry	0.73	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project are recommended for felling
	365		0.49	1.50	
168.	Α	Honge	0.35	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project are recommended for felling
	В		0.43	1.50	
169.	366	Teak	0.62	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project are recommended for felling
170.	367	Teak	0.86	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project are recommended for felling
1711	368	Teak	0.48	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project are
1711	Α	Teak	0.41	2.00	recommended for felling
172	370	Arali	1.70	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project are recommended for felling
173.	371	Teak	0.42	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project are recommended for felling
174,	372	Teak	0.40	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project are recommended for felling
175.	373	Gulmohar	0.46	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project are recommended for felling
176.	374	Parkia	0.40	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project are
1,00	A		0.24	2.00	recommended for felling
177.	375	Sandalwood	0.28	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project are
	A	Sandannood	0.25	1.50	recommended for felling
178.	376	Honge	0.22	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project are recommended for felling
179.	377	Sandalwood	0.24	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project are recommended for felling
180.	378	Dead Tree	-	-	Tree is coming within the proposed project are recommended for felling
181.	379	Teak	0.69	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project are recommended for felling
182.	380	Dead Tree	a	24	Tree is coming within the proposed project are recommended for felling
183.	381	Honge	0.34	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project are recommended for felling
184.	382	Teak	0.69	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project are recommended for felling
185.	383	Teak	0.65	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project are recommended for felling
186.	384	Teak	0.71	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project are recommended for felling
187.	385	Teak	0.57	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project are recommended for felling
188.	386	Teak	0.48	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project are recommended for felling
189.	387	Peltophorum	0.84	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project are
1020	Α	Tettophorum	0.55	2.50	recommended for felling
190.	388	Teak	0.64	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project are
170.	A	1 Can	0.50	2.50	recommended for felling
191.	389	Sandalwood	0.25	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project are recommended for felling
192.	390	Teak	0.65	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project are recommended for felling
193.	392	Subabul	0.40	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project are recommended for felling
194.	393	Honge	0.37	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project are recommended for felling

SI. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendation
195.	394	Teak	0.29	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
196.	395	Neam	1.81	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
107	396	0 11 1	0.32	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area
197.	Α	Sandalwood	0.33	1.50	recommended for felling
100	397	Deltamban	0.33	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area
198.	A	Peltophorum	0.35	1.50	recommended for felling
199,	398	Teak	0.56	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
200.	399	Teak	0.37	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
201.	400	Teak	0.28	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
202.	401	Echalu	1.10	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
203.	402	Peltophorum	0.41	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
204.	403	Peltophorum	0.24	3.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
205.	404	Peltophorum	0.39	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
206.	405	Charcoal	0.51	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
207.	406	Subabul	0.57	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
208.	407	Subabul	0.35	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
	408		0.67	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area
209.	A	Subabul	0.51	3.00	recommended for felling
	В		0.41	3.00	
210.	409	Subabul	0.35	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
211.	410	Subabul	0.31	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area
	A	Jududui	0.46	3.00	recommended for felling
212.	411	Subabul	0.49	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
213	412	Subabul	0.92	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
214.	413	Subabul	0.61	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
215.	414	Subabul	0.49	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
216.	415	Subabul	0.60	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
217.	416	Subabul	0.28	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
218.	417	Subabul	0.70	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
219	418	Subabul	0.82	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
220	419	Subabl	0.23	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area
220.	Α	Subabul	0.29	2.50	recommended for felling
221.	420	Teak	0.27	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
222.	421	Honge	0.49	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project area
<i></i>	Α	Tionge	0.46	2.50	recommended for felling
223.	422	Honge	0.81	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area
	Α	.101150	0.44	2.50	recommended for felling

Sl. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendation	
224.	423	Ala	9.00	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project recommended for felling	area
225,	424	Gulmohar	1.80	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project recommended for felling	area
226.	425	Teak	0.49	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project recommended for felling	area
227.	426		0.83	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project recommended for felling	area
228.	427	Teak	0.40	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project recommended for felling	area
229.	428	Mahagony	0.66	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project recommended for felling	area
230.	429	Teak	0.30	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project recommended for felling	are
231.	430	Subabul	0.69	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project	area
231.	Α	Subabui	0.60	3.00	recommended for felling	
232.	431	Sandalwood	0.27	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project recommended for felling	are
233.	432	Honge	0.34	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project recommended for felling	are
	433		1.31	1.50		
234.	Α	Honge	0.80	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project	are
234.	В	Holige	0.69	2.00	recommended for felling	
	С		1.18	3.00		
235.	434	Subabul	0.82	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project recommended for felling	are
236.	435	Teak	0.34	2,00	Tree is coming within the proposed project recommended for felling	are
237.	436	Paper Mulberry	0.48	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project recommended for felling	are
238.	437	Honge	0.30	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project recommended for felling	are
239.	439	Honge	0.31	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project	are
237	A	1701.50	0.30	2.00	recommended for felling	
240.	440	Baage	0.35	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project recommended for felling	
241.	442	Sandalwood	0.22	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project recommended for felling	are
242.	443	Sandalwood	0.25	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project recommended for felling	are
243.	444	Sandalwood	0.22	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project	are
2 13%	A	oundarn ood	0.25	2.50	recommended for felling	
244.	445	Teak	0.41	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project recommended for felling	are
245.	446	Sandalwood	0.23	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project recommended for felling	are
246.	447	Subabul	0.50	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project recommended for felling	are
	448		0.50	3.00	8	
247.	A	Subabul	0.50	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project	are
	В	0.26	0.26	2.50	recommended for felling	
	С		0.46	2.50	True to sent the data of the sent to the s	
248.	449	Sandalwood	0.56	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project recommended for felling	
249.	450	Subabul	0.56	3.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project	are
	A		0.43	3.00	recommended for felling	
250.	451	Subabul	0.68	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project	are
200.	A	Subabul	0.57	3.00	recommended for felling	

Sl. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendation
	452		0.34	1.50	
251.	A	Honge	0.40	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project are recommended for felling
	В		0.33	1.50	recommended for fermig
252	453		0.37	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project are
252.	Α	Subabul	0.30	1.50	recommended for felling
253.	454	Subabul	0.43	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project are recommended for felling
254.	455	Gond	0.52	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project are recommended for felling
	456		0.53	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project are
255.	Α	Gond	0.40	3.00	recommended for felling
	В		0.32	2.00	
256.	457	Paper Mulberry	0.49	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project are
	A	T upor Waroury	0.52	3.00	recommended for felling
257.	458	Subabul	0.54	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project are recommended for felling
258.	459	Teak	0.48	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project are recommended for felling
259.	460	Baage	0.94	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project are recommended for felling
260.	461	Nanivi	0.89	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project are recommended for felling
261.	462	Teak	0.61	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project are recommended for felling
262.	463	Teak	0.76	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project are recommended for felling
263.	464	Teak	0.45	2,50	Tree is coming within the proposed project are recommended for felling
264,	465	Dead	-	-	Tree is coming within the proposed project are recommended for felling
265	466		0.27	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project are
265.	A	Sandalwood	0.23	2.50	recommended for felling
266	467		0.28	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project are
266.	A	Sandalwood	0.20	2.50	recommended for felling
	468		0.69	2.50	
267.	A	Charcoal	0.38	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project are
	В		0.44	2.50	recommended for felling
	469		0.48	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project are
268.	A	Teak	0.33	2.00	recommended for felling
269.	470	Teak	0.40	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project are recommended for felling
	471		0.50	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project are
270.	A	Teak	0.52	2.00	recommended for felling
	472		0.50	2.00	
271.	Α	Teak	0.60	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project are recommended for felling
	В		0.51	2.00	
272.	473	Teak	0.47	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project are recommended for felling
273	474	Teak	0.55	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project area
20-7	Α		0.27	2.00	recommended for felling
274.	475	Baage	1.30	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project are recommended for felling
275.	476	Parkia	0.65	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project are recommended for felling
276.	477	Tecoma	0.78	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project are recommended for felling

SI. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendation
277*	478	Subabul	0.35	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area, recommended for felling
278.	479	Teak	0.50	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area, recommended for felling
279.	480	Kadamba	0.55	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
280.	481	Baage	1.08	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
281.	482	Silver oak	0.58	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area, recommended for felling
282.	483	Teak	0.45	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project area
202.	Α	1 Car	0.40	2.50	recommended for felling
283.	484	Teak	0.73	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
284.	485	Teak	0.57	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
285.	486	Subabul	0.56	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project area.
200.	Α	Mahagony	0.27	3.00	recommended for felling
286.	488	Teak	0.55	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
287.	489	Teak	0.68	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
288.	491	Teak	0.65	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
289.	494 A B	Teak	0.36 0.30 0.22	1.50 1.50 1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project area. recommended for felling
	495		0.46	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area.
290.	A	Teak	0.40	2.00	recommended for felling
291.	496	Teak	0.76	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area, recommended for felling
292.	497	Neem	0.42	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project area.
292.	A	Neem	0.32	2.50	recommended for felling
293.	498	Teak	0.52	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
294.	499	Teak	0.62	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
295.	500	Teak	0.76	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
296.	501	Teak	0.73	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
297.	503	Subabul	0.57	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area.
277,	A	Paper Mulberry	0.46	2.50	recommended for felling
298.	504	Teak	0.70	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area
_, ,,	Α		0.49	3.00	recommended for felling
299.	505	Teak	0.79	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
300.	508	Baage	0.92	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area.
	Α	3	0.85	1.50	recommended for felling
301.	509	Teak	0.45	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
302.	510	Teak	0.73	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area, recommended for felling
303.	511	Honge	0.67	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project area, recommended for felling
304.	512	Teak	0.55	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
305.	513	Honge	0.29	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area, recommended for felling

SI. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendation
306.	514	Sandalwood	0.21	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project are recommended for felling
307∈	515	Sandalwood	0.22	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project are recommended for felling
308.	516	Taal	0.26	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project are
308.	A	Teak	0.27	2.00	recommended for felling
309.	517	Sandalwood	0.37	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project are recommended for felling
310.	518	Sandalwood	0.24	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project are recommended for felling
311.	519	Sandalwood	0.19	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project are recommended for felling
312.	520	Nalli	0.35	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project are
512.	Α	114111	0.31	1.50	recommended for felling
313,	521	Teak	0.67	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project are
313,	A	Теак	0.25	2.50	recommended for felling
	522		0.85	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project are
314.	A B	Nalli	0.44 0.48	2.50 2.50	recommended for felling
315.	523	Shivane	0.66	12.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project are recommended for felling
316.	524	Teak	0.84	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project are recommended for felling
317.	525	Shivane	0.39	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project are recommended for felling
318.	526	Neam	0.30	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project are recommended for felling
319.	527	Nalli	0.39	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project are
	A		0.44	2.50	recommended for felling
320.	528	Nalli	0.43	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project are recommended for felling
321.	529	Teak	0.37	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project area
321.	A	Teak	0.39	1.50	recommended for felling
322.	530	Teak	0.54	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area
322.	A	Icak	0.28	2.00	recommended for felling
222	531	7. 1	0.46	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area
323	A	Teak	0.30	2.50	recommended for felling
324.	532	Silver oak	0.42	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
325.	533	Teak	0.32	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
326.	534	Subabul	0.93	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area
320.	Α	Subabul	0.48	2.50	recommended for felling
327.	535	Teak	0.48	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
328.	536	Teak	0.42	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
329.	537	Subabul	+	Ħ	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
	538		0.49	2.00	
220	A	Tahahuia Daara	0.48	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area
330.	B C	Tabebuia Rosea	0.48 0.49	2.00 2.00	recommended for felling
	D		0.49	2.00	
331.	539	Tabebuia Rosea	0.29	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
222	540	T	0.35	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project area
332.	A	Teak	0.32	2.50	recommended for felling

SI. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendation	
333.	541	Teak	0.52	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project a recommended for felling	area
334.	542	Teak	0.65	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project a recommended for felling	area
	543		0.38	1.50	Toolining to 15 mg	
	A	×	0.32	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project a	area
335.	В	Honge	0.31	1.50	recommended for felling	
	С		0.32	1.50		
336.	544	Teak	0.61	2.00		area
337.	545	Teak	0.51	2.00	recommended for felling  Tree is coming within the proposed project a recommended for felling	area
338.	546	Nerale	0.88	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project a	area
	547		0.60	1.50	recommended for felling	
339.		Honge			Tree is coming within the proposed project a recommended for felling	area
	A		0.35	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project a	ara,
340.	548	Subabul	0.40	3.00	recommended for felling	ai C
	549		0.39	2.50		
341.	A	Honge	0.33	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project a	are
	B C		0.34 0.30	2.50 2.50	recommended for felling	
	550		0.58	2.00		
	A		0.51	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project a	are
342.	В	Peltophorum	0.50	2.00	recommended for felling	
	C		0.25	2.00	Test minerials for reming	
343.	552	Teak	0.34	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project a recommended for felling	are
	553	0.25	0.25	1.50		
344.	A	Honge	0.20	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project a	area
	В		0.25	1.50	recommended for felling	
	554		0.28	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project a	are
345.	A	Teak	0.26	1.50	recommended for felling	
346.	555	Teak	0.25	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project a recommended for felling	are
	556		0.67	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project a	are
347.	A	Casia	0.68	3.00	recommended for felling	
	557		0.22	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project a	are
348.	A	Teak	0.20	1.50	recommended for felling	
	558		0.53	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project a	are
349.	A	Teak	0.42	2.00	recommended for felling	ai C
350.	559	Peltophorum	0.54	3.00		are
	560		0.54	2.50		are
351.	A	Peltophorum	0.44	2.50	recommended for felling	
352.	561	Teak	0.42	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project a recommended for felling	are
353.	562	Sandalwood	0.18	2.00		are
	563		0.33	1.50		are
354.	A	Teak	0.28	1.50	recommended for felling	ui Ci
355.	564	Sandalwood	0.17	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project a	are
356.	565	Sandalwood	0.20	2.50	recommended for felling  Tree is coming within the proposed project a recommended for felling	are
357.	566	Peltophorum	0.39	3.00		are

SI. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendati	ion		
358.	569	Sandalwood	0.20	1.50	Tree is coming within the p recommended for felling	roposed pro	oject ar	rea,
359.	570	Peltophorum	0.35	1.50	Tree is coming within the p recommended for felling	roposed pro	oject ar	rea,
360.	571	Sandalwood	0.20	2.00	Tree is coming within the precommended for felling	roposed pro	oject ar	rea,
361.	572	Sandalwood	0.19	2.00	Tree is coming within the precommended for felling	roposed pro	oject aı	rea.
362.	574	Baage	2.10	1.50	Tree is coming within the precommended for felling	roposed pro	oject ar	rea.
363.	576	Sandalwood	0.22	2.00	Tree is coming within the precommended for felling	roposed pro	oject ar	rea
364.	577	Sandalwood	0.23	1.50	Tree is coming within the precommended for felling	roposed pro	oject ar	rea
365.	578	Echalu	1.20	3.00	Tree is coming within the precommended for felling	roposed pro	oject ar	rea
366.	579	Teak	0.23	2.50	Tree is coming within the precommended for felling	roposed pro	oject ar	rea.
367.	580	Casia	1.91	2.00	Tree is coming within the precommended for felling	roposed pro	oject ar	rea,
368.	581	Dead		-	Tree is coming within the precommended for felling	roposed pro	oject ar	rea.
369.	589	Subabul	0.38	3.00	Tree is coming within the precommended for felling	roposed pro	oject ar	геа.
370.	590	Subabul	0.27	3.00	Tree is coming within the precommended for felling	roposed pro	oject ar	rea.
371,	591	Subabul	0.24	3.00	Tree is coming within the precommended for felling	roposed pro	oject ar	rea
372.	592	Baage	1,21	3.00	Tree is coming within the precommended for felling	roposed pro	oject ar	rea
373.	596	Baage	0.32	2,00	Tree is coming within the precommended for felling	roposed pro	oject ar	rea.
374.	599	Candalana	0.20	2.50	Tree is coming within the pr	roposed pro	oject ar	rea.
3/4	A	Sandalwood	0.16	2.50	recommended for felling			
375	600	Sandalwood	0.20	2.50	Tree is coming within the precommended for felling	roposed pro	oject ar	·ea.
376.	601	Baage	1.23	2.50	Tree is coming within the precommended for felling	roposed pro	oject ar	rea.
377 <sub>*</sub>	602	Ala	10.00	2.50	Tree is coming within the precommended for felling	roposed pro	oject ar	ea,
378.	603	Casia	0.98	2.50	Tree is coming within the precommended for felling	roposed pro	oject ar	ea,
379.	604	Bilvapatre	0.43	2.00	Tree is coming within the precommended for felling	roposed pro	oject ar	·ea.
380.	605	Bilvapatre	0.26	2.00	Tree is coming within the precommended for felling	roposed pro	oject ar	·ea,
381.	606	Kadu badami	1.61	1.50	Tree is coming within the precommended for felling	roposed pro	oject ar	ea.
382.	607	Honge	0.43	2.00	Tree is coming within the pr recommended for felling	roposed pro	oject ar	ea,
383.	608	Jungle	0.42	3.00	Tree is coming within the pr recommended for felling	roposed pro	oject ar	ea.
384.	609	- Subabul	0.68	3.00	Tree is coming within the pr	roposed pro	oject ar	·ea,
JUT.	Α	Jubabui	0.51	3.00	recommended for felling			
385.	610	Teak	0.30	2.00		roposed pro	oject ar	ea,
5051	Α	Tour	0.23	2.00	recommended for felling			
386.	611	Teak	0.30	2.50	recommended for felling		oject ar	
387.	612	Teak	1.08	3.00	recommended for felling		oject ar	
388.	613	Teak	0.64	2.50	Tree is coming within the pr recommended for felling	roposed pro	oject ar	ea,

SI. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recom	mend	lation		
389.	614	Teak	0.49	2.00	Tree is coming within recommended for felling	the	proposed	project	area,
390.	615	Teak	0.45	2.00	Tree is coming within recommended for felling	the	proposed	project	area,
391.	616	Teak	1.05	2.50	Tree is coming within recommended for felling	the	proposed	project	area,
392.	617	Teak	0.83	2.50	Tree is coming within recommended for felling	the	proposed	project	area,
393.	618	Teak	0.62	2.00	Tree is coming within recommended for felling	the	proposed	project	area,
394.	620	Jungle	0.82	3.00	Tree is coming within recommended for felling	the	proposed	project	area,
395.	621	Jungle	1.03	3.00	Tree is coming within recommended for felling	the	proposed	project	area,
396.	622	Echalu	1.04	3.00	Tree is coming within recommended for felling	the	proposed	project	area.
397.	623	Teak	0.22	2.00	Tree is coming within recommended for felling	the	proposed	project	area,
398.	624	Casia	0.67	3.00	Tree is coming within recommended for felling	the	proposed	project	area.
399.	625	Casia	0.80	3.00	Tree is coming within recommended for felling	the	proposed	project	area,
400.	626	Charcoal	0.57	3.00	Tree is coming within recommended for felling	the	proposed	project	area.
401.	627	Casia	0.94	2.50	Tree is coming within recommended for felling	the	proposed	project	area.
402.	628	Ucchavehu	1.69	2.50	Tree is coming within recommended for felling	the	proposed	project	area.
403.	629	Ucchavehu	1.78	3,00	Tree is coming within recommended for felling	the	proposed	project	area.
404.	630	Ucchavehu	1.73	3.00	Tree is coming within recommended for felling	the	proposed	project	area.
405.	631	Charcoal	0.63	3.00	Tree is coming within recommended for felling	the	proposed	project	area.
406.	632	Sandalwood	0.23	2.50	Tree is coming within recommended for felling	the	proposed	project	area.
407.	633	Ucchavehu	0.35	3.00	Tree is coming within recommended for felling	the	proposed	project	area.
408.	634	Charcoal	0.53	3.00	Tree is coming within recommended for felling	the	proposed	project	area,
409.	636	Paper Mulberry	0.24	1.50	Tree is coming within recommended for felling	the	proposed	project	area.
410.	637	Ucchavehu	0.90	3.00	Tree is coming within recommended for felling	the	proposed	project	area.
411,	638	Teak	0.72	2.50	Tree is coming within recommended for felling	the	proposed	project	area.
412.	639	Teak	0.29	1.50	Tree is coming within recommended for felling	the	proposed	project	area.
413.	640	Teak	0.78	3.00	Tree is coming within recommended for felling	the	proposed	project	area.
414.	641	Teak	0.66	3.00	Tree is coming within recommended for felling	the	proposed	project	area.
415.	642	Teak	0.43	3.00	Tree is coming within recommended for felling	the	proposed	project	area
416	643	El 1:	1.09	2.00	Tree is coming within	the	proposed	project	area
416.	Α	Elachi	0.48	2.00	recommended for felling				
417.	644	Teak	0.53	2.50	Tree is coming within recommended for felling	the	proposed	project	area
418.	645	Teak	0.61	3.00	Tree is coming within	the	proposed	project	area
710.	Α	Tour	0.49	3.00	recommended for felling				
419.	646	Teak	0.30	2.50	Tree is coming within	the	proposed	project	area
	Α		0.32	2.50	recommended for felling				

Sl. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendation	
	647		0.46	1.50		
420.	A	Teak	0.45	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project a	area
	В		0.44	1.50	recommended for felling	
	648		0.63	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project a	oroo
421.	A	Teak	0.48	2.50	recommended for felling	area
	649		0.46	2.50		
422.	A	Teak	0.43	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project a recommended for felling	area
	650		0.43	1.50		
423	A	Nalli	0.44	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project a	агеа
	В		0.48	1.50	recommended for felling	
	651		0.70	0.49	Tree is coming within the proposed project a	
424	Α	Teak	0.49	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project a recommended for felling	area
	В		0.23	2.00	- Control of the cont	
425.	652	Teak	0.78	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project a recommended for felling	area
426.	653	Teak	0.65	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project a recommended for felling	area
427.	655	Peltophorum	0.63	3.00		area
428.	656	Jungle	0.38	2.00		area
420	657		0.19	2.00	m : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	area
429.	A	Jungle	0.18	2.00	recommended for felling	
420	658	T	0.57	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project a	area
430.	Α	Teak	0.39	2.00	recommended for felling	
431.	659	Jungle	0.19	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project a recommended for felling	area
432.	660	Subabul	0.61	2.50		area
433.	661	Subabul	0.25	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project a recommended for felling	area
434.	662	Subabul	0.24	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project a recommended for felling	irea.
435,	663	Subabul	0.20	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project a recommended for felling	area
126	664	CILI	0.23	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project a	area
436.	A	Subabul	0.24	1.50	recommended for felling	
437.	665	Subabul	0.23	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project a recommended for felling	area
438.	666	Subabul	0.26	3.00		area
439.	667	Subabul	0.24	2.00		area
440.	668	Subabul	0.25	1.50		area
441.	669	Subabul	0.24	1.50		area
442.	670	Jungle	0.23	1.50		area
443.	671	Charcoal	0.87	3.00		irea
444.	672	Dead	:=0	( <del></del>		area
445.	673	Dead	143			area
446.	676	Dead	20	= S	Tree found dead, recommended for felling.	
447.	679	Dead	,ex	e)	Tree is coming within the proposed project a recommended for felling	irea,

Sl. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recom	mend	ation		
110	680	Danissialli	0.75	1.50	Tree is coming within	the	proposed	project	area,
448.	A	Beriyajalli	1.02	1.50	recommended for felling				
449.	681	Nerale	0.34	2.00	Tree is coming within	the	proposed	project	area,
449.	A	Netale	0.33	2.00	recommended for felling				
450.	682	Teak	0.64	2.50	Tree is coming within recommended for felling	the	proposed	project	area,
			0.72	2.00	T is soming within	tha	nunnanad	nucioat	0=00
451.	683	Teak	0.64	2.00	Tree is coming within recommended for felling	ше	proposed	project	area.
			0.58	2.00		2			
452.	684	Kakke	0.86	1.50	Tree is coming within recommended for felling				area.
453.	685	Subabul	0.68	3.00	Tree is coming within recommended for felling			project	area
454.	687	Teak	0.62	2.50	Tree is coming within recommended for felling	the	proposed	project	area
455.	688 A	Teak	0.44 0.39	1.50 1.50	Tree is coming within recommended for felling	the	proposed	project	area.
456	689	Teak	0.49	1.50	Tree is coming within recommended for felling	the	proposed	project	area
457.	690	Gond	0.78	2.00	Tree is coming within recommended for felling			project	area
458.	691	Ucchavehu	1.50	2.50	Tree is coming within recommended for felling			project	area.
459.	692	Teak	0.23	1.50	Tree is coming within recommended for felling			project	агеа
460.	693	Teak	0,40	2.00	Tree is coming within recommended for felling			project	area.
461.	694	Casia	0.21	3.00	Tree is coming within recommended for felling			project	area.
462.	695	Beriyajalli	0.57	3.00	Tree is coming within recommended for felling  Tree is coming within		proposed		area.
463	696	Jungle	0.67	3.00	recommended for felling  Tree is coming within			1 0	
464.	697	Teak	0.42	1.50	recommended for felling	tile	proposed	project	arca,
465.	699	Casia	0.35	3.00	Tree is coming within	the	proposed	project	area.
405	Α	Casia	0.24	3.00	recommended for felling				
466.	700	Karijalli	0.49	1.50	Tree is coming within recommended for felling		proposed	project	area.
467.	703	Casia	0.24	3.00	Tree is coming within recommended for felling		proposed	project	area.
468.	704	Honge	0.24	1.50	Tree is coming within recommended for felling	the	proposed	project	area
	705		0.56	1.50	Tree is coming within	the	proposed	project	area
469.	A B	Peltophorum	0.47	1.50 1.50	recommended for felling		risposed	F. 03000	• • •
470	706	Table	0.37	1.50	Tree is coming within	the	proposed	project	area
470	A	Teak	0.31	1.50	recommended for felling		1 1		
471	707	Teak	0.36	2.00	Tree is coming within	the	proposed	project	area
7 / Fall		I can			recommended for felling				
470	708	111	0.33	1.50	Tree is coming within	the	proposed	project	area
472.	A	Honge	0.32	1.50	recommended for felling		•		
	B 710		0.43	1.50	Tree is coming within	the	proposed	project	area
472	710	Cassis	0.37	1.50	recommended for felling	uic	proposed	project	ai ca,
473.	A	Cassia	0.44	3.00					

SI. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendation
474.	711	Subabul	0.47	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
475.	712	Cassia	0.31	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
176	713	Cassia	0.67	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area
476.	A	Cassia	0.26	3.00	recommended for felling
477	714	77.11	0.20	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area
477.	A	Kakke	0.21	3.00	recommended for felling
478.	715	Kakke	0.18	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
479.	716	Kakke	0.21	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
480.	717	Kakke	0.23	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
481.	718	Cassia	0.37	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
482.	719	Cassia	0.34	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area
402.	A	Cassia	0.28	3.00	recommended for felling
402	720		0.38	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area
483.	A	Cassia	0.25	2.00	recommended for felling
	721		0.28	3.00	
484.	A	Sandalwood	0.27	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area
	В		0.23	3.00	recommended for felling
	722		0.36	2.00	
485.	A	Cassia	0.26	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area
403.	В	Cassia	0.26	2.00	recommended for felling
	С		0.23	2.00	To a control of the c
486.	723	Kakke	0.35	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
487.	734	Dead	*		Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
488.	744	Honge	0.27	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
489.	745	Teak	0.34	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
490.	746	Peltophorum	0.60	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area, recommended for felling
491.	760	Raintree	1.94	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
492.	761	Jungle	0.88	3.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area
172.	A	Juligie	0.86	3.00	recommended for felling
493.	762	Atti	2.90	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
494.	763	Teak	0.35	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
495.	764	Teak	0.62	2.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
496.	765	Sisso	0.64	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
497.	767	Dead	; <del>e</del> );	-80	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
498.	768	Shiva hunase	0.85	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling
499.	769	Paper Mulberry	0.33	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project area
177%	Α	1 apor ividibetry	0.29	1.50	recommended for felling
500.	770	Paper Mulberry	0.30	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project area, recommended for felling
501.	771	Paper Mulberry	0.23	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project area, recommended for felling

SI. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendation		
502.	772	Paper Mulberry	0.24	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling		
503.	773	Paper Mulberry	0.25	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling		
504.	774	Paper Mulberry	0.26	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling		
505	775	Donos Mulhorn	0.55	2.00	Tree is coming within the proposed project area		
505.	A	Paper Mulberry	0.30	2.00	recommended for felling		
506.	776	Acacia Polycantha	0.23	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling		
507.	777	Chinna Neerali	0.45	1.50	Tree is coming within the proposed project area recommended for felling		
508.	779	Samanea saman	4.95	2.50	The girth and canopy spread of the tree divulge excavation of desirable root ball and relocation is not feasible. The tree standing within the project area earmarked for construction of Station. The tree is recommended for felling.		
509.	780	Mangifera indica	1.54	2.50	The girth and canopy spread of the tree divulge excavation of desirable root ball and relocation is not feasible. The tree standing within the project area earmarked for construction of Station. The tree is recommended for felling.		
510.	781	Ficus religiosa	2.50	3.00	The girth and canopy spread of the tree divulge excavation of desirable root ball and relocation is not feasible. The tree standing within the project area earmarked for construction of Station. The tree is recommended for felling.		
511	782	Ficus religiosa	3.20	3.00	The girth and canopy spread of the tree divulge excavation of desirable root ball and relocation is not feasible. The tree standing within the project area earmarked for construction of Station. The tree is recommended for felling.		
512.	783	Ficus religiosa	3.50	3.00	The girth and canopy spread of the tree divulge excavation desirable root ball and relocation is not feasible. The tree standing within the project area earmarked for construction Station. The tree is recommended for felling.		
513.	784	Ficus benghalensis	6.00	3.00	The girth and canopy spread of the tree divulge excavation of desirable root ball and relocation is not feasible. The tree standing within the project area earmarked for construction of Station. The tree is recommended for felling.		
514.	785	Syzygium sp.	1.75	2.50	The girth and canopy spread of the tree divulge excavation of desirable root ball and relocation is not feasible. The tree standing within the project area earmarked for construction of Station. The tree is recommended for felling.		
515.	786	Ficus benghalensis	3.50	2.00	The girth and canopy spread of the tree divulge excavation desirable root ball and relocation is not feasible. The tree standing within the project area earmarked for construction Station. The tree is recommended for felling.		
516.	787 A	Syzygium sp.	1.33/ 1.26	3.00	The girth and canopy spread of the tree divulge excavation desirable root ball and relocation is not feasible. The tree standing within the project area earmarked for construction Station. The tree is recommended for felling.		
517,	788	Samanea saman	1.90	3.00	The girth and canopy spread of the tree divulge excavation of desirable root ball and relocation is not feasible. The tree standing within the project area earmarked for construction of Station. The tree is recommended for felling.		
518.	789	Samanea saman	1.67	2.50	The girth and canopy spread of the tree divulge excavation desirable root ball and relocation is not feasible. The tree standing within the project area earmarked for construction Station. The tree is recommended for felling.		
519.	790 A	Samanea saman	0.65/ -1.16	2.00	The girth and canopy spread of the tree divulge excavation desirable root ball and relocation is not feasible. The tree standing within the project area earmarked for construction Station. The tree is recommended for felling.		
520.	791	Samanea saman	1.52	3.00	The girth and canopy spread of the tree divulge excavation desirable root ball and relocation is not feasible. The tree also standing very close to tree no. 792, thereby preventing		

SI. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendation
					excavation of healthy root ball. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for construction of Station. The tree is recommended for felling.
521.	792	Samanea saman	0.77	3.00	The tree is standing very close to tree no. 791, thereby preventing excavation of healthy root ball. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for construction of Station. The tree is recommended for felling.
522.	793	Ficus religiosa	2.63	1.50	The girth and canopy spread of the tree divulge excavation of desirable root ball and relocation is not feasible. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for construction of Station. The tree is recommended for felling.
523.	794	Ficus religiosa	2.63	2.50	The girth and canopy spread of the tree divulge excavation of desirable root ball and relocation is not feasible. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for construction of Station. The tree is recommended for felling.
524.	795	Ficus benghalensis	4.00	3.00	The girth and canopy spread of the tree divulge excavation of desirable root ball and relocation is not feasible. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for construction of Station. The tree is recommended for felling.
525.	796	Ficus religiosa	3.70	2.00	The girth and canopy spread of the tree divulge excavation of desirable root ball and relocation is not feasible. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for construction of Station. The tree is recommended for felling.
526.	797	Artocarpus heterophyllus	2.70	1.50	The girth and canopy spread of the tree divulge excavation of desirable root ball and relocation is not feasible. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for construction of Station. The tree is recommended for felling.
527.	798	Samanea saman	2.53	3.00	The girth and canopy spread of the tree divulge excavation of desirable root ball and relocation is not feasible. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for construction of Station. The tree is recommended for felling.
528,	799 A B	Aegle marmelos	0.80/ 0.70/ 0.50	2.50	The tree is multiforked with weak branch union. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for construction o Station. The tree is recommended for felling.
529.	800 A	Azadirachta indica	0.43/ 0.34	1.50	The tree is stunted (because of the impact of dieback associated insect pest and pathogen). The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for construction of Station The tree is recommended for felling.
530.	801	Artocarpus heterophyllus	1.87	1.50	The girth of the tree divulge excavation of desirable root bal and relocation is not feasible. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for construction of Station. The tree is recommended for felling.
531,	802	Ficus racemosa	2.86	1.50	The girth of the tree divulge excavation of desirable root bal and relocation is not feasible. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for construction of Station. The tree is recommended for felling.
532.	803	Cocus nucifera	0.94	7.00	The height of the tree divulge excavation of desirable roo ball and relocation is not feasible. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for construction of Station. The tree is recommended for felling.
533.	804	Artocarpus heterophyllus	1.75	2.00	The girth of the tree divulge excavation of desirable root bal and relocation is not feasible. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for construction of Station. The tree is recommended for felling.
534.	823	Muntingia calabura	0.36	2.00	The tree is felled (felling).
535,	824	Muntingia calabura	0.45	3.00	The tree is felled (felling).
536.	832	Peltophorum pterocarpum	2.15	4.00	The girth and canopy spread of the tree reveal excavation of desirable root ball and relocation is not feasible. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for construction of service road. The tree is recommended for felling.
537.	833	Pongamia pinnata	1.25	3.00	The girth and canopy spread of the tree reveal excavation o desirable root ball and relocation is not feasible. The tree is

Sl. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendation
					standing within the project area earmarked for construction of service road. The tree is recommended for felling.
538.	834 A	Pongamia pinnata	0.54/ 0.53	2.50	The tree is forked, and more probability of constricted roots. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for construction of service road. The tree is recommended for felling.
539.	835	Thespesia populnea	1.50	2.00	The tree is with canker symptoms. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for construction of service road station. The tree is recommended for felling.
540.	836	Tabeubia rosea	2.00	1.50	The girth and canopy spread of the tree reveal excavation of desirable root ball and relocation is not feasible. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for construction of service road / station. The tree is recommended for felling.
541.	837	Michelia champaca	0.68	3.00	The tree is with canker symptom, and more probability of constricted roots. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for construction of service road / station. The tree is recommended for felling.
542.	838	Peltophorum pterocarpum	2.80	5.00	The girth and canopy spread of the tree reveal excavation of desirable root ball and relocation is not feasible. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for construction of service road / station. The tree is recommended for felling.
543.	840	Tabeubia rosea	1.50	2.50	The girth and canopy spread of the tree reveal excavation of desirable root ball and relocation is not feasible. The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for construction of service road / station. The tree is recommended for felling.
544.	841	Syzygium sp.	0.95	3.00	The protection zone of the tree is infringed by utility structures, and succumb the tree with constricted roots (highly probable). The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for construction of service road / station. The tree is recommended for felling.
545.	842	Swetenia mahogani	0.71	2.50	The tree is bent, and the protection zone of the tree is in fringed by utility structures, succumb the tree with constricted roots (highly probable). The tree is standing with in the project area earmarked for construction of service road/station. The tree is recommended for felling.
546.	843	Swetenia mahogani	0.62	2.30	The tree is bent, and the protection zone of the tree is infringed by utility structures, succumb the tree with constricted roots (highly probable). The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for construction of service road / station. The tree is recommended for felling.
547.	844	Markhamia lutea	0.65	2.00	The protection zone of the tree is infringed by utility structures, succumb the tree with constricted roots (highly probable). The tree is standing within the project area (for viaduct and pier), and / station. The tree is recommended for felling.
548.	845	Pongamia pinnata	0.86	2.50	The protection zone of the tree is infringed by utility structures, succumb the tree with constricted roots (highly probable). The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for construction of service road / station. The tree is recommended for felling.
549.	847	Tabeubia rosea	0.87	2.00	The protection zone of the tree is infringed by utility structures, succumb the tree with constricted roots (highly probable). The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for construction of service road / station. The tree is recommended for felling.
550.	848	Pongamia pinnata	0.58	2.50	The protection zone of the tree is infringed by utility structures, succumb the tree with constricted roots (highly probable). The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for construction of service road / station. The tree is recommended for felling.
551.	849	Pongamia pinnata	1.06	3.00	The protection zone of the tree is infringed by utility structures, succumb the tree with constricted roots (highly probable). The tree is standing within the project area

SI. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendation
		a			earmarked for construction of service road / station. The tree is recommended for felling.
552.	854	Peltophorum pterocarpum	3.20	2.50	The protection zone of the tree is infringed by utility structures, succumb the tree with constricted roots (highly probable). The tree is standing within the project area earmarked for construction of service road. The tree is recommended for felling.
553.	855	Pongamia pinnata	0.35	1.50	The protection zone of the tree is infringed by construction of utility lanes and road, leading (more probable) to constricted roots. The tree is standing in the project are proposed for construction of double decker flyover. The tree is recommended for felling.
554.	856	Lagerstromia speciose	0.69	1.50	The protection zone of the tree is infringed by construction of utility lanes and road, leading (more probable) to constricted roots. The tree is standing in the project area proposed for construction of double decker flyover. The tree is recommended for felling.
555.	862 A	Prosopis sp.	0.32	1.50	The tree is forked, and standing in the project area proposed for construction of viaduct and pillar. The tree is recommended for felling.
556.	863	Moringa oleifera	0.55/ 0.50/ 0.40/	1.20	The tree is multiforked, and standing in the project area proposed for construction of viaduct and pillar. The tree is recommended for felling.
557.	864	Cocos nucifera	0.90	3.00	The tree is not feasible for excavation of applicable root ball. The tree is standing in the project area proposed for construction of viaduct and pillar. The tree is recommended for felling.
558.	865	Annona squamosa	0.23	1.20	The root zone of the tree is infringed by concrete structures and standing in the project area proposed for construction o viaduct and pillar. The tree is recommended for felling.
559.	866	Pongamia pinnata	0.26	1.30	The tree is dried and damaged (felling).
560.	867 A	Peltophorum sp.	0.89	2.00	The tree is forked, and standing in the project area proposed for construction of viaduct and pillar. The tree is
561.	871	Artocarpus heterophyllus	0.83	2.00	recommended for felling.  The tree is not feasible for excavation of applicable root ball. The tree is standing in the project area proposed for construction of viaduct and pillar. The tree is recommended for felling.
562.	872	Phyllanthus emblica	0.31	1.40	The tree is dried and damaged (felling).
563.	873	Phyllanthus emblica	0.44	1.20	The tree is dried and damaged (felling).
564.	874	Mellingtonia hortensis	0.60	1.20	The tree is dried and damaged (felling).
565.	877/1 A B C	Psidium guajava	0.32/ 0.29/ 0.22	1.00	The branches of the tree are topped. The tree is recommended for felling.
566.	878	Unknown sp.	0.27	1.00	The tree is felled (felling).
567#	881	Muntingia calabura	0.33	1.00	The tree is standing close to drainage (prone for root rot), and in the project area proposed for construction of viaduct and pillar. The tree is recommended for felling.
568.	882	Psidium guajava	0.24	1.50	The tree is dried and damaged (felling).
569.	884	Jatropha	0.73	2.00	The tree is dried and damaged (felling).
570.	885 A	Muntingia calabura	0.50/ 0.49	1.50	The tree is forked, and standing in the project area proposed for construction of viaduct and pillar. The tree is recommended for felling.
571.	886 A B	Pithecelobium dulce	0.70 0.51 0.53	1.20	The tree is multiforked, and standing in the project are proposed for construction of viaduct and pillar. The tree i recommended for felling.
572.	890 A	Pongamia pinnata	0.44/	1.20	The tree is multiforked, with constricted roots (due to drainage channel on one side), and standing in the project

Sl. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendation
	B C		0.49/ 0.44		area proposed for constriction of pile cap / pillar (no. 31) and viaduct. The tree is recommended for felling.
573 <sub>.</sub> .	892 A B	Pongamia pinnata	0.36/ 0.45/ 0.27	1.20	The tree is multiforked, with constricted roots (due to drainage channel on one side), and standing in the project area proposed for constriction of pile cap / pillar (no. 31) and viaduct. The tree is recommended for felling.
574.	893	Pongamia pinnata	0.65	1.00	The tree is decayed with constricted roots (due to drainag channel on one side), and standing in the project are proposed for constriction of pile cap / pillar and viaduct. The tree is recommended for felling.
575.	894	Swetenia mahogani	0.78	1.50	The tree is with constricted roots (due to drainage channel of one side), and standing in the project area proposed for constriction of pile cap / pillar and viaduct. The tree recommended for felling.
576.	895 A B	Pongamia pinnata	0.30/ 0.45/ 0.27	1.30	The tree is multiforked, with constricted roots (due to drainage channel on one side), and standing in the project area proposed for constriction of pile cap / pillar (no. 31) and viaduct. The tree is recommended for felling.
577.	901	Swetenia mahogani	0.87	1.40	The tree is with constricted roots(due to drainage channel of one side), and standin in the project area proposed for constriction of pile cap/pillar (no. 30) and viaduct. The tree recommended for felling.
578.	902	Pongamia pinnata	0.29/ 0.28	1.00	The tree is forked, with constricted roots (due to drainag channel on one side), and standing in the project are proposed for constriction of pile cap / pillar (no. 30) and viaduct. The tree is recommended for felling.
579.	903	Swetenia mahogani	0.87	1.60	The tree is with constricted roots (due to drainage channel of one side), and standing in the project area proposed for constriction of pile cap / pillar (no. 30) and viaduct. The tree is recommended for felling.
580.	903/1 A B C	Pongamia pinnata	0.20/ 0.18/ 0.18/ 0.17	1.20	The tree is multiforked, with constricted roots (due drainage channel on one side), and standing in the projection area proposed for constriction of pile cap / pillar (no. 30) are viaduct. The tree is recommended for felling.
581.	907 A	Swetenia mahogani	0.89/ 0.57	1.50	The tree is forked, with constricted roots (due to drainage channel on one side), and standing in the project are proposed for constriction of pile cap / pillar (no. 29) are viaduct. The tree is recommended for felling.
582.	908 A	Pongamia pinnata	0.35/ 0.34	1.30	The tree is forked, with constricted roots (due to drainage channel on one side), and standing in the project are proposed for constriction of pile cap / pillar (no. 29) are viaduct. The tree is recommended for felling.
583.	910 A B	Pongamia pinnata	0.40/ 0.55/ 0.46	1.20	The tree is multiforked, with constricted roots (due drainage channel on one side), and standing in the proje area proposed for constriction of pile cap / pillar (no. 29) are viaduct. The tree is recommended for felling.
584.	916	Swetenia mahogani	0.78	1.50	The tree is with constricted roots (due to drainage channel of one side), and standing in the project area proposed for constriction of pile cap / pillar (no. 28) and viaduct. The tree is recommended for felling.
585.	917	Swetenia mahogani	0.74	1.50	The tree is with constricted roots (due to drainage channel of one side), and standing in the project area proposed for constriction of pile cap / pillar (no. 28) and viaduct. The tree is recommended for felling.
586.	921	Swetenia mahogani	0.76	2.00	The tree is with constricted roots (due to drainage channel of one side), and standing in the project area proposed for constriction of pile cap / pillar (no. 27) and viaduct. The tree is recommended for felling.
587.	922	Swetenia mahogani	1.04	1.80	The tree is with constricted roots (due to drainage channel of one side), and standing in the project area proposed for constriction of pile cap / pillar (no. 27) and viaduct. The tree is recommended for felling.

SI. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendation
588.	926	Tabeubia rosea	1.03	1.90	The tree is with constricted roots (due to drainage channel on one side), and standing in the project area proposed for constriction of pile cap / pillar (no. 26) and viaduct. The tree is recommended for felling.
589.	927	Swetenia mahogani	0.77	2.00	The tree is multiforked, with constricted roots (due to drainage channel on one side), and standing in the project area proposed for constriction of pile cap / pillar (no. 26) and viaduct. The tree is recommended for felling.
590.	938	Swetenia mahogani	1.07	1.50	The tree is with constricted roots (due to drainage channel or one side), and standing in the project area proposed for constriction of pile cap / pillar (no. 24) and viaduct. The tree is recommended for felling.
591.	954	Mellingtonia hortensis	0.80	3.00	The tree is felled (felling).
592.	956	Pithecelobium dulce	0.50	2.00	The tree is broken and standing in the project area in the ongoing construction activities between pillar no. 7 and 8. The tree is recommended for felling.
593.	959	Pongamia pinnata	0.20	3.00	The tree is felled (felling).
594.	960	Ficus benjamina	0.96	5.00	The tree is standing close to boundary wall (more probability of constricted roots), in the project area proposed for ramp The tree is recommended for felling.
595.	961	Grevillea robusta	1.70	6.00	The tree is standing close to boundary wall (more probability of constricted roots), in the project area proposed for ramp The tree is recommended for felling.
596,	962	Grevillea robusta	1.48	6.00	The tree is standing close to boundary wall (more probability of constricted roots), in the project area proposed for ramp The tree is recommended for felling.
5972	963	Grevillea robusta	-1.35	7.00	The tree is standing close to boundary wall (more probability of constricted roots), in the project area proposed for ramp The tree is recommended for felling.
598.	984	Psidium guajava	0.20	1.00	The tree is bent, and standing in the project area proposed for construction of boundary wall (of BSRP). The tree is recommended for felling.
599.	985	Lagerstroemia speciosa	0.70	1.00	The tree is bent, and standing in the project area proposed for construction of boundary wall (of BSRP). The tree is recommended for felling.
600.	992	Psidium guajava	0.25	1.00	The tree is bent, and standing in the project area proposed for construction of boundary wall (of BSRP). The tree is recommended for felling.
601.	997	Jacaranda sp.	0.90	4.00	The tree is decayed, and standing in the project area proposed for construction of boundary wall (of BSRP). The tree is recommended for felling.
602.	1000	Jacaranda sp.	0.70	1.00	The tree is dried, and standing in the project area proposed for construction of boundary wall (of BSRP). The tree is recommended for felling.
603.	1001 A B	Psidium guava	0.20/ 0.16/ 0.15	0.50	The tree is multiforked, and standing in the project area proposed for construction of boundary wall (of BSRP). The tree is recommended for felling.
604.	1002	Spethodia	3.30	4.00	Tree is coming in proposed Septic Tank area. It is matured recommended for felling.
605.	1003	Spethodia	1.05	2.00	Tree is coming in proposed approach Road, recommended for felling
606.	1004	Shivahunase	0.68	1.50	Tree is coming in proposed Boundary Wall and recommended for felling
607.	1005	Subabul	0.67	3.00	Tree is coming in proposed Boundary Wall and recommended for felling
608.	1006	Subabul	0.67	3.50	Tree is coming in proposed Boundary Wall and recommended for felling
609.	1008	Subabul	0.46	6.00	Tree is coming in proposed approach Road, recommended for felling
610.	1009	Subabul	0.47	6.00	Tree is coming in proposed approach Road, recommended fo felling
	A	Subabul	0.46	6.00	3

SI. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendation
611.	1010	Subabul	0.58	6.00	Tree is coming in proposed approach Road, recommended for felling
(10	1011	Subabul	0.53	6.00	Tree is coming in proposed approach Road, recommended for
612.	Α	Subabul	0.35	6.00	felling
613.	1012	Jungle	0.26	2.50	Tree is coming in proposed approach Road, recommended for
015.	A	Jungle	0.26	2.50	felling  Tree is coming in proposed approach Road, recommended for
614.	1013	Sandalwood	0.28	2.50	felling
615.	1014	Sandalwood	0.34	2.00	Tree is coming in proposed approach Road, recommended for felling
616.	1016	Teakwood	0.51	1.75	Tree is coming in the proposed Boundary Wall ar
010.	Α	Teakwood	0.36	2.00	recommended for felling.
617.	1017	Shivahunase	0.74	1.50	Tree is coming in the proposed Boundary Wall ar recommended for felling.
618.	1018	Shivahunase	0.51	1.75	Tree is coming in the proposed Boundary Wall ar recommended for felling.
619.	1019	Honge	0.72	2.00	Tree is coming in the proposed Boundary Wall ar recommended for felling.
620.	1020	Teakwood	0.35	2.50	Tree is coming in the proposed Boundary Wall ar recommended for felling.
621.	1021	Teakwood	0.54	2.50	Tree is coming in the proposed Boundary Wall as recommended for felling.
622.	1022	Teakwood	0.71	2.00	Tree is coming in the proposed Boundary Wall as recommended for felling.
623.	1025	Teakwood	0.31	3.00	Tree is coming in the proposed Boundary Wall an
025.	Α	Teakwood	0.27	2.50	recommended for felling.
624.	1026	Teakwood	0.51	2.50	Tree is coming in the proposed approach road as recommended for felling.
	1027	Teakwood	0.35	2.00	Tree is coming in the proposed approach road ar
625.	А	Teakwood	0.27	2.00	recommended for felling.
626.	1028	Teakwood	0.67	3.00	Tree is coming in the proposed approach road ar recommended for felling.
627	1029	Teakwood	0.51	2.50	Tree is coming in the proposed approach road ar
627.	Α	Teakwood	0.55	1.50	recommended for felling.
628.	1031	Jungle	0.45	2.00	Tree is coming in the proposed approach road as recommended for felling.
629.	1032	Teakwood	0.68	2.50	Tree is coming in the proposed approach road an
029.	A	Teakwood	0.25	2.00	recommended for felling.
	1033	Peltoforum	0.57	2.50	Tree is coming in the proposed approach road an
630.	Α	Peltoforum	0.41	3.00	recommended for felling.
	В	Peltoforum	0.30	6.00	Tree is coming in the proposed approach road ar recommended for felling.
631.	1035	Teakwood	0.47	2.00	Tree is coming in the proposed approach road as recommended for felling.
632.	1036	Teakwood	0.60	2.00	Tree is coming in the proposed approach road as recommended for felling.
633.	1037	Teakwood	0.39	1.50	Tree is coming in the proposed approach road a recommended for felling.
634.	1038	Peltoforum	0.45	2.00	Tree is coming in the proposed approach road as recommended for felling.
635,	1041	Teakwood	0.45	2.00	Tree is coming in the proposed approach road as recommended for felling.
636.	1043	Peltoforum	0.25	3.00	Tree is coming in the proposed approach road as recommended for felling.
637.	1044	Casia	0.38	4.00	Tree is coming in the proposed approach road are recommended for felling.
638.	1045	Sandalwood	0.29	2.50	Tree is coming in the proposed approach road are recommended for felling.
639.	1046	Neam	1.77	2.50	Tree is coming in the proposed approach road as recommended for felling.

SI. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendation
640.	1047	Jungle	0.33	2.50	Tree is coming in the proposed approach road and recommended for felling.
641.	1048	Tore matti	0.51	3.00	Tree is coming in the proposed approach road and recommended for felling.
642.	1049	Tore matti	0.28	3.50	Tree is coming in the proposed approach road and recommended for felling.
643.	1050	Tore matti	0.95	3.00	Tree is coming in the proposed approach road and recommended for felling.
644.	1052	Teakwood	0.70	3.00	Tree is coming in the proposed approach road and
011.	A	Teakwood	0.24	2.00	recommended for felling.
	1053	Teakwood	0.60	3.00	Tree is coming in the proposed approach road and recommended for felling.
645.	Α	Teakwood	0.48	3.00	recommended for ferming.
	В	Teakwood	0.32	3.00	
	1054	Peltoforum	0.67	2.00	Tree is coming in the proposed approach road and
646.	Α	Peltoforum	0.60	2.00	recommended for felling.
	В	Peltoforum	0.34	2.50	
647,	1055/A 1055/B	Mangifera indica	1.00/ 0.70	1.8	The tree is forked with weak branch union, and standing in the project area proposed for reconstruction of road within the defence compound. The tree is recommended for felling.
648.	1056	Ficus racemosa	2.40	5.00	Excavation of applicable size of root ball for relocation is not feasible for the tree. The tree is standing in the project area proposed for reconstruction of road within the defence compound. The tree is recommended for felling.
649.	1057	Samanea saman	3.75	1.50	Excavation of applicable size of root ball for relocation is not feasible for the tree. The tree is standing in the project area proposed for reconstruction of road within the defence compound. The tree is recommended for felling.
650.	1059	Ficus benghalensis	4.45	1.00	Excavation of applicable size of root ball for relocation is not feasible for the tree. The tree is standing in the project area proposed for reconstruction of road within the defence compound. The tree is recommended for felling.
651.	1060	Mangifera indica	0.90	1.50	The tree is decayed, and standing in the project area proposed for reconstruction of road within the defence compound. The tree is recommended for felling.
652.	1061	Ficus benghalensis	3.40	1.00	Excavation of applicable size of root ball for relocation is not feasible for the tree. The tree is standing in the project area proposed for reconstruction of road within the defence compound. The tree is recommended for felling.
653.	1062	Mangifera indica	1.40	0.50	Excavation of applicable size of root ball for relocation is not feasible for the tree. The tree is standing in the project area proposed for reconstruction of road within the defence compound. The tree is recommended for felling.
654.	1063	Mellingtonia hortensis	3.00	1.70	Excavation of applicable size of root ball for relocation is not feasible for the tree. The tree is standing in the project area proposed for reconstruction of road within the defence compound. The tree is recommended for felling.
655.	1064	Mellingtonia hortensis	3.00	1.60	Excavation of applicable size of root ball for relocation is not feasible for the tree. The tree is standing in the project area proposed for reconstruction of road within the defence compound. The tree is recommended for felling.
656.	1065	Mangifera indica	1.20	0.40	Excavation of applicable size of root ball for relocation is not feasible for the tree. The tree is standing in the project area proposed for reconstruction of road within the defence compound. The tree is recommended for felling.
657.	1066	Mangifera indica	1.40	1.50	Excavation of applicable size of root ball for relocation is not feasible for the tree. The tree is standing in the project area proposed for reconstruction of road within the defence compound. The tree is recommended for felling.
658.	1067	Mellingtonia hortentsis	2.60	3.50	Excavation of applicable size of root ball for relocation is not feasible for the tree. The tree is standing in the project area proposed for reconstruction of road within the defence compound. The tree is recommended for felling.

SI. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendation
659.	1068	Mangifera indica	1.50/	0.50	Excavation of applicable size of root ball for relocation is not feasible for the tree. The tree is forked, and standing in the project area proposed for reconstruction of road within the defence compound. The tree is recommended for felling.
660.	1069/A 1069/B	Pongamia pinnata	1.00/ 0.90	1.80	Excavation of applicable size of root ball for relocation is not feasible for the tree. The tree is forked, and standing in the project area proposed for reconstruction of road within the defence compound. The tree is recommended for felling.
661.	1070/A 1070/B 1070/C	Mangifera indica	1.40/ 1.20/ 1.20	4.00	Excavation of applicable size of root ball for relocation is not feasible for the tree. The tree is multiforked, and standing in the project area proposed for reconstruction of road within the defence compound. The tree is recommended for felling.
662.	1071	Azadirachta indica	1.30	2.00	Excavation of applicable size of root ball for relocation is not feasible for the tree. The tree is standing in the project area proposed for reconstruction of road within the defence compound. The tree is recommended for felling.
663.	1072	Mangifera indica	1.40	1.80	Excavation of applicable size of root ball for relocation is not feasible for the tree. The tree is standing in the project area proposed for reconstruction of road within the defence compound. The tree is recommended for felling.
664.	1073	Pongamia pinnata	1.50	0.50	Excavation of applicable size of root ball for relocation is not feasible for the tree. The tree is standing in the project area proposed for reconstruction of road within the defence compound. The tree is recommended for felling.
665.	1074/A 1074/B	Mangifera indica	0.90	2.00	Excavation of applicable size of root ball for relocation is not feasible for the tree. The tree is standing in the project area proposed for reconstruction of road within the defence compound. The tree is recommended for felling.
666.	1075	Ficus religiosa	8.40	3.00	Excavation of applicable size of root ball for relocation is not feasible for the tree. The tree is standing in the project area proposed for reconstruction of road within the defence compound. The tree is recommended for felling.
667.	1076	Melia dubia	3.50	4.00	Excavation of applicable size of root ball for relocation is not feasible for the tree. The tree is standing in the project area proposed for construction activities related to track. The tree is recommended for felling.
668.	1076/7 A	Santalum album	0.32/ 0.29	3.00	The tree is bent, forked, and standing in the project area proposed for reconstruction of road within the defence compound. The tree is recommended for felling.
669.	1076/8	Santalum album	0.26	3.00	The tree is with basal decay, and standing in the project area proposed for reconstruction of road within the defence compound. The tree is recommended for felling.
670.	1077 A B C D	Mellingtonia hortensis	0.90/ 0.80/ 0.50/ 0.60/ 0.60	3.00	The tree is multiforked, and standing in the project area proposed for construction activities related to track. The tree is recommended for felling.
671.	1078 A B	Mellingtonia hortensis	0.60/ 0.25/ 0.20	2.50	The tree is multiforked, and standing in the project area proposed for construction activities related to track. The tree is recommended for felling.
672.	1079	Mellingtonia hortensis	0.60	3.00	The tree is decayed, and standing in the project area propos for construction activities related to track. The tree recommended for felling.
673.	1080 A B C	Mellingtonia hortensis	0.60/ 0.40/ 0.40/ 0.50	3.00	The tree is multiforked, and standing in the project area proposed for construction activities related to track. The tree is recommended for felling.
674.	1081	Mangifera indica	1.30	2.50	Excavation of applicable size of root ball for relocation is not feasible for the tree. The tree is standing in the project area proposed for construction activities related to track. The tree is recommended for felling.
675.	1082	Samanea saman	0.60	3.00	The tree is standing in the iron mesh boundary (prone for root damage), in the project area proposed for construction activities related to track. The tree is recommended for felling.

Sl. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendation
676.	1083	Spathodea sp.	0.80	3.00	The tree is topped / sufficient canopy of the tree absent. The tree is standing in the project area proposed for construction activities related to track. The tree is recommended for felling.
677.	1083/1	Swetenia mahogani	0.22	2.00	The tree is topped / sufficient canopy of the tree absent. The tree is standing in the project area proposed for construction activities related to track. The tree is recommended for felling.
678.	1083/2	Holoptelia integrifolia	0.25	1.50	The tree is decayed, and standing in the project area proposed for construction activities related to track. The tree is recommended for felling.
679.	1084	Tamarindus indicus	0.60	1.00	The tree is standing in the iron mesh boundary (prone for root damage), and standing in the project area proposed for construction activities related to track. The tree is recommended for felling.
680.	1238	Santalum album	0.30	2.50	The protection zone of the tree is infringed by anthropogenic activities (boundary wall and road) on either side. The tree is standing in the project area proposed for construction of track and related activities. The tree is recommended for felling.
681.	1238/1	Tamarindus indicus	0.18	2.00	The protection zone of the tree is infringed by anthropogenic activities (boundary wall and road) on either side. The tree is standing in the project area proposed for construction of track and related activities. The tree is recommended for felling.
682.	1239	Spathodea sp.	0.60	2.50	The protection zone of the tree is infringed by anthropogenic activities (boundary wall and road) on either side. The tree is standing in the project area proposed for construction of track and related activities. The tree is recommended for felling.
683.	1240	Santalum album	0.25	1.50	The protection zone of the tree is infringed by anthropogenic activities (boundary wall and road) on either side. The tree is standing in the project area proposed for construction of track and related activities. The tree is recommended for felling.
684.	1241	Santalum album	0.29	1.50	The protection zone of the tree is infringed by anthropogenic activities (boundary wall and road) on either side. The tree is standing in the project area proposed for construction of track and related activities. The tree is recommended for felling.
685.	UNI	Broussonetia papyrifera	0.33	2.00	The tree is standing in slope and defectively maintained terrain, and within the project area proposed for construction of approach road for ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
686.	UN 2	Broussonetia papyrifera	0.22	2.00	The tree is standing in slope and defectively maintained terrain, and within the project area proposed for construction of approach road for ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
687.	UN 3	Broussonetia papyrifera	0.24	2.50	The tree is standing in slope and defectively maintained terrain, and within the project area proposed for construction of approach road for ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
688.	UN 4 UN 4A UN 4B	Broussonetia papyrifera	0.76/ 0.22/ 0.80	3.50	The tree is standing in slope and defectively maintained terrain, and within the project area proposed for construction of approach road for ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
689.	UN 5 UN 5A	Broussonetia papyrifera	0.88/ 0.78	5.00	The tree is standing in slope and defectively maintained terrain, and within the project area proposed for construction of approach road for ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
690.	UN 6	Broussonetia papyrifera	0.20	2.00	The tree is standing in slope and defectively maintained terrain, and within the project area proposed for construction of approach road for ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
691.	UN 7	Broussonetia papyrifera	0.20	3.00	The tree is standing in slope and defectively maintained terrain, and within the project area proposed for construction of approach road for ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
692.	UN 8	Broussonetia papyrifera	0.45	1.80	The tree is standing in slope and defectively maintained terrain, and within the project area proposed for construction

SI. No.	Tree No.	Tree Name	Girth (m)	Height (m)	Recommendation
					of approach road for ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
693.	UN 9	Broussonetia papyrifera	0.50	2.00	The tree is standing in slope and defectively maintained terrain, and within the project area proposed for construction of approach road for ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
694.	UN 10 UN 10A	Broussonetia papyrifera	0.66/ 0.57	5.00	The tree is standing in slope and defectively maintained terrain, and within the project area proposed for construction of approach road for ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
695.	UN 11	Broussonetia papyrifera	0.50	4.50	The tree is standing in slope and defectively maintained terrain, and within the project area proposed for construction of approach road for ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
696.	UN 12	Broussonetia papyrifera	0.50	3.50	The tree is standing in slope and defectively maintained terrain, and within the project area proposed for construction of approach road for ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
697.	UN 13	Broussonetia papyrifera	0.85	1.70	The tree is standing in slope and defectively maintained terrain, and within the project area proposed for construction of approach road for ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
698.	UN 14	Broussonetia papyrifera	0.59	4.50	The tree is standing in slope and defectively maintained terrain, and within the project area proposed for construction of approach road for ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.
699.	UN 15	Broussonetia papyrifera	0.34	2.50	The tree is standing in slope and defectively maintained terrain, and within the project area proposed for construction of approach road for ROB. The tree is recommended for felling.

Total number of trees for Felling = 699 Nos.

Tree Officer & Deputy Conservator of Forests,

BBMP, Bengaluru