



BRUHAT BENGALURU MAHANAGARA PALIKE

No: DCF/PR:2042/2022-23
2042

Office of the
Deputy Conservator of Forests,
Bruhat Bengaluru Mahanagara Palike
N.R Square, Bangalore

Date: 17.03.2023

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM

Sub: Permission regarding Translocation and Removal of trees which are standing at the Project Area from Lottegollahalli Railway Station to Chikkabanavara Railway Station for **Bengaluru Suburban Railway Project (BSRP) in Corridor 02, Package 2, Bengaluru – reg**

Ref: a. KRIDE/BSRP/Tree Auction/Corr-2/12 dtd 27.07.2022
b. KRIDE/BSRP/Tree Auction/Corr-2/18 dtd. 30.08.2022
c. Member Secretary, TEC and ACF Letter No. ACF/PR.90/2022-23 dtd 16.03.2023 along with Report and Proceedings of Tree Expert Committee

* * * * *

Preamble:

The General Manager (Civil), Karnataka Rail Infrastructure Development Company (K-RIDE) Limited, Bengaluru submitted an application dtd. 29.03.2022 to the Deputy Conservator of Forests, Bengaluru Urban Division and had requested for removal of 2495 trees standing at the BSRP Project area extending from Lottegollahalli Railway Station to Chikkabanavara Railway Station in Corridor 02, Package 02. The said 2495 number of trees are spread in the 03 Territorial Ranges of Bengaluru Urban Forest Division viz., KR Puram Range - 45 standing trees, Yelahanka Range – 1396 standing trees, and Bengaluru Range - 1054 trees.

In the meanwhile, PCCF, HOFF, Karnataka, Bengaluru vide his Order dtd 13.06.2022 and in supersession of his earlier Office Order dtd 20.02.2020, has directed that both the DCFs, i.e., the DCF, Forest Division, BBMP and the DCF, Bengaluru Urban Division have to function, exercise and discharge the stipulated duties and responsibilities as per their respective administrative jurisdictions. Therefore the above proposal came to the fold of DCF, BBMP Forest Division.

The Member-Secretary, apprised about this development which had taken place on account of the PCCF, HOFF, Bangalore's Order dtd. 13.06.2022, to the TEC during its meeting held on 30.06.2022. After deliberations, the K-RIDE authorities in the light of new scenario concluded

that the said proposal can be divided into 02 packages wherein the trees standing in the Bengaluru Range (1054 trees) can be considered as one Package and the trees standing in the Yelahanka Range and K.R.Puram Range can be combined ($45 + 1396 = 1441$ trees) and considered to form another Package. Accordingly the processing of the proposal was set in motion by the DCF, BBMP

After that, the Tree Officer, BBMP brought to the notice of the TEC during its meeting held on 30.07.2022 that the BBMP Forest Officers at the time of field inspection have found that out of 1054 Nos. of standing trees as per the enumeration list prepared for Bengaluru Territorial Range of Bengaluru Urban Division, 290 Nos. of trees are missing in between Lottegollahalli Railway Station and Chikkabanavara Railway Station. After deliberations, the Committee opined that the reasons for such trees going missing and subsequent action taken have to be ascertained from K-RIDE and DCF, Bengaluru Urban Division. Meanwhile the DCF, BBMP was directed to intimate the K-RIDE Authorities that since some of the enumerated trees are missing on the field, renumbering of the remaining trees has to be done and a revised application be submitted by K-RIDE to the DCF, BBMP.

Further the General Manager (Civil), K-RIDE vide their letter cited under reference (b) above, submitted a revised application and has sought permission for clearance of 764 number of trees which are standing at the Project area extending from Lottegollahalli Railway Station to Chikkabanawara Railway Station in Corridor – 2, Package 02 for the project “**Bengaluru Suburban Railway Project (BSRP)**”, of K-RIDE, Bengaluru

As such Public Notice dated 19.09.2022 was issued by the Tree Officer & DCF, BBMP as per Section 8 (3) of the Karnataka Preservation of Trees Act 1976 (as amended in 2015) with the intention to invite objections/remarks from public.

In response to the public notice, 04 suggestions/objections were received from public within the stipulated dates. The Tree Officer remarked that the main objection is with regard to felling of the trees since the trees species are indigenous and cannot be replaced by replanting. One of the objectors has expressed importance of the trees in the sense that the trees purify our air and combat climate change, provide housing to millions of species that protect us from disease, cool our streets & cities and also protect us against floods and water pollution. A couple of objectors expressed concern that even before the work on suburban rail begins, some trees are nowhere to be found and that a case has to be filed against those responsible for the missing trees. They have further suggested that there should be a balance between development and environment and the developmental projects should not be at the cost of the environment. Also cutting of

trees without permission of the Tree Officer concerned is against the Law. Lastly one objector has pointed out about some discrepancies like the number of tree and its height have not been mentioned correctly. The Tree Officer stated that the details of trees were submitted by the Proponent Agency and those details will be reviewed again by the BBMP Forest Officers during their field visits. With regard to the subject of missing trees the matter is under correspondence and necessary action will be taken against the concerned for their laxity. Regarding the technical matters of the objections/suggestions, the matter was communicated to the K-RIDE Authorities. They have responded that the K-RIDE is executing a BSRP Project within Bengaluru for better connectivity to the people; this is highly needed in Bengaluru considering the traffic situation and also to reduce the vehicular traffic and carbon emission produced by the vehicles. The alignment of BSRP is almost parallel to the existing railway track on the adjacent railway land and hence there is no way to change the alignment to avoid the trees. Further the two tracks of BSRP are being laid to one side of existing IR track. Therefore the trees standing at the embankment of the proposed tracks including the proposed station areas between Lottegollahalli Railway Station and Chikkabanavara Railway Station are getting affected. Hence minimum number of trees will be cut which are unavoidable due to technical reasons.

In this context, the Field Forest Officers conducted the spot inspections on dtd 18.11.2022 and 19.11.2022, the ACF/DCF visited the areas on 22.12.2022 & 23.12.2022, and then TEC visited the areas and conducted field Inspections on 20.2.2023 and 21.02.2023, duly examining all the trees besides having discussions with the Project Engineers.

The Field Inspection Report was tabled during the TEC meeting held on 28.02.2023 and detailed discussions were held.

- i. The primary objective of the TEC was to retain-on-site as many trees as possible.
- ii. In case the trees are falling within the project activity area and their removal becomes inevitable, the next option for TEC was for translocation of trees depending upon its general condition and its location so that the extraction of root ball of adequate size becomes feasible.
- iii. The felling of trees has to be the last resort and that has to be done very judiciously in a prudent manner.

Based on the records/documents produced by K-RIDE, followed by thorough scrutiny of the same and detailed discussions of the field inspection reports which were prepared after examination of each and every tree, the following order is issued.

ORDER

Under the circumstances explained above and in exercise of the powers vested with the undersigned as per Section 8 (3) of Karnataka Preservation of Trees Act, 1976 and based on the guidelines and decisions taken as per the Field Inspection Report and Proceedings of the Meeting dated 28.02.2023 of the TEC for retention-on-site, translocation, and removal of trees which fall in the Project area extending from Lottegollahalli Railway Station to Chikkabanawara Railway Station, the below mentioned schedule is approved subject to the conditions mentioned thereon. This Order will come into effect after fifteen (15) days from the date of uploading of the order on the Official website of BBMP and for that purpose separate directions will be issued from this Office.

SCHEDULE

1. The One Hundred and Thirty Five (135) trees which are listed in Annexure A appended to this Official Memorandum have to be retained-on-site. Hence, permission is declined to remove the said 135 trees and they should continue to stand at their present locations.
2. Based on the considerations as stated above and also detailed in the Report, the Forty Seven (47) trees which are listed with justification, enclosed to this Official Memorandum as Annexure B have to be translocated. Hence permission is accorded to translocate the said 47 trees to suitable places as mentioned below in the 'Conditions'.
3. The remaining Five Hundred and Ninety Six (596) trees only which are listed with justification, enclosed to this Official Memorandum as Annexure C can be removed. Hence permission is accorded for removal of these said 596 trees only as per the felling of trees norms adopted by Karnataka Forest Department (KFD).

Conditions

1. No damage should be caused to the trees which are retained on the spot, while carrying out the civil works or any project related works.
2. The trees which are retained-on-site have to be properly protected and maintained. Accordingly K-RIDE should give an assurance in this respect.
3. The translocation of trees should be done at the following proposed locations in collaboration with the DCF, BBMP. As per your letter cited under ref. (c), no other developmental activity has to be carried out in the following proposed areas for translocation of trees

The Location Site No. 03 which is situated near to the newly constructed badminton court, at Yeshwanthpur Railway Colony, Opposite to Treatment plant, Bengaluru.

4. The Persons/Agencies who are entrusted with translocation works should have sufficient knowledge and experience in such works.
5. The work of translocation of trees has to be executed under close supervision of Officials/Officers of Forest Wing of BBMP and according to the formulated guidelines of UAS, Bengaluru.
6. The trees so translocated have to be properly maintained and taken care of, for a minimum period of three years.
7. The entire process of translocation of trees has to be properly documented and records compiled in a systematic manner.
8. As per the Section 10 of KPT Act 1976, which provides that where any tree has fallen or destroyed due to force of nature or other natural causes, requires to plant a tree or trees in place of the tree so fallen or destroyed.
9. In lieu of the trees translocated, felled and missing trees (sums upto 989), 10 healthy and heighted saplings have to be planted. The saplings have to be planted as per forestry practices and maintained for a minimum period of three years. Photographs and proper documentation has to be there for saplings/seedlings planted.
10. Regular monitoring must be done to ensure the conducive growth of translocated trees and planted saplings/seedlings.


Tree Officer and
Deputy Conservator of Forests
Bruhat Bengaluru Mahanagara Palike,
Bengaluru

Copy to:

1. The General Manager (Civil), K-RIDE, Samparka Soudha, 1st Floor, Opp. Orion Mall, Dr. Rajkumar Road, Rajajinagar 1st Block, Bengaluru
2. The Chairman, Tree Authority and Chief Conservator of Forests, Bangalore Circle, Bangalore for kind information
3. The Member Secretary – Tree Expect Committee, and the Assistant Conservator of Forests, BBMP for information and further action.
4. The Assistant Conservator of Forests, BBMP for information and further action
5. The Range Forest Officer/Deputy Range Forest Officers for information and further action
6. Office Copy

Retention of Trees

**Project Area : K-RIDE Project BSRP Corridor 2 package-2 extending from
Lottegollahalli Station to Chikkabanavara Railway Station**

Sl. No	Tree no.	Name of Species	Girth (In Mts)	Height (In Mts)	Remarks
1.	149	Honge	0.90	3.50	The tree is located out side the project activity area. Hence, this tree is recommended for retention.
2.	150	Honge	0.80	3.50	The tree is located out side the project activity area. Hence, this tree is recommended for retention
3.	152 152A	Honge	0.30 0.35	2.50 2.50	The tree is located out side the project activity area. Hence, this tree is recommended for retention
4.	154 154A	Honge	0.70 0.70	3.50 2.50	The tree is located out side the project activity area. Hence, this tree is recommended for retention
5.	156	Honge	1.15	3.50	The tree is located out side the project activity area. Hence, this tree is recommended for retention
6.	158	Honge	1.15	3.50	The tree is located out side the project activity area. Hence, this tree is recommended for retention
7.	161 161A	Honge	0.90 0.60	2.50 2.50	The tree is located out side the project activity area. Hence, this tree is recommended for retention
8.	162	Honge	1.40	3.00	The tree is located out side the project activity area. Hence, this tree is recommended for retention
9.	174	Cherry	0.60	2.50	The tree is located out side the project activity area. Hence, this tree is recommended for retention
10.	175	Cherry	0.65	2.50	The tree is located out side the project activity area. Hence, this tree is recommended for retention
11.	176	Coconut	0.85	6.00	The tree is located out side the project activity area. Hence, this tree is recommended for retention
12.	177	Coconut	0.95	7.00	The tree is located out side the project activity area. Hence, this tree is recommended for retention
13.	178	Cherry	0.75	2.50	The tree is located out side the project activity area. Hence, this tree is recommended for retention
14.	179	Cherry	0.25	2.50	The tree is located out side the project activity area. Hence, this tree is recommended for retention

15.	180	Paper mulberry	1.35	3.50	The tree is located out side the project activity area. Hence, this tree is recommended for retention
16.	181	Paper mulberry	0.70	3.00	The tree is located out side the project activity area. Hence, this tree is recommended for retention
17.	182 182A	Neem	1.10 1.00	3.00 2.50	The tree is located out side the project activity area. Hence, this tree is recommended for retention
18.	183	Paper mulberry	0.35	2.50	The tree is located out side the project activity area. Hence, this tree is recommended for retention
19.	184	Neem	0.75	3.00	The tree is located out side the project activity area. Hence, this tree is recommended for retention
20.	185 185A	Neem	1.35 0.90	3.00 2.50	The tree is located out side the project activity area. Hence, this tree is recommended for retention
21.	186	Peltophorum	0.85	3.00	The tree is located out side the project activity area. Hence, this tree is recommended for retention
22.	187	Neem	0.95	3.00	The tree is located out side the project activity area. Hence, this tree is recommended for retention
23.	188	Neem	1.20	3.50	The tree is located out side the project activity area. Hence, this tree is recommended for retention
24.	189	Coconut	1.25	7.00	The tree is located out side the project activity area. Hence, this tree is recommended for retention
25.	190	Neem	1.30	3.50	The tree is located out side the project activity area. Hence, this tree is recommended for retention
26.	192	Sisso	1.20	3.50	The tree is located out side the project activity area. Hence, this tree is recommended for retention
27.	193	Sisso	1.00	3.00	The tree is located out side the project activity area. Hence, this tree is recommended for retention
28.	194 194A 194B	Honge	1.50 0.85 0.70	3.00 2.50 2.00	The tree is located out side the project activity area. Hence, this tree is recommended for retention
29.	196	Sisso	0.95	3.50	The tree is located out side the project activity area. Hence, this tree is recommended for retention
30.	197	Rain tree	3.00	3.50	The tree is located out side the project activity area. Hence, this tree is recommended for retention
31.	198	Hunase	0.45	2.50	The tree is located out side the project activity area. Hence, this tree is recommended for retention
32.	199	Neem	1.25	3.00	The tree is located out side the project activity area. Hence, this tree is recommended for retention

33.	201	Silver	1.25	4.50	The tree is located out side the project activity area. Hence, this tree is recommended for retention
34.	248	Echalu	0.80	7.00	The tree is located out side the project activity area. Hence, this tree is recommended for retention
35.	249	Rain tree	1.00	2.50	The tree is located out side the project activity area. Hence, this tree is recommended for retention
36.	250	Honge	0.80	2.50	The tree is located out side the project activity area. Hence, this tree is recommended for retention
37.	251	Echalu	0.70	8.00	The tree is located out side the project activity area. Hence, this tree is recommended for retention
38.	252	Atti	2.40	3.00	The tree is located out side the project activity area. Hence, this tree is recommended for retention
39.	253	Neem	1.00	2.50	The tree is located out side the project activity area. Hence, this tree is recommended for retention
40.	254	Neem	1.15	3.50	The tree is located out side the project activity area. Hence, this tree is recommended for retention
41.	256	Coconut	0.90	8.00	The tree is located out side the project activity area. Hence, this tree is recommended for retention
42.	355	Tremma orientalis	0.30	2.50	Tree coming outside the proposed alignment. Hence this tree is recommended for Retention.
43.	359	Acacia	0.40	2.50	Tree coming outside the proposed alignment. Hence this tree is recommended for Retention.
44.	359/1	Sandal	0.25	2.50	Tree coming outside the proposed alignment. Hence this tree is recommended for Retention.
45.	360/1	Sandal	0.20	3.00	Tree coming outside the proposed alignment. Hence this tree is recommended for Retention.
46.	364/1	Echalu	1.10	6.00	Tree coming outside the proposed alignment. Hence this tree is recommended for Retention.
47.	365	Tremma orientalis	0.90	3.50	Tree coming outside the proposed alignment. Hence this tree is recommended for Retention.
48.	366	Tremma orientalis	0.35	2.50	Tree coming outside the proposed alignment. Hence this tree is recommended for Retention.
49.	373 373A 373B	Seeme thangdi	0.55 0.65 0.60	2.50 2.50 2.50	Tree coming outside the proposed alignment. Hence this tree is recommended for Retention.

50.	374 374A	Seeme thangdi	0.40 0.35	2.50 2.50	Tree coming outside the proposed alignment. Hence this tree is recommended for Retention.
51.	375 375A	Seeme thangdi	0.40 0.35	2.50 2.50	Tree coming outside the proposed alignment. Hence this tree is recommended for Retention.
52.	376	Seeme thangdi	0.35	2.50	Tree coming outside the proposed alignment. Hence this tree is recommended for Retention.
53.	377	Tremma orientalis	0.90	3.00	Tree coming outside the proposed alignment. Hence this tree is recommended for Retention.
54.	378	Tremma orientalis	1.30	3.50	Tree coming outside the proposed alignment. Hence this tree is recommended for Retention.
55.	379	Tremma orientalis	0.80	2.50	Tree coming outside the proposed alignment. Hence this tree is recommended for Retention.
56.	569	Rain tree	3.80	3.50	Tree coming outside the proposed alignment. Hence this tree is recommended for Retention.
57.	570	Arali	1.85	3.50	Tree coming outside the proposed alignment. Hence this tree is recommended for Retention.
58.	747 747A	Nilgiri	1.45 1.30	5.50 4.50	The tree is standing outside the project area and recommended for retention.
59.	748	Nilgiri	0.50	3.50	The tree is standing outside the project area and recommended for retention.
60.	749	Tremma orientalis	0.55	2.50	The tree is standing outside the project area and recommended for retention.
61.	750	Tremma orientalis	0.35	2.50	The tree is standing outside the project area and recommended for retention.
62.	751	Nilgiri	0.45	3.00	The tree is standing outside the project area and recommended for retention.
63.	752	Honge	1.00	2.50	The tree is standing outside the project area and recommended for retention.
64.	753	Honge	1.40	3.00	The tree is standing outside the project area and recommended for retention.
65.	755	Kaadu jathi	1.25	3.00	The tree is standing outside the project area and recommended for retention.
66.	756	Mango	1.45	3.00	The tree is standing outside the project area and recommended for retention.
67.	757 757A	Halasu	0.90 1.10	3.00 3.00	The tree is standing outside the project area and recommended for retention.
68.	762	Ashoka	0.45	3.00	The tree is standing outside the project area and recommended for retention.
69.	763	Coconut	0.90	8.00	The tree is standing outside the project area and recommended for retention.
70.	764	Nerale	1.55	3.00	The tree is standing outside the project area and recommended for retention.
71.	765	Coconut	0.75	6.00	The tree is standing outside the project area and recommended for retention.


72.	869	Paper mulberry	0.65	2.50	The tree is standing outside the project area and recommended for retention.
73.	870	Paper mulberry	0.65	2.50	The tree is standing outside the project area and recommended for retention.
74.	874	Paper mulberry	0.45	2.50	The tree is standing outside the project area and recommended for retention.
75.	875	Paper mulberry	0.50	2.50	The tree is standing outside the project area and recommended for retention.
76.	876	Paper mulberry	0.35	2.50	The tree is standing outside the project area and recommended for retention.
77.	877	Paper mulberry	0.35	2.50	The tree is standing outside the project area and recommended for retention.
78.	878	Paper mulberry	0.75	3.00	The tree is standing outside the project area and recommended for retention.
79.	883	Acacia polyacanth	0.85	2.50	The tree is standing outside the project area and recommended for retention.
80.	907 907A 907B	Arali	2.60 1.65 2.05	3.50 3.00 3.00	The tree is standing outside the project area and recommended for retention.
81.	947	Silver	0.70	2.50	The tree is standing outside the project area and recommended for retention.
82.	948	Rain tree	1.60	3.00	The tree is standing outside the project area and recommended for retention.
83.	949	Sampige	0.85	2.50	The tree is standing outside the project area and recommended for retention.
84.	950	Mango	1.45	3.00	The tree is standing outside the project area and recommended for retention.
85.	951 951A	Mango	1.55 1.40	3.50 3.00	The tree is standing outside the project area and recommended for retention.
86.	952	Mango	2.70	3.50	The tree is standing outside the project area and recommended for retention.
87.	953	Sandal	0.35	2.50	The tree is standing outside the project area and recommended for retention.
88.	954	Neem	1.25	3.00	The tree is standing outside the project area and recommended for retention.
89.	955	Cherry	0.35	2.50	The tree is standing outside the project area and recommended for retention.
90.	956	Mango	1.95	3.00	The tree is standing outside the project area and recommended for retention.
91.	957	Silver	0.70	2.50	The tree is standing outside the project area and recommended for retention.
92.	958 958A 958B	Mango	0.85 0.70 0.65	3.00 2.50 2.50	The tree is standing outside the project area and recommended for retention.
93.	959	Nilgiri	1.90	5.00	The tree is standing outside the project area and recommended for retention.
94.	960	Rain tree	1.45	3.50	The tree is standing outside the project area and recommended for retention.
95.	961	Rain tree	1.10	3.00	The tree is standing outside the project area and recommended for retention.
96.	962	Benamina	2.30	3.50	The tree is standing outside the project area and recommended for retention.

97.	963	Benamina	0.30	2.50	The tree is standing outside the project area and recommended for retention.
98.	991	Rain tree	2.35	3.50	The tree is standing outside the project area and recommended for retention.
99.	1005	Rain tree	1.05	3.00	The tree is standing outside the project area and recommended for retention.
100.	UN-01 UN-01A	Mango	1.2 1.8	2 2.5	The tree is standing outside the project area and is recommended for retention.
101.	UN-02	Nilgiri	1.5	6	The tree is standing outside the project area and is recommended for retention.
102.	UN-03	Acacia	2.6	2	The tree is standing outside the project area and is recommended for retention.
103.	UN-04	Atti	1.2	3.5	The tree is standing outside the project area and is recommended for retention.
104.	UN-06	Paper mulberry	0.5	3	The tree is standing outside the project area and is recommended for retention.
105.	UN-07 UN-07A	Sisso	1.27 1.05	4 4.5	The tree is standing outside the project area and is recommended for retention.
106.	UN-08	Sisso	0.93	2	The tree is standing outside the project area and is recommended for retention.
107.	UN-09	Subabul	0.42	3.5	The tree is standing outside the project area and is recommended for retention.
108.	UN-10 UN-10A	Sisso	0.7 0.54	5 3	The tree is standing outside the project area and is recommended for retention.
109.	UN-11	Tremma orientalis	0.8	5	The tree is standing outside the project area and is recommended for retention.
110.	UN-12 UN-12A	Tremma orientalis	0.54 0.42	2 2	The tree is standing outside the project area and is recommended for retention.
111.	UN-13	Paper mulberry	1.1	3.5	The tree is standing outside the project area and is recommended for retention.
112.	UN-14	Paper mulberry	1.1	3.5	The tree is standing outside the project area and is recommended for retention.
113.	UN-15	Paper mulberry	0.56	2.5	The tree is standing outside the project area and is recommended for retention.
114.	UN-16	Paper mulberry	0.36	2	The tree is standing outside the project area and is recommended for retention.
115.	UN-17	Paper mulberry	0.33	2	The tree is standing outside the project area and is recommended for retention.
116.	UN-18 UN-18A	Samudra phala	1.15 1.15	6 6	The tree is standing outside the project area and is recommended for retention.
117.	UN-19	Halasu	2.4	2.5	The tree is standing outside the project area and is recommended for retention.
118.	UN-20	Tremma orientalis	1.2	2.5	The tree is standing outside the project area and is recommended for retention.
119.	UN-21	Paper mulberry	0.55	3.5	The tree is standing outside the project area and is recommended for retention.
120.	UN-22 UN-22A	Jacaranda	2.1 1.3	3.5 4.5	The tree is standing outside the project area and is recommended for retention.
121.	UN-23 UN-23A	Rain Tree	1.1 0.9	4.5 3	The tree is standing outside the project area and is recommended for retention.

122.	UN-24	Rain Tree	1.25	3.5	The tree is standing outside the project area and is recommended for retention.
123.	UN-25 UN-25A	Silver	0.43 0.4	3 4	The tree is standing outside the project area and is recommended for retention.
124.	UN-26	Silver	0.55	2.5	The tree is standing outside the project area and is recommended for retention.
125.	UN-27	Silver	0.76	3	The tree is standing outside the project area and is recommended for retention.
126.	UN-28	Silver	0.86	2	The tree is standing outside the project area and is recommended for retention.
127.	UN-29	Silver	0.9	2	The tree is standing outside the project area and is recommended for retention.
128.	UN-30	Silver	0.8	2.5	The tree is standing outside the project area and is recommended for retention.
129.	UN-31	Silver	0.9	2.5	The tree is standing outside the project area and is recommended for retention.
130.	UN-32	Teak Wood	0.28	2.5	The tree is standing outside the project area and is recommended for retention.
131.	UN-33 UN-33A	Teak Wood	0.25 0.2	2.6 2	The tree is standing outside the project area and is recommended for retention.
132.	UN-34	Teak Wood	0.55	2.5	The tree is standing outside the project area and is recommended for retention.
133.	UN-55	Paper mulberry	0.45	3.5	The tree is standing outside the project area and is recommended for retention.
134.	UN-59	Paper mulberry	0.9	4.5	The tree is standing outside the project area and is recommended for retention.
135.	UN-69	Mango	0.5		The tree is standing within the existing fabrication yard for sleeper. Hence recommended for retention.


 Tree Officer & 18/3/23
 Deputy Conservator of Forests
 BBMP, Bangalore.

122.	UN-24	Rain Tree	1.25	3.5	The tree is standing outside the project area and is recommended for retention.
123.	UN-25 UN-25A	Silver	0.43 0.4	3 4	The tree is standing outside the project area and is recommended for retention.
124.	UN-26	Silver	0.55	2.5	The tree is standing outside the project area and is recommended for retention.
125.	UN-27	Silver	0.76	3	The tree is standing outside the project area and is recommended for retention.
126.	UN-28	Silver	0.86	2	The tree is standing outside the project area and is recommended for retention.
127.	UN-29	Silver	0.9	2	The tree is standing outside the project area and is recommended for retention.
128.	UN-30	Silver	0.8	2.5	The tree is standing outside the project area and is recommended for retention.
129.	UN-31	Silver	0.9	2.5	The tree is standing outside the project area and is recommended for retention.
130.	UN-32	Teak Wood	0.28	2.5	The tree is standing outside the project area and is recommended for retention.
131.	UN-33 UN-33A	Teak Wood	0.25 0.2	2.6 2	The tree is standing outside the project area and is recommended for retention.
132.	UN-34	Teak Wood	0.55	2.5	The tree is standing outside the project area and is recommended for retention.
133.	UN-55	Paper mulberry	0.45	3.5	The tree is standing outside the project area and is recommended for retention.
134.	UN-59	Paper mulberry	0.9	4.5	The tree is standing outside the project area and is recommended for retention.
135.	UN-69	Mango	0.5		The tree is standing within the existing fabrication yard for sleeper. Hence recommended for retention.
Total trees for Retention = 135 Nos.					


 Tree Officer & 17/3/23
 Deputy Conservator of Forests
 BBMP, Bangalore.

Transplantation of Trees

**Project Area : K-RIDE Project BSRP Corridor 2 package-2 extending from
Lottegollahalli Station to Chikkabanavara Railway Station**

Sl. No	Tree no.	Name of Species	Girth (In Mts)	Height (In Mts)	Remarks
1.	46	Sisso	0.50	2.50	Tree is young and healthy, not found any visible defects. Hence, this tree is recommended for translocation.
2.	48	Sisso	0.50	3.00	Tree is young, healthy, not found any visible defects. Hence, this tree is recommended for translocation.
3.	49	Tapasi	0.50	2.50	Tree is young, healthy, not found any visible defects. Root ball of earth can be easily excavated for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for translocation.
4.	101	Mahagony	0.85	3.50	Tree is young, healthy, not found any visible defects. Tree is located about 3 meters away from tree No.114. Hence, carefully excavate root ball of earth. This tree is recommended for translocation.
5.	102	Mahagony	0.75	3.00	Tree is young, healthy not found any significant visible defects. Tree is located near to tree No.103. Suggested to excavate the root ball of earth carefully. Hence, this tree is recommended for translocation.
6.	104	Kaadu badami	0.55	4.00	Tree is young, healthy not found any significant visible defects. Tree is located near to tree No.103. Suggested to excavate the root ball of earth carefully. Hence, this tree is recommended for translocation.
7.	116	Kaadu badami	0.45	2.50	Tree is young, healthy not found any significant defects. Hence, it is recommended for translocation.
8.	118	Dalichanda	0.35	2.50	Tree is young, healthy, not found any significant visible defects, but tree is located very near to tree No.119. Carefully excavate the appropriate root ball of earth for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for translocation.

9.	119	Kaadu badami	0.40	2.50	significant visible defects, but tree is located very near to tree No.118. Carefully excavate the appropriate root ball of earth for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for translocation.
10.	237	Buruga	1.15	3.50	Tree is found to be healthy, not found any significant defective symptoms. Hence, this tree is recommended for translocation.
11.	241	Honge	0.30	2.50	Tree is young, healthy, not found any significant visible defects. Hence, it is recommended for translocation.
12.	242	Memosops elangi	0.45	2.50	Ever green tree, young, healthy, not found any significant visible defects. Hence, it is recommended for translocation
13.	243	Tremma orientalis	0.65	3.00	Tree is young, healthy, not found any significant visible defects. Hence, it is recommended for translocation
14.	259	Honge	0.35	2.50	Tree is young, healthy, not found any visible defects. Hence, this tree is recommended for translocation.
15.	260	Honge	0.25	2.50	Tree is young, healthy, not found any visible defects. Hence, this tree is recommended for translocation
16.	261	Honge	0.30	2.50	Tree is young, healthy, not found any visible defects. Hence, this tree is recommended for translocation
17.	262	Honge	0.45	2.50	Tree is young, healthy, not found any visible defects. Hence, this tree is recommended for translocation
18.	264	Honge	0.25	2.50	Tree is young, healthy, not found any visible defects. Hence, this tree is recommended for translocation
19.	276	Basavanapadha	0.30	2.50	Tree is young and healthy. Hence recommended for translocation.
20.	280	Tabebuia rosea	0.65	2.50	Tree is young and healthy. Hence recommended for Translocation.
21.	344	Rain tree	1.00	3.00	Tree is young and healthy. Hence recommended for Translocation.
22.	358	Tremma orientalis	0.40	2.50	The tree is young and healthy. Hence recommended for Translocation
23.	362 362A	Atti	1.90 0.35	3.50 2.50	Tree small branch can be pruned and transplanted to nearby area. Hence recommended for Translocation

24.	475	Ala	1.20	3.00	Tree is healthy. . Hence recommended for Translocation.
25.	573	Rain tree	0.90	3.00	Tree is young and healthy. . Hence recommended for Translocation
26.	586	Rain tree	0.35	2.50	Tree is young and healthy. . Hence recommended for Translocation.
27.	598	Kakke	0.65	3.00	Tree is young and healthy. . Hence recommended for Translocation.
28.	599	Kakke	0.45	2.50	Tree is young and healthy. . Hence recommended for Translocation.
29.	616	Rain tree	0.35	2.50	Tree is young and healthy. . Hence recommended for Translocation
30.	624	Rain tree	0.90	2.50	Tree is young and healthy. . Hence recommended for Translocation
31.	625	Rain tree	0.40	2.50	Tree is young and healthy. . Hence recommended for Translocation
32.	626	Rain tree	0.25	2.50	Tree is young and healthy. . Hence recommended for Translocation
33.	639	Rain tree	0.50	3.00	Tree is young and healthy. . Hence recommended for Translocation
34.	640	Hebbevu	0.45	2.50	Tree is young and healthy. . Hence recommended for Translocation
35.	650	Rain tree	0.55	2.50	Tree is young and healthy. . Hence recommended for Translocation
36.	653	Rain tree	0.80	2.50	Tree is young and healthy. . Hence recommended for Translocation
37.	654	Rain tree	0.60	2.50	Tree is young and healthy. . Hence recommended for Translocation
38.	667	Rain tree	0.70	3.00	Tree is young and healthy. . Hence recommended for Translocation
39.	701	Atti	1.20	3.00	Tree is young and healthy. . Hence recommended for Translocation
40.	702	Halasu	0.75	2.50	Tree is young and healthy. . Hence recommended for Translocation
41.	768	Kaadu badami	0.35	2.50	The tree is standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of ramp. In consideration to the species, site condition (feasible for excavation of root ball) and project proposal. Hence, this tree is recommended for transplantation.
42.	867/1	Sandal	0.30	2.50	The tree is standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, site condition (feasible for excavation of root ball) and project

					proposal. Hence, this tree is recommended for transplantation.
43.	873	Sisso	0.45	2.50	The tree standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, site condition (feasible for excavation of root ball) and project proposal. Hence, this tree is recommended for transplantation.
44.	964	Benjamina	0.30	2.50	The tree standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species and project proposal. Hence, this tree is recommended for transplantation.
45.	969	Benjamina	0.30	2.50	The tree standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species and project proposal. Hence, this tree is recommended for transplantation.
46.	971	Kaadu badami	0.35	2.50	The tree standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species and project proposal. Hence, this tree is recommended for transplantation.
47.	972	Benjamina	0.40	2.50	The tree standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species and project proposal. Hence, this tree is recommended for transplantation.
Total trees for Translocation = 47 Nos.					


Tree Officer &

Deputy Conservator of Forests
BBMP, Bangalore.

Felling of Trees

**Project Area : K-RIDE Project BSRP Corridor 2 package-2 extending from
Lottegollahalli Station to Chikkabanavara Railway Station**

Sl. No	Tree no.	Name of Species	Girth (In Mts)	Height (In Mts)	Remarks
1.	1 1A	Nilgiri	0.50 0.45	4.00 3.50	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
2.	2	Nilgiri	0.50	4.00	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
3.	3	Nilgiri	0.65	4.50	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
4.	4 4A	Nilgiri	0.50 0.45	4.00 4.00	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
5.	5 5A	Nilgiri	0.75 0.65	5.00 4.50	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
6.	6	Nilgiri	0.50	4.00	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
7.	7	Nilgiri	0.70	5.00	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
8.	8 8A	Nilgiri	1.00 0.80	5.50 4.50	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
9.	9	Nilgiri	0.40	4.00	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
10.	10	Nilgiri	0.55	4.00	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
11.	11	Nilgiri	0.40	4.00	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.

12.	12 12A	Nilgiri	0.85 0.75	4.50 3.50	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
13.	13	Nilgiri	0.60	4.00	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
14.	14	Nilgiri	0.55	4.00	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
15.	15	Baage	0.80	3.00	Tree is healthy, surrounded by eucalyptus tree, hence, the root ball of earth cannot be excavated for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
16.	16	Nilgiri	0.50	4.00	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
17.	17	Nilgiri	0.55	4.00	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
18.	18	Nilgiri	0.50	4.00	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
19.	19	Nilgiri	0.55	4.00	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
20.	20	Nilgiri	0.65	4.50	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
21.	21	Nilgiri	0.35	4.00	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
22.	22	Nilgiri	0.55	4.50	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
23.	23	Nilgiri	0.30	4.00	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
24.	24	Nilgiri	0.70	4.50	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
25.	25	Nilgiri	0.50	4.00	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not

					qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
26.	26	Nilgiri	0.35	4.00	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
27.	27	Nilgiri	0.55	4.00	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
28.	28	Nilgiri	0.95	5.00	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
29.	29 29A	Nilgiri	1.05 1.00	5.00 4.50	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
30.	30	Nilgiri	1.00	5.00	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
31.	31	Nilgiri	0.95	4.50	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
32.	32 32A	Nilgiri	0.60 0.60	4.00 4.00	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
33.	33 33A	Nilgiri	0.85 0.50	4.50 4.00	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
34.	34	Nilgiri	1.15	5.50	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
35.	35	Tapasi	0.40	2.50	Lean tree, located near to existing drainage and the roots of this tree are exposed to outside. Hence, this tree is not suitable for translocation. It is recommended for felling.
36.	36 36A	Peltophorum	0.45 0.35	3.00 3.00	Tree has forked branches and mechanically damaged and it is located near to the existing compound wall and also near to tree No.47. Hence, the root ball of earth cannot be excavated for translocation. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
37.	37	Sisso	0.75	3.00	Tree is young and healthy, and it is located very near to tree No.38 (less than 1 meter), excavation of appropriate root ball of earth is not possible. Hence, it is recommended for felling.

38.	38 38A 38B	Tapasi	0.95 0.70 0.75	3.50 2.50 3.00	Tree has multiple branches, and it is located near to tree No.37. This tree is lean and the main bole is damaged (debarked). Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
39.	39	Rain tree	2.20	3.50	This tree has multiple branches (6 Nos.) and it lean, located near to tree No. 40 (less than 1 meter). Hence, it is recommended for felling.
40.	40	Tapasi	0.40	2.50	This tree is located very near to tree No.39 and it is lean and weak, not found healthy. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
41.	41	Tapasi	1.05	3.50	The branches of this tree are already pruned and the main bole is mechanically damaged. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
42.	42	Neem	0.50	2.50	The bole is twisted at the bottom and looks to be not healthy. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
43.	43 43A	Rain tree	1.15 0.95	3.50 2.50	Tree has forked branches and matured. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
44.	44 44A 44B 44C	Tapasi	0.40 0.30 0.40 0.35	2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50	Tree has multiple branches and it is located near to tree No.45. Hence, the appropriate root ball of earth cannot be excavated for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
45.	45	Tapasi	0.40	2.50	Tree is young but lean and it is very close to tree No.44. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
46.	47	Peltophorum	0.30	2.50	This tree is located very near to tree No.36 and also to compound wall. Taking root ball of earth is a big problem. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
47.	50	Nilgiri	0.80	4.50	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
48.	51	Nilgiri	0.65	4.50	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
49.	52	Nilgiri	0.60	3.50	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
50.	53	Nilgiri	1.10	5.00	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
51.	54	Tapasi	0.40	2.50	This tree is located very near to other eucalyptus trees. Hence, root ball of earth is not possible for excavation. Hence this tree is recommended for felling.
52.	55	Nilgiri	0.85	4.50	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is

					recommended for felling.
53.	56	Nilgiri	0.90	5.00	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
54.	57	Nilgiri	0.40	4.00	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
55.	58	Nilgiri	0.60	4.00	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
56.	59	Nilgiri	0.75	4.00	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
57.	60	Nilgiri	0.70	4.00	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
58.	61	Nilgiri	0.70	4.00	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
59.	62	Nilgiri	0.75	4.00	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
60.	63	Nilgiri	0.45	3.50	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
61.	64	Nilgiri	0.50	4.00	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
62.	65	Nilgiri	0.50	4.00	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
63.	66	Nilgiri	0.60	4.50	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
64.	67 67A	Nilgiri	0.75 0.50	4.50 4.00	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
65.	68	Nilgiri	0.35	3.50	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
66.	69	Nilgiri	0.45	4.00	The species characteristics of this tree and

					also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
67.	70 70A	Nilgiri	0.60 0.60	3.50 3.00	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
68.	71	Nilgiri	0.75	4.50	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
69.	72	Nilgiri	0.75	4.00	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
70.	73 73A	Nilgiri	1.05 1.05	5.00 5.50	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
71.	74 74A	Nilgiri	0.80 0.70	4.00 4.00	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
72.	75 75A	Nilgiri	0.65 0.55	4.00 4.00	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
73.	76	Nilgiri	0.50	4.00	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
74.	77	Nilgiri	0.75	4.50	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
75.	78 78A 78B	Nilgiri	0.55 0.55 0.35	4.00 4.00 4.00	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
76.	79	Nilgiri	0.45	4.00	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
77.	80	Nilgiri	0.55	4.00	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
78.	81	Nilgiri	0.60	4.50	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
79.	82	Nilgiri	0.75	4.50	Tree is young and healthy, not This tree is very near to tree No.85, 84 and 83. Hence the root ball can't be excavated. Hence this

					tree is recommended for felling.
80.	83	Tapasi	0.40	3.00	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
81.	84	Nilgiri	0.95	5.00	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
82.	85	Nilgiri	0.90	4.50	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
83.	86	Tapasi	0.50	3.00	This tree located very near to tree No.87 (less than 1 mt), the bole of tree is damaged. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
84.	87	Tapasi	0.60	3.00	Tree is young and healthy, and this tree is located very near to tree No.86, root ball of earth cannot be excavated. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
85.	88	Nilgiri	0.70	4.00	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
86.	89	Nilgiri	0.85	4.50	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
87.	90 90A	Nilgiri	1.15 0.45	5.50 4.00	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
88.	91	Nilgiri	0.90	5.00	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
89.	92	Nilgiri	0.70	4.50	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
90.	93	Nilgiri	1.00	5.00	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
91.	94	Nilgiri	1.00	5.00	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
92.	95	Nilgiri	0.55	4.50	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
93.	96	Nilgiri	0.60	4.50	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not

					qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
94.	97	Nilgiri	1.00	5.00	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
95.	98 98A	Tapasi	1.00 0.70	4.00 3.00	Tree has multiple branches (3 Nos.) and it is located very near to tree No.97 and 96, the root ball of earth cannot be excavated. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
96.	99	Nilgiri	0.70	4.50	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
97.	100 100A	Nilgiri	0.80 0.75	4.50 4.00	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
98.	103 103A	Badam	0.55 0.40	3.50 3.00	Tree has forked branches, and tree is located near to tree No.102. Excavation of root ball of earth is not possible. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
99.	105	Nilgiri	1.00	5.50	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
100.	106	Nilgiri	1.00	5.00	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
101.	107 107A	Nilgiri	1.25 0.40	5.00 4.00	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
102.	108	Nilgiri	0.35	4.00	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
103.	109	Nilgiri	2.10	5.50	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
104.	110	Cherry	0.65	2.50	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
105.	111	Cherry	0.55	2.50	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
106.	112	Cherry	0.30	2.50	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.

107.	113	Nilgiri	1.10	5.00	The species characteristics of this tree and also cost involved in translocation, does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
108.	114	Dalichanda	0.30	2.50	Tree has forked branches, mechanically damaged and lean. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
109.	115	Dalichanda	0.60	2.50	Tree is lean, mechanically damaged and dole is debarked. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
110.	117	Dalichanda	0.30	2.50	Tree has multiple branches (3 Nos) from the base of the bole and tree is lean. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
111.	120	Neem	0.75	3.00	Tree has multiple branches (4Nos.) and this is a hard wood species, it will not survive upon translocation. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
112.	121	Baage	0.90	3.50	Matured tree, two main branches of this tree was dried. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
113.	122	Neem	0.80	2.50	Hard wood species, it will not survive /thrive upon translocation. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
114.	123 123A	Neem	0.40 0.30	2.50 2.50	Tree has forked branches, and this tree is located very near to tree No.124. Hard wood species, it will not survive /thrive upon translocation. Hence, it is recommended for felling
115.	124	Neem	0.40	2.50	Tree is located very near to tree No.123. Hard wood species, it will not survive /thrive upon translocation. Hence, it is recommended for felling
116.	125	Neem	0.40	2.50	Tree has forked branches and mechanically damaged and Hard wood species, it will not survive /thrive upon translocation. Hence, it is recommended for felling. /
117.	126 126A	Buruga	0.35 0.40	2.50 2.50	Tree has forked branches, and it is located on rocky area. Tree is dead. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
118.	127	Tapasi	0.90	3.00	Tree found to be healthy, and excavation of root ball of earth is not possible, because it is located on the rocky area. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
119.	128	Neem	0.75	2.50	Tree has multiple branches (3Nos) and hard wood species, not survive upon translocation and also this tree is located on rocky area. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
120.	129	Neem	0.65	2.50	This tree is located on rocky area, not possible to excavate root ball of earth, and also this tree is hard wood species, not survive upon translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
121.	130	Neem	0.65	2.50	This tree is located on rocky area, not possible to excavate root ball of earth, and

					also this tree is hard wood species, not survive upon translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
122.	131	Echalu	0.60	6.00	Tree found to be not healthy and it is located on rocky area. Hence, appropriate root ball of earth cannot be excavated. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
123.	132	Buruga	0.40	2.50	Tree found to be not healthy and it is located on rocky area. Hence, appropriate root ball of earth cannot be excavated. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
124.	133	Neem	0.45	2.50	Tree found to be not healthy and it is located on rocky area. Hence, appropriate root ball of earth cannot be excavated. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
125.	134 134A 134B	Tapasi	0.75 0.75 0.55	3.00 2.50 2.50	Tree has multiple branches, and tree found to be not healthy and it is located on rocky area. Hence, appropriate root ball of earth cannot be excavated. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
126.	135	Neem	0.45	2.50	Tree found to be not healthy and it is located on rocky area. Hence, appropriate root ball of earth cannot be excavated. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
127.	136 136A 136B	Tapasi	0.65 0.75 0.30	2.50 3.00 2.50	Tree has multiple branches, and tree found to be not healthy and it is located on rocky area. Hence, appropriate root ball of earth cannot be excavated. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
128.	137	Neem	0.45	2.50	Tree found to be not healthy and it is located on rocky area. Hence, appropriate root ball of earth cannot be excavated. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
129.	138 138A	Neem	0.30 0.30	2.50 2.50	Tree has forked branches and found to be not healthy and it is located on rocky area. Hence, appropriate root ball of earth cannot be excavated. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
130.	138/1	Echalu	0.95	6.00	Tree found to be not healthy and it is located on rocky area. Hence, appropriate root ball of earth cannot be excavated. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
131.	138/2	Echalu	1.00	6.00	Tree found to be not healthy and it is located on rocky area. Hence, appropriate root ball of earth cannot be excavated. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
132.	139	Neem	0.30	2.50	Tree found to be not healthy and it is located on rocky area. Hence, appropriate root ball of earth cannot be excavated. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
133.	140	Neem	0.60	2.50	Tree found to be not healthy and it is located on rocky area. Hence, appropriate root ball of earth cannot be excavated. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.

134.	141 141A	Neem	0.55 0.40	2.50 2.50	Tree found to be not healthy and it is located on rocky area. Hence, appropriate root ball of earth cannot be excavated. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling
135.	142	Neem	0.45	2.50	Tree found to be not healthy and it is located on rocky area. Hence, appropriate root ball of earth cannot be excavated. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling
136.	142/1	Echalu	0.70	4.00	Tree found to be not healthy and it is located on rocky area. Hence, appropriate root ball of earth cannot be excavated. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling
137.	143	Neem	0.65	2.50	Tree found to be not healthy and it is located on rocky area. Hence, appropriate root ball of earth cannot be excavated. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling
138.	144	Neem	0.65	2.50	Tree found to be not healthy and it is located on rocky area. Hence, appropriate root ball of earth cannot be excavated. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling
139.	145 145A	Neem	0.65 0.40	3.00 2.50	Tree has forked branches and found to be not healthy and it is located on rocky area. Hence, appropriate root ball of earth cannot be excavated. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling
140.	146	Neem	0.35	2.50	Tree found to be not healthy and it is located on rocky area. Hence, appropriate root ball of earth cannot be excavated. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling
141.	147 147A	Neem	0.45 0.40	2.50 2.50	Tree has forked branches and found to be not healthy and it is located on rocky area. Hence, appropriate root ball of earth cannot be excavated. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling
142.	148	Neem	0.30	2.50	Tree found to be not healthy and it is located on rocky area. Hence, appropriate root ball of earth cannot be excavated. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling
143.	151	Neem	0.50	2.50	Tree is young and is located on the rocky area, not possible to excavate the root ball of earth. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
144.	153	Honge	0.35	2.50	The bole of this tree is mechanically damaged and it is infested with wood borer and it has forked branches and the point of forking the branches are split. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
145.	155	Honge	0.45	2.50	Tree is slant and bent 45 degree angle at 1.5 meter height from the ground level and found to be not healthy. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
146.	157 157A	Honge	0.45 0.55	2.50 2.50	Tree has multiple branches (4 Nos.) and the main trunk is mechanically damaged, the bole split upto 1 meter height from the ground level. Hence, it is recommended for felling.

147.	159	Rain tree	1.40	3.50	Matured tree and it is located very near to tree No.169. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
148.	160	Tapasi	0.60	2.50	Tree is young, and healthy and it is located very near to tree No.159 (less than 0.5 feet), root ball of earth cannot be excavated. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
149.	163	Honge	0.40	2.50	Tree was already pruned and it looks like a stump, not qualified for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
150.	164	Honge	0.40	2.50	Tree is bent towards one side, and lean, and it is infested with wood borer. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
151.	165 165A	Honge	0.35 0.30	2.50 2.50	Tree has multiple branches (3 Nos.) from the base of the bole. Some portion of the bole is infested with wood borer. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
152.	166 166A	Honge	0.30 0.30	2.50 2.50	Tree has multiple branches (7 Nos.), not qualified for translocation since, excavation of root ball of earth is not possible. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
153.	167 167A	Honge	0.45 0.35	2.50 2.50	Tree has multiple branches (3Nos.) and the bole is debarked. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
154.	168	Honge	0.60	3.00	Tree is young, the bole is mechanically damaged, and the damaged portion is infested with wood borer. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
155.	169	Kaadu jathi	0.30	2.50	Tree young and is located on the rocky area, not possible to excavate root ball of earth. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
156.	170	Elache	0.60	4.00	Tree is located on the rocky area, not possible to excavate root ball of earth. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling
157.	171	Ankole	0.50	2.50	Tree is located on the rocky area, not possible to excavate root ball of earth. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling
158.	172	Ankole	0.40	2.50	Tree is located on the rocky area, not possible to excavate root ball of earth. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling
159.	173 173A	Neem	0.50 0.55	3.00 2.50	Tree is located on the rocky area, not possible to excavate root ball of earth. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling
160.	205 205A	Hole dasavala	0.30 0.50	2.50 2.50	Tree has forked branches; the branches of this tree is debarked. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
161.	206	Honge	0.40	2.50	Tree has multiple branches (6 Nos.) and some of the branches are pruned and pruned branches are infested with wood borer. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
162.	207	Honge	0.45	2.50	Tree has forked branches and one branch is dead and found fissure at the bottom of the bole. Hence, it is recommended for felling.

163.	208	Rain tree	0.45	2.50	Tree has multiple branches (6 Nos.) and it looks like epicormic shoots. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
164.	209 209A 209B	Elache	0.50 0.55 0.40	4.00 4.00 4.00	The species character and cost involved in translocation of tree does not qualify for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
165.	210	Ankole	0.35	2.50	Tree young, healthy, not found any significant visible defects and is located on rocky area, not possible to excavate root ball of earth. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
166.	213	Neem	0.65	2.50	Tree is young and healthy, located on rocky area, not possible to excavate root ball of earth. The species belongs to hard wood, not survive upon translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
167.	214	Neem	0.40	2.50	Tree is young and healthy, located on rocky area, not possible to excavate root ball of earth. The species belongs to hard wood, not survive upon translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
168.	215	Neem	0.40	2.50	Tree is young and healthy, located on rocky area, not possible to excavate root ball of earth. The species belongs to hard wood, not survive upon translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
169.	216	Neem	0.70	3.00	Tree is young and healthy, located on rocky area, not possible to excavate root ball of earth. The species belongs to hard wood, not survive upon translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
170.	217 217A 217B 217C	Rain tree	0.60 0.65 0.50 0.40	3.00 2.50 2.50 2.50	Tree has multiple branches (4 Nos.), and located on rocky area, not possible to excavate root ball of earth for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
171.	219 219A	Rain tree	1.25 1.05	3.50 2.50	Tree has forked branches and two branches are lean and bent in opposite direction and looks to be not healthy. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
172.	222	Billvapatre	0.65	2.50	This tree is located near to tree No.223, but tree is young and healthy, not possible to excavate the root ball. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
173.	223	Billvapatre	0.80	2.50	This tree is located near to tree No.222, but tree is young and healthy, not possible to excavate the root ball. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
174.	224	Honge	1.25	3.00	Dead tree. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
175.	225	Elache	1.25	4.00	Dead tree. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.

176.	226	Honge	1.10	3.00	Dead tree. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
177.	228 228A	Kaadu jathi	0.60 0.80	2.50 2.50	Tree has multiple branches (5Nos.) and not found healthy. Hence it is recommended for felling.
178.	229	Acacia leucophloea	1.50	3.50	Matured tree, the bole is twisted. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
179.	230 230A	Acacia leucophloea	0.90 0.95	2.50 2.50	Tree has forked branches, considering the characters of species and cost involved in the translocation, this tree is recommended for felling.
180.	231	Sandal	0.40	2.00	Tree has forked branches, and near to tree No.230, not possible to excavate root ball of earth. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
181.	232	Buruga	2.20	3.50	Matured tree, this tree may not survive upon translocation, since the metabolic activity decreases with the increase in age of the tree. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
182.	233	Elache	0.75	4.00	Tree is recommended for felling by considering the tree characteristics and cost involved in the process of translocation.
183.	235 235A	karijaali	0.65 0.65	2.50 2.50	Tree has forked branches, based on the cost involved in the process of translocation. This tree is recommended for felling.
184.	238 238A 238B 238C 238D	Rain tree	0.75 0.65 0.60 0.30 0.65	2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50	Tree has multiple branches, and suitable for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
185.	239	Neem	0.65	2.50	Tree located very near to tree No.240, not possible to excavate root ball of earth and also this is hard wood species, not survive upon translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
186.	240	Echalu	1.00	3.00	Tree located very near to tree No.239, not possible to excavate root ball of earth. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
187.	244 244A	Acacia leucophloea	0.45 0.40	2.50 2.50	Tree has forked branches, not worth for translocation, in view of economics involved in translocation process. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
188.	245 245A 245B	Mutthaga	0.85 0.40 0.45	4.00 3.00 2.50	Tree has multiple branches, matured, not suitable for translocation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
189.	246	Rain tree	0.95	3.00	Healthy tree and located near the existing compound wall, not possible to excavate root ball of earth. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
190.	247	Echalu	0.75	7.00	Tree is not worth for translocation in view of economic and environment issues. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.

191.	263 263A 263B	Paper mulberry	1.10 1.15 0.45	4.00 3.50 2.50	Tree has multiple branches, considering the cost involved in the process of translocation, this tree is recommended for felling.
192.	265	Karijaali	1.60	3.00	Dead tree/dried. Recommended for felling.
193.	266	Acacia Polyacanth	0.60	2.50	Tree is not worth for translocation in view of ecological and economics point of view. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
194.	267	Christmas	0.45	3.00	Tree is not worth for translocation in view of ecological and economics point of view. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
195.	268 268A	Arali	0.60 0.55	2.50 2.50	Tree No.266, 267, 268, 269, 270, 271, 272 and 273 are located near the railway crossing and the distance/spacing between these trees is 1- 2 meter, not possible to excavate the root ball of earth for excavation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
196.	269	Halasu	0.90	2.50	Tree No.266, 267, 268, 269, 270, 271, 272 and 273 are located near the railway crossing and the distance/spacing between these trees is 1- 2 meter, not possible to excavate the root ball of earth for excavation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
197.	270	Subabul	0.45	4.00	Tree is not worth for translocation in view of ecological and economics point of view. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
198.	271	Neem	0.45	2.50	Tree No.266, 267, 268, 269, 270, 271, 272 and 273 are located near the railway crossing and the distance/spacing between these trees is 1- 2 meter, not possible to excavate the root ball of earth for excavation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
199.	272 272A	Honge	0.90 0.45	2.50 2.50	Tree No.266, 267, 268, 269, 270, 271, 272 and 273 are located near the railway crossing and the distance/spacing between these trees is 1- 2 meter, not possible to excavate the root ball of earth for excavation. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
200.	273	Christmas	0.35	3.00	Tree is not worth for translocation in view of ecological and economics point of view. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
201.	274 274A	Rain tree	2.20 1.80	3.50 2.50	Tree has forked branches and matured, tree may not survive upon translocation, since the decreased metabolic activity. Hence, this tree is recommended for felling.
202.	275	Rain tree	1.00	2.50	Fast growing tree, and the root architecture of this tree is shallow. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
203.	278	Dalichanda	0.45	2.50	Tree is bended not healthy. Hence recommended for felling .
204.	279 279A	Honge	0.45 0.85	2.50 3.00	Tree is forked and root ball not possible. Hence recommended for felling.

205.	281	Honge	0.65	2.50	Tree with galls, not healthy. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
206.	282	Shivane	0.85	2.50	Tree is bended and not healthy. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
207.	283	Shivane	0.70	2.50	Tree is forked and not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling
208.	284 284A	Shivane	0.55 0.50	2.50 2.50	Tree is forked and not possible for transplantation. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
209.	295	Rain tree	1.60	3.50	Tree is forked and not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling
210.	335	Tremma orientalis	1.00	3.00	Tree is already dried and not healthy. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
211.	336	Acacia leucophloea	0.50	2.50	Tree is forked and not possible for transplantation. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
212.	354	Echalu	1.35	6.00	Tree is bended and not possible for transplantation. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
213.	356 356A 356B 356C	Seeme thangdi	0.50 0.45 0.45 0.45	2.50 2.50 2.50 2.00	Tree is forked. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
214.	357	Acacia	0.60	3.00	Tree is hard wood in nature, not possible for transplantation. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
215.	360	Acacia	0.30	2.50	Tree is bended and not healthy. Hence this tree is recommended for felling.
216.	363	Tremma orientalis	0.55	2.50	Tree is forked, not healthy. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
217.	370	Tremma orientalis	0.75	2.50	Tree is forked and not possible for transplantation. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
218.	371	Tapasi	0.65	2.50	Tree is damaged and not healthy. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
219.	372	Tremma orientalis	0.65	2.50	Tree is dead and fallen. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
220.	388/1	Sandal	0.25	2.50	Tree is bended and not healthy. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
221.	427	Kaadu jathi	0.85	3.00	Tree is dried and standing. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
222.	428	Arali	3.20	3.50	Tree is matured and not possible for transplantation. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
223.	429	Atti	3.80	3.50	Tree is matured and not possible for transplantation. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
224.	430	Billvapatre	1.05	2.50	Tree belong hard wood and not possible for transplantation. . Hence this tree is

					recommended for felling
225.	432	Sampige	0.80	2.50	Tree is not healthy. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
226.	433 433A	Spethodia	1.65 0.85	3.50 3.00	Tree is forked and matured. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
227.	434 434A	Spethodia	1.45 0.70	4.00 2.50	Tree is forked and matured. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
228.	435	Spethodia	3.80	3.50	Tree is forked and matured. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
229.	437 437A	Kaduhunase	0.75 0.65	2.50 2.50	Tree is forked and matured. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
230.	438 438A	Kaduhunase	1.05 0.65	3.00 2.50	Tree is forked and matured. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
231.	439	Spethodia	0.40	2.50	Tree is bended, not healthy. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
232.	440 440A 440B 440C	Spethodia	0.95 0.65 0.65 0.35	3.00 2.50 2.50 2.50	Tree is forked and matured. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
233.	441	Kaduhunase	0.75	2.50	Tree is matured and hard wood in nature. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
234.	455 455A	Paper mulberry	0.75 0.70	3.00 2.50	Tree is forked and matured. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
235.	456 456A	Kari Jaali	0.90 0.75	2.50 2.50	Tree is forked and matured. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
236.	464/1 464/1A 464/1B 464/1C 464/1D	Seeme thangdi	0.35 0.25 0.35 0.35 0.25	2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50	Tree is forked and matured. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
237.	469 469A 469B	Paper mulberry	1.10 0.80 0.55	3.50 2.50 2.50	Tree is forked and matured. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
238.	470	Paper mulberry	0.60	3.00	Tree is exotic invasive species naturally regenerated in the area. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
239.	471	Paper mulberry	0.60	3.00	Tree is exotic invasive species naturally regenerated in the area. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
240.	472	Paper mulberry	1.00	3.50	Tree is exotic invasive species naturally regenerated in the area. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
241.	473	Paper mulberry	1.40	3.50	Tree is exotic invasive species naturally regenerated in the area. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
242.	474	Paper mulberry	1.20	3.00	Tree is exotic invasive species naturally regenerated in the area. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
243.	476	Kaadu jathi	0.40	2.50	Tree is bended and not healthy. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling

244.	483	Tapasi	0.70	2.50	Tree is naturally regenerated and hard wood species. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
245.	484	Tapasi	0.40	2.50	Tree is naturally regenerated and hard wood species. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
246.	485 485A 485B 485C 485D 485E	Rain tree	0.80 0.70 0.65 0.60 0.45 0.35	3.00 3.00 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50	Tree is forked and not possible for transplantation. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
247.	486 486A 486B 486C	Jacaranda	0.65 0.80 0.70 0.70	3.00 3.00 2.50 2.50	Tree is forked and not possible for transplantation. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
248.	487 487A 487B	Spethodia	1.25 0.55 0.45	3.00 2.50 2.50	Tree is forked and not possible for transplantation. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
249.	490	Echalu	1.20	8.00	Tree is matured and bended. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
250.	491	Echalu	1.00	7.00	Tree is matured and bended. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
251.	492	Honge	0.35	2.50	Tree is bended, not possible for transplantation. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
252.	493	Honge	0.30	2.50	Tree is forked and bended. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
253.	494	Tremma orientalis	0.90	3.00	Tree is forked and bended. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
254.	495 495A 495B	Tremma orientalis	0.30 0.30 0.30	2.50 2.50 2.50	Tree is forked and not healthy. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
255.	497	Kaadu jathi	0.35	2.50	Tree branch is pruned and not healthy. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
256.	498 498A 498B	Tremma orientalis	0.35 0.30 0.30	2.50 2.50 2.50	Tree is multi-forked and not healthy. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
257.	500/1	Tremma orientalis	0.30	2.50	Tree is bended, not healthy. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
258.	501	Paper mulberry	0.35	3.00	Tree is invasive hard wood species. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
259.	502	Paper mulberry	0.35	3.50	Tree is invasive hard wood species. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
260.	503	Paper mulberry	0.30	3.00	Tree is invasive hard wood species. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
261.	504	Paper mulberry	0.30	3.00	Tree is invasive hard wood species. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
262.	505	Paper mulberry	0.30	2.50	Tree is invasive hard wood species. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
263.	506	Paper	0.50	3.00	Tree is invasive hard wood species. . Hence

		mulberry			this tree is recommended for felling
264.	507	Paper mulberry	0.75	3.00	Tree is invasive hard wood species. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
265.	509 509A	Honge	0.30 0.30	2.50 2.50	Tree is forked and bended. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
266.	517	Subabul	0.45	3.00	Tree is forked and bended. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
267.	519	Tremma orientalis	0.35	2.50	Tree is bended and not healthy. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
268.	519/1	Tremma orientalis	0.35	2.50	Tree is bended and not healthy. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
269.	526	Elache	0.50	3.00	Tree is bended and not possible for transplantation. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
270.	527 527A	Seeme thangdi	0.35 0.30	2.50 2.50	Tree is forked and not possible for transplantation. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
271.	527/1	Tremma orientalis	0.70	3.00	Tree is bended, not healthy. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
272.	528	Ailanthus	0.75	3.00	Tree is matured and not possible for transplantation. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
273.	529	Tremma orientalis	0.40	2.50	Tree is invasive and ecologically not useful. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
274.	530	Tremma orientalis	0.40	2.50	Tree is invasive and ecologically not useful. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
275.	532 532A 532B	Ailanthus	0.60 0.40 0.30	3.00 2.50 2.50	Tree is forked and not possible for transplantation. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
276.	539 539A 539B 539C	Rain tree	0.65 0.60 0.60 0.50	2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50	Tree is forked, not possible for transplantation. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
277.	540 540A 540B	Rain tree	0.55 0.65 0.60	3.00 2.50 2.50	Tree is forked, not possible for transplantation. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
278.	541 541A	Seeme thangdi	0.35 0.30	2.50 2.50	Tree is forked and not possible for transplantation. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
279.	542 542A	Kari Jaali	0.45 0.30	2.50 2.50	Tree is forked and not healthy. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
280.	547	Paper mulberry	0.45	3.00	Tree is invasive, naturally spreading in the area. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
281.	548	Paper mulberry	0.45	3.00	Tree is invasive, naturally spreading in the area. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
282.	550	Paper mulberry	0.60	3.00	Tree is invasive, naturally spreading in the area. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling

283.	553	Seeme thangdi	0.35	2.50	Tree is bended, not possible for transplantation. . Hence recommended for felling
284.	553/1 553/1A 553/1B 553/1C 553/1D	Seeme thangdi	0.35 0.30 0.30 0.30 0.35	2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50	Tree is multi-forked and not possible for transplantation. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
285.	553/2 553/2A	Seeme thangdi	0.35 0.30	2.50 2.50	Tree is forked and not possible for transplantation. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
286.	553/3	Paper mulberry	0.40	2.50	Tree is invasive, naturally spreading in the area. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
287.	553/4 553/4A	Tremma orientalis	0.40 0.30	2.50 2.50	Tree is forked and not possible for transplantation. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
288.	553/5	Tremma orientalis	0.35	2.50	Tree is bended, not possible for transplantation. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
289.	558 558A	Rain tree	2.45 2.00	3.50 3.00	Tree is forked and matured. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
290.	559	Echalu	0.55	4.00	Tree is bended, not possible for transplantation. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
291.	560	Rain tree	4.00	3.50	Tree is matured. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
292.	562	Echalu	0.70	5.00	Tree is bended, not possible for transplantation. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
293.	564 564A	Rain tree	3.45 2.35	3.50 2.50	Tree is matured and forked. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
294.	566	Rain tree	3.20	3.50	Tree is matured. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
295.	567	Rain tree	3.00	3.50	Tree is matured. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
296.	571 571A 571B	Rain tree	2.05 1.25 1.25	3.50 3.00 3.00	Tree is forked and matured. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
297.	572 572A 572B	Rain tree	1.45 1.35 1.15	3.50 3.50 3.50	Tree is forked and matured. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
298.	574	Rain tree	2.00	3.50	Tree is matured, not possible for transplantation. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
299.	575 575A	Elache	0.40 0.35	3.00 3.00	Tree is forked and bended. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
300.	576	Elache	0.40	3.00	Tree is bended. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
301.	579	Rain tree	3.25	3.50	Tree is matured. Hence this tree is

					recommended for felling
302.	580	Rain tree	3.25	3.00	Tree is matured. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
303.	585	Subabul	0.35	3.00	Tree is an exotic in nature. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
304.	589 589A	Rain tree	0.85 0.95	3.50 3.00	Tree is forked. . Hence recommended this tree is for felling
305.	589/1	Paper mulberry	0.40	2.50	Tree is invasive hard wood species. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
306.	589/2 589/2A	Seeme thangdi	0.45 0.40	3.00 2.50	Tree is forked. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
307.	590	Paper mulberry	0.40	3.00	Tree is invasive hard wood species. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
308.	590/1	Paper mulberry	0.30	2.50	Tree is invasive hard wood species. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
309.	591 591A 591B 591C	Paper mulberry	0.35 0.40 0.35 0.30	2.50 3.00 2.00 2.00	Tree is invasive hard wood species. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
310.	592 592A	Paper mulberry	0.65 0.45	3.00 2.50	Tree is invasive hard wood species. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
311.	592/1	Paper mulberry	0.35	2.50	Tree is invasive hard wood species. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
312.	592/2	Kaadu jathi	1.00	3.00	Tree with galls. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
313.	592/3	Elache	0.30	2.50	Tree is bended. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
314.	593	Echalu	1.00	3.00	Tree is bended. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
315.	594 594A	Rain tree	1.15 0.80	3.00 2.50	Tree is forked and not possible for transplantation. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
316.	595 595A	Buruga	1.15 0.80	3.00 2.50	Tree is forked. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
317.	600	Elache	0.40	3.00	Tree is bended. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
318.	601 601A	Peltophorum	1.55 0.70	4.00 2.50	Tree is forked. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
319.	602	Paper mulberry	0.75	3.00	Tree is invasive hard wood species. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
320.	603 603A	Paper mulberry	0.55 0.50	3.50 2.50	Tree is invasive hard wood species. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
321.	607	Paper mulberry	1.10	4.00	Tree is invasive hard wood species. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
322.	608	Paper mulberry	0.40	2.50	Tree is invasive hard wood species. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
323.	609	Paper mulberry	0.40	2.50	Tree is invasive hard wood species. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
324.	610	Paper mulberry	0.30	2.50	Tree is invasive hard wood species. Hence this tree is recommended for felling

325.	611	Paper mulberry	0.40	3.00	Tree is invasive hard wood species. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
326.	612	Ailanthus	0.60	2.50	Tree is forked and bended. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
327.	613	Paper mulberry	0.90	3.50	Tree is invasive hard wood species. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
328.	614	Paper mulberry	0.45	2.50	Tree is invasive hard wood species. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
329.	615	Kari Jaali	0.70	2.50	Tree is hard hood in nature. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
330.	617	Tremma orientalis	1.25	3.50	Tre is matured, not possible for transplantation. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
331.	618	Seeme thangdi	0.35	2.50	Tree is bended. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
332.	619	Tremma orientalis	0.85	3.00	Tree branch is damaged. . Hence recommended for felling
333.	620 620A	Gulmohar	0.40 0.40	2.50 2.50	Tree is forked. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
334.	621	Tremma orientalis	0.65	3.00	Tree is bended. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
335.	622	Subabul	0.60	3.00	Tree is invasive in nature. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
336.	623	Rain tree	1.30	3.50	Tree is matured and not possible transplantation. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
337.	627	Paper mulberry	0.40	2.50	Tree is invasive hard wood species. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
338.	628	Paper mulberry	0.35	2.50	Tree is invasive hard wood species. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
339.	629 629A	Paper mulberry	1.15 0.55	3.00 2.50	Tree is invasive hard wood species. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
340.	630 630A 630B	Rain tree	0.70 0.60 0.65	3.00 3.00 3.00	Tree is forked and not possible for transplantation. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
341.	631 631A	Paper mulberry	0.70 0.65	3.00 3.00	Tree is invasive hard wood species. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
342.	632	Paper mulberry	1.05	3.50	Tree is invasive hard wood species. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
343.	633	Paper mulberry	0.60	2.50	Tree is invasive hard wood species. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
344.	634 634A	Paper mulberry	0.50 0.60	3.00 2.50	Tree is invasive hard wood species. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
345.	635	Paper mulberry	0.35	2.50	Tree is invasive hard wood species. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
346.	636	Paper mulberry	0.35	2.50	Tree is invasive hard wood species. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
347.	637 637A	Paper mulberry	0.60 0.45	2.50 2.50	Tree is invasive hard wood species. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
348.	638	Seeme	0.45	2.50	Tree is bended. Hence this tree is

		thangdi			recommended for felling
349.	641	Subabul	0.40	2.50	Tree is invasive in nature. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
350.	642	Subabul	0.50	2.50	Tree is invasive in nature. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
351.	643	Subabul	0.30	2.50	Tree is invasive in nature. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
352.	644	Subabul	0.45	2.50	Tree is invasive in nature. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
353.	645	Paper mulberry	0.35	2.50	Tree is invasive in nature. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
354.	646	Paper mulberry	0.35	2.50	Tree is invasive in nature. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
355.	647 647A 647B	Paper mulberry	1.15 0.40 0.60	4.00 2.50 2.00	Tree is invasive in nature. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
356.	648 648A	Paper mulberry	0.85 0.40	3.00 2.50	Tree is invasive hard wood species. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
357.	649 649A 649B	Tremma orientalis	0.35 0.35 0.35	2.50 2.50 2.00	Tree is multi-forked. Hence recommended for felling
358.	651	Gulmohar	0.45	3.00	Tree is bended. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
359.	652	Tremma orientalis	1.20	3.50	Tree is matured and bended. Hence recommended for felling
360.	655	Elache	0.40	3.00	Tree is bended. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
361.	656	Paper mulberry	0.30	2.50	Tree is invasive hard wood species. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
362.	657	Elache	0.40	3.00	Tree is bended. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
363.	658	Elache	0.40	3.00	Tree is bended. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
364.	659	Kaadu jathi	0.40	2.50	Tree is infested with termite not healthy. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
365.	660	Kaadu jathi	0.30	2.50	Tree is infested with termite not healthy. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
366.	661	Paper mulberry	1.15	4.00	Tree is invasive hard wood species. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
367.	662 662A 662B 662C 662D 662E 662F 662G	Cassia	0.40 0.30 0.30 0.35 0.35 0.35 0.30 0.40	2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50	Tree is multi-forked. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
368.	663	Kaduhunase	0.45	2.50	Tree is bended. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling

369.	664 664A	Paper mulberry	1.10 0.80	3.50 3.00	Tree is invasive hard wood species. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
370.	665 665A	Paper mulberry	0.45 0.40	2.50 2.50	Tree is invasive hard wood species. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
371.	666 666A	Paper mulberry	0.70 0.85	3.00 2.50	Tree is invasive hard wood species. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
372.	668	Cassia	0.30	2.50	Tree is bended. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
373.	669 669A	Cassia	0.45 0.40	2.50 2.00	Tree is forked. . Hence recommended for felling
374.	670	Echalu	0.90	5.00	Tree is bended. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
375.	671 671A	Cassia	0.30 0.65	2.50 2.50	Tree is forked. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
376.	672 672A	Gulmohar	0.30 0.30	2.50 2.50	Tree is forked. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
377.	673	Gulmohar	0.35	2.50	Tree is bended. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
378.	674 674A	Paper mulberry	0.95 0.80	3.00 2.50	Tree is invasive hard wood species. . Hence recommended for felling
379.	675	Kaadu jathi	0.50	2.50	Tree is hard wood species. . Hence recommended for felling
380.	676	Tremma orientalis	0.55	2.50	Tree is on the edge of the compound. . Hence recommended for felling
381.	677	Cassia	0.55	2.50	Tree is chopped partially. . Hence recommended for felling
382.	678	Gulmohar	0.35	2.50	Tree is bended. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
383.	679	Cassia	0.30	2.50	Tree is bended. . Hence recommended for felling
384.	680 680A 680B	Cassia	0.35 0.30 0.35	2.50 2.50 2.50	Tree is forked. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
385.	681 681A	Cassia	0.30 0.30	2.50 2.50	Tree is forked. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
386.	682	Tremma orientalis	0.70	2.50	Tree is bended. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
387.	683 683A 683B	Cassia	0.40 0.35 0.40	2.50 2.00 2.50	Tree is multi-forked and bended. . Hence this tree is recommended for felling
388.	684	Echalu	0.80	5.00	Tree is bended. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
389.	689	Teak	0.70	2.50	Tree is bended. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
390.	695	Ailanthus	1.20	3.00	Tree is bended. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
391.	697	Kari Jaali	1.25	2.50	Tree is bended. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
392.	699	Aala	3.50	3.50	Tree is matured. Hence this tree is

					recommended for felling
393.	700	Aala	3.50	3.50	Tree is matured. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
394.	703	Cherry	0.75	2.50	Tree with galls and bended. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
395.	704	Honge	0.45	2.50	Tree is forked and bended. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
396.	704/1	Paper mulberry	0.35	2.50	Tree is invasive hard wood species. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
397.	705	Thoremathi	1.75	2.50	Tree is matured. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
398.	706 706A 706B	Seeme thangdi	0.65 0.70 0.80	2.50 2.50 3.00	Tree is forked and bended. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
399.	707	Aala	2.35	3.00	Tree is matured not possible for transplantation. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
400.	708	Acacia	0.50	2.50	Exotic hard wood tree, not fit for transplantation. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
401.	709	Acacia	0.55	2.50	Exotic hard wood tree, not fit for transplantation. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
402.	710	Acacia	0.60	2.50	Exotic hard wood tree, not fit for transplantation. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
403.	711	Acacia	0.50	2.50	Exotic hard wood tree, not fit for transplantation. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
404.	712	Acacia	0.50	2.50	Exotic hard wood tree, not fit for transplantation. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
405.	713	Acacia	0.50	3.00	Exotic hard wood tree, not fit for transplantation. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
406.	714	Acacia	0.80	3.00	Exotic hard wood tree, not fit for transplantation. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
407.	717	Paper mulberry	0.35	2.50	The tree is standing within the project proposal area earmarked for at-grade railway lane. In consideration to the species, tree condition (stressed amidst railway sleeper store yard) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
408.	718	Acacia	0.65	2.50	The tree is dried and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for at-grade railway lane. In consideration to the species, tree condition (stressed amidst railway sleeper store yard) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
409.	720 720A	Acacia	0.50 0.55	2.50 2.00	The tree is forked and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for at-grade

					railway lane. In consideration to the species, project proposal and tree condition (stressed amidst railway sleeper store yard), the tree is recommended for felling.
410.	721	Acacia	0.95	2.50	The tree is matured and with severe external defects (splits) and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for at-grade railway lane. In consideration to the species, project proposal and field status (stressed amidst railway sleeper store yard), the tree is recommended for felling.
411.	723	Honge	0.35	2.50	The tree base is with decay symptoms and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for at-grade railway lane. In consideration to the species, project proposal and field status (stressed amidst railway sleeper store yard), the tree is recommended for felling.
412.	724	Acacia	0.45	2.50	The tree is stressed (injury by stock material) and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for at-grade railway lane. In consideration to the species, project proposal and field status, the tree is recommended for felling.
413.	725	Acacia	0.75	2.50	The tree is stressed (injury by stock material) and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for at-grade railway lane. In consideration to the species, project proposal and field status, the tree is recommended for felling.
414.	729 729A	Acacia	0.65 0.35	2.50 2.00	The tree is stressed (729A is cut left with branch union portion decayed) and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for at-grade railway lane. In consideration to the species, project proposal and field status, the tree is recommended for felling.
415.	730	Acacia	0.60	2.50	The tree is stressed (injury by stock material) and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for at-grade railway lane. In consideration to the species, project proposal and field status, the tree is recommended for felling.
416.	731	Acacia	0.45	2.50	The tree is stressed (injury by stock material) and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for at-grade railway lane. In consideration to the species, project proposal and field status, the tree is recommended for felling.
417.	732	Acacia	0.60	2.50	The tree is with bark bulge defects and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for at-grade railway lane. In consideration to the species, project proposal and field status (stressed amidst railway sleeper store yard), the tree is recommended

					for felling.
418.	733	Acacia	0.50	2.50	The tree is with external split defect and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for at-grade railway lane. In consideration to the species, project proposal and field status (stressed amidst railway sleeper store yard), the tree is recommended for felling.
419.	735	Acacia	0.90	2.50	The tree is matured with structural defect and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for at-grade railway lane. In consideration to the species, project proposal and field status (stressed amidst railway sleeper store yard), the tree is recommended for felling.
420.	736	Acacia	0.65	2.50	The tree is slanting and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for at-grade railway lane. In consideration to the species, project proposal and field status (stressed amidst railway sleeper store yard), the tree is recommended for felling.
421.	737	Acacia	0.75	2.50	The tree is matured and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for at-grade railway lane. In consideration to the species, project proposal and field status (stressed amidst railway sleeper store yard), the tree is recommended for felling.
422.	738 738A	Acacia	0.65 0.65	2.50 2.50	The tree is forked, stressed (injury by stock material) and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for at-grade railway lane. In consideration to the species, project proposal and field status, the tree is recommended for felling.
423.	742	Acacia	0.95	2.50	The tree is matured and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for at-grade railway lane. In consideration to the species, project proposal and field status (stressed amidst railway sleeper store yard), the tree is recommended for felling.
424.	743	Honge	0.35	2.50	The tree is stressed (injury by stock material) and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for at-grade railway lane. In consideration to the project proposal and field status (not feasible for excavation of root ball), the tree is recommended for felling.
425.	744	Acacia	0.65	2.50	The tree is stressed (injury by stock material) and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for at-grade railway lane. In consideration to the species, project proposal and field status, the tree is recommended for felling.
426.	746	Arali	1.60	3.00	The tree is matured and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for at-grade railway lane. In consideration to the project

					proposal and field status (not feasible for excavation of root ball and the protection zone area is infringed by various anthropogenic activities), the tree is recommended for felling.
427.	758	Halasu	1.20	3.50	The tree is matured and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for at-grade railway lane. In consideration to the project proposal and field status (not feasible for excavation of root ball), the tree is recommended for felling.
428.	759 759A	Nilgiri	1.05 1.30	4.50 5.00	The tree is matured and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for at-grade railway lane. In consideration to the species, project proposal and field status (not feasible for excavation of root ball), the tree is recommended for felling.
429.	760	Kaadu badami	0.30	2.50	The basal portion of the tree is with rot symptoms due to constant exposure to sewage water and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for at-grade railway lane. In consideration to the project proposal and field status (weak root / ball), the tree is recommended for felling.
430.	761	Coconut	0.75	6.00	The tree is matured and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for at-grade railway lane. In consideration to the species and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
431.	766	Acacia	1.15	2.50	The tree is matured and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for at-grade railway lane. In consideration to the species, project proposal and field status (not feasible for excavation of root ball), the tree is recommended for felling.
432.	767 767A 767B	Nilgiri	0.90 0.85 1.00	4.50 3.50 3.50	The tree is matured, forked and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of ramp. In consideration to the species and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
433.	769	Nilgiri	1.55	5.50	The tree is matured and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of ramp. In consideration to the species, tree condition and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
434.	770	Coconut	0.80	6.00	The tree is matured and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of ramp. In consideration to the species, tree condition and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
435.	771 771A	Cherry	0.45 0.35	2.50 2.50	The tree is forked with weak branch union and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of ramp. In consideration to the species, tree condition

					and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
436.	772	Cherry	0.40	2.50	The tree is slanting (structure hinders appropriate process for relocation) and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of ramp. In consideration to the species, tree condition and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
437.	773 773A	Cherry	0.50 0.30	2.50 2.50	The tree is forked and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of ramp. In consideration to the species, tree condition and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
438.	774	Cherry	0.40	2.50	The tree is bent and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of ramp. In consideration to the species, tree condition and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
439.	775	Nilgiri	0.50	3.50	The tree is cut and only trunk base remnant is standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of ramp. In consideration to the species, tree condition and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
440.	776	Spathodea	0.30	2.50	The tree is standing close to the boundary wall within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of ramp. In consideration to the project proposal and site condition (not feasible for excavation of root ball), the tree is recommended for felling.
441.	778	Nilgiri	1.25	4.50	The tree is matured and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of ramp. In consideration to the species, tree condition and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
442.	779 779A	Nilgiri	1.10 1.20	4.00 4.50	The tree is matured and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of ramp. In consideration to the species, tree condition and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
443.	780	Nilgiri	1.40	5.50	The tree is matured and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of ramp. In consideration to the species, tree condition and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
444.	781	Nilgiri	0.55	4.00	The tree is cut with only trunk base remnant standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of ramp. In consideration to the species, tree status and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.

445.	783 783A	Tremma orientalis	0.80 0.40	2.50 2.50	The tree is forked standing close to boundary wall within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, site condition (not feasible for excavation of root ball) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
446.	788	Subabul	0.35	2.50	The tree is standing close to boundary wall within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, site condition (not feasible for excavation of root ball) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
447.	799	Paper mulberry	0.45	3.00	The tree is standing close to boundary wall within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, site condition (not feasible for excavation of root ball) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
448.	800 800A 800B	Paper mulberry	0.35 0.35 0.25	3.00 3.00 3.00	The tree is multiforked, standing close to boundary wall within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, site condition (not feasible for excavation of root ball) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
449.	804	Paper mulberry	0.70	3.00	The tree is standing close to boundary wall within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, site condition (not feasible for excavation of root ball) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
450.	805	Paper mulberry	0.35	2.50	The tree is standing close to boundary wall within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, site condition (not feasible for excavation of root ball) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
451.	806 806A	Tremma orientalis	0.35 0.50	3.00 3.00	The tree is forked and standing close to boundary wall within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, site condition (not feasible for excavation of root ball) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
452.	806/1	Subabul	0.30	2.50	The tree is standing close to boundary wall within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, site condition (not feasible for excavation of root

					ball) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
453.	807	Paper mulberry	0.35	2.50	The tree is cut with only trunk base remnant standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, tree condition and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
454.	807/1	Subabul	0.35	2.50	The tree is standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
455.	808	Paper mulberry	0.45	2.50	The tree is cut and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, tree condition and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
456.	809 809A	Paper mulberry	0.40 0.45	2.50 2.50	The tree is forked and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, tree condition and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
457.	810	Paper mulberry	0.45	2.50	The tree is cut and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, tree condition and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
458.	811	Paper mulberry	0.35	2.50	The tree is cut and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, tree status and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
459.	812 812A	Paper mulberry	0.50 0.40	2.50 2.50	The tree is forked and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, tree status and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
460.	813 813A	Paper mulberry	1.40 0.45	3.50 2.50	The tree is forked and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, tree condition and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
461.	814	Paper mulberry	0.40	2.50	The tree is cut and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, tree condition and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
462.	815	Paper mulberry	0.45	2.50	The tree is cut and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration

					to the species, tree condition and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
463.	816	Paper mulberry	0.30	2.50	The tree is cut and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, tree condition and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
464.	817	Paper mulberry	0.50	2.50	The tree is standing close to boundary wall within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, tree condition (not feasible for excavation of root ball) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
465.	817/1	Paper mulberry	0.35	2.50	The tree is standing close to boundary wall within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, tree condition (not feasible for excavation of root ball) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
466.	817/2	Paper mulberry	0.30	2.50	The tree is standing close to boundary wall within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, tree condition (not feasible for excavation of root ball) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
467.	817/3	Paper mulberry	0.35	2.50	The tree is standing close to boundary wall within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, tree condition (not feasible for excavation of root ball) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
468.	818	Paper mulberry	0.40	2.50	The tree is standing close to boundary wall within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, tree condition (not feasible for excavation of root ball) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
469.	819	Acacia	0.65	2.50	The tree is standing close to boundary wall within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, tree condition (not feasible for excavation of root ball) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
470.	819/1	Subabul	0.35	2.50	The tree is standing close to boundary wall within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, tree condition (not feasible for excavation of root

					ball) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
471.	820	Gulmohar	0.50	2.50	The tree is standing close to boundary wall within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, tree condition (not feasible for excavation of root ball) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
472.	821 821A	Gulmohar	0.75 0.70	3.00 2.50	The tree is forked and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, tree condition and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
473.	822 822A	Gulmohar	0.80 0.45	3.00 2.50	The tree is forked and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, tree condition and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
474.	823 823A	Cherry	0.50 0.35	2.50 2.50	The tree is forked and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, tree condition and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
475.	824 824A	Cherry	0.35 0.30	2.50 2.50	The tree is forked and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, tree condition and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
476.	825	Cherry	0.35	2.50	The tree is standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, tree condition (tree base close to adjacent trees) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
477.	826	Cherry	0.35	2.50	The tree is standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, tree condition (tree base close to adjacent trees) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
478.	827	Subabul	0.35	2.50	The tree is standing close to boundary wall within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, tree condition (not feasible for excavation of root ball) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
479.	828 828A	Paper mulberry	0.80 0.85	3.00 3.00	The tree is forked and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for

					construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, tree condition and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
480.	828/1	Paper mulberry	0.45	2.50	The tree is standing close to boundary wall within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, tree condition (not feasible for excavation of root ball) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
481.	829 829A	Paper mulberry	0.75 0.80	2.50 2.50	The tree is forked and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, tree condition and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
482.	830	Paper mulberry	0.85	3.00	The tree is matured and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, tree condition and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
483.	831	Paper mulberry	0.60	2.50	The tree is standing close to boundary wall within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, site condition (not feasible for excavation of root ball) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
484.	832	Paper mulberry	0.35	2.50	The tree is standing close to boundary wall within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, site condition (not feasible for excavation of root ball) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
485.	833	Subabul	0.30	2.50	The tree is standing close to boundary wall within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, site condition (not feasible for excavation of root ball) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
486.	834	Subabul	0.45	2.50	The tree is standing close to boundary wall within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, site condition (not feasible for excavation of root ball) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
487.	835	Subabul	0.45	2.50	The tree is standing close to boundary wall within the project proposal area earmarked

					for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, site condition (not feasible for excavation of root ball) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
488.	836	Subabul	0.40	2.50	The tree is standing close to boundary wall within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, site condition (not feasible for excavation of root ball) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
489.	837	Subabul	0.40	2.50	The tree is standing close to boundary wall within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, site condition (not feasible for excavation of root ball) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
490.	838	Paper mulberry	0.35	2.50	The tree is standing close to boundary wall within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, site condition (not feasible for excavation of root ball) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
491.	839	Paper mulberry	0.35	2.50	The tree is standing close to boundary wall within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, site condition (not feasible for excavation of root ball) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
492.	840	Subabul	0.40	2.50	The tree is standing close to boundary wall within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, site condition (not feasible for excavation of root ball) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
493.	841	Subabul	0.30	2.50	The tree is standing close to boundary wall within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, site condition (not feasible for excavation of root ball) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
494.	842	Subabul	0.35	2.50	The tree is standing close to boundary wall within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, site condition (not feasible for excavation of root ball) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.

495.	843	Paper mulberry	0.30	2.50	The tree is standing close to boundary wall within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, site condition (not feasible for excavation of root ball) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
496.	844	Paper mulberry	0.30	2.50	The tree is standing close to boundary wall within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, site condition (not feasible for excavation of root ball) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
497.	845	Paper mulberry	0.75	2.50	The tree is cut and standing close to boundary wall within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, site condition (not feasible for excavation of root ball) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
498.	846	Paper mulberry	0.70	2.50	The tree is standing close to boundary wall within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, site condition (not feasible for excavation of root ball) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
499.	847	Paper mulberry	1.05	3.50	The tree is matured, standing close to boundary wall within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, site condition (not feasible for excavation of root ball) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
500.	848	Paper mulberry	0.70	3.00	The tree is standing close to boundary wall within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, site condition (not feasible for excavation of root ball) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
501.	849	Paper mulberry	0.50	2.50	The tree is standing close to boundary wall within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, site condition (not feasible for excavation of root ball) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
502.	850	Paper mulberry	0.70	3.00	The tree is standing close to boundary wall within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, site condition (not feasible for excavation of root

					ball) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
503.	851 851A 851B	Paper mulberry	0.60 0.40 0.35	2.50 2.50 2.50	The tree is forked, standing close to boundary wall within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, tree / site condition (not feasible for excavation of root ball) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
504.	852 852A	Paper mulberry	1.60 0.95	3.50 2.50	The tree is forked, standing close to boundary wall within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, tree / site condition (not feasible for excavation of root ball) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
505.	853 853A	Paper mulberry	0.70 0.55	2.50 2.50	The tree is forked, standing close to boundary wall within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, tree / site condition (not feasible for excavation of root ball) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
506.	854	Paper mulberry	0.80	3.00	The tree is matured, standing close to boundary wall within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, site condition (not feasible for excavation of root ball) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
507.	855 855A 855B	Paper mulberry	0.60 0.50 0.55	2.50 2.50 2.50	The tree is forked, standing close to boundary wall within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, tree / site condition (not feasible for excavation of root ball) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
508.	856	Paper mulberry	0.85	3.00	The tree is standing close to boundary wall within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, site condition (not feasible for excavation of root ball) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
509.	857 857A	Paper mulberry	1.35 0.95	3.00 3.00	The tree is forked, standing close to boundary wall within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, tree / site condition (not feasible for excavation of root ball) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
510.	859	Paper mulberry	0.40	2.50	The tree is standing close to boundary wall within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated

					corridor. In consideration to the species, tree / site condition (not feasible for excavation of root ball) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
511.	860	Paper mulberry	0.35	2.50	The tree is cut and only the trunk base remnant is standing close to boundary wall within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, site condition (not feasible for excavation of root ball) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
512.	861	Paper mulberry	0.85	3.00	The tree is cut and only the trunk base remnant is standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, tree status (overlapping of root zone with adjacent trees) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
513.	862	Paper mulberry	0.60	2.50	The tree is standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, tree status (overlapping of root zone with adjacent trees) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
514.	863	Paper mulberry	0.45	2.00	The tree is standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, tree status (overlapping of root zone with adjacent trees) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
515.	864	Tremma orientalis	1.20	3.00	The tree is dried and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, tree condition and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
516.	864/1	Tremma orientalis	0.30	2.50	The tree canopy is stressed (over grown by a climber) and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of viaduct / elevated corridor. In consideration to the species, tree condition and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
517.	865	Tremma orientalis	0.65	2.50	The tree canopy is stressed (over grown by a climber) and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, tree condition and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
518.	866	Sisso	1.60	3.00	The tree matured and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway

					lane / viaduct. In consideration to the tree condition, site status and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
519.	867	Sandal	0.30	2.50	The tree is cut and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the tree condition and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
520.	868	Tremma orientalis	1.35	3.50	The tree is matured, dried and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, tree condition and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
521.	871	Tremma orientalis	1.20	3.50	The tree is matured, standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, tree condition and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
522.	872 872A	Sisso	0.35 0.30	2.50 2.50	The tree is forked with weak branch union, standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the tree condition and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
523.	879	Paper mulberry	0.60	2.50	The tree is standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, tree status (overlapping of root zone with adjacent trees) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
524.	880	Paper mulberry	0.45	2.50	The tree is standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, tree status (overlapping of root zone with adjacent trees) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
525.	881	Paper mulberry	0.60	2.50	The tree is standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, tree status (overlapping of root zone with adjacent trees) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
526.	884	Subabul	0.50	2.50	The tree is standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, tree status (overlapping of root zone with adjacent trees) and project proposal, the tree is

					recommended for felling.
527.	885	Subabul	0.30	2.50	The tree is cut and only the trunk base remnant standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, tree condition and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
528.	886	Subabul	0.45	2.50	The tree is bent (hinders the appropriate relocation process) and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, tree condition and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
529.	887	Subabul	0.45	2.50	The tree is standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, tree status (overlapping of root zone with adjacent trees) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
530.	888	Subabul	0.65	2.50	The tree is standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, tree status (overlapping of root zone with adjacent trees) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
531.	889	Subabul	0.45	2.50	The tree is standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, tree status (overlapping of root zone with adjacent trees) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
532.	890 890A	Subabul	0.70 0.40	2.50 2.50	The tree is forked, standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, tree condition and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
533.	891	Paper mulberry	1.20	3.50	The tree is matured, standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, tree condition and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
534.	892	Paper mulberry	1.10	3.00	The tree is matured, standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, tree condition and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.

535.	893	Coconut	0.85	6.00	The tree is matured, standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, tree condition and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
536.	895	Paper mulberry	0.45	2.50	The tree is standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, tree status (overlapping of root zone with adjacent trees) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
537.	896	Paper mulberry	0.55	2.50	The tree is standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, tree status (overlapping of root zone with adjacent trees) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
538.	897 897A	Paper mulberry	0.35 0.30	2.50 2.50	The tree is forked, standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, tree condition and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
539.	898	Paper mulberry	0.35	2.50	The tree is standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, tree status (overlapping of root zone with adjacent trees) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
540.	899	Paper mulberry	0.35	2.50	The tree is standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, tree status (overlapping of root zone with adjacent trees) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
541.	900	Paper mulberry	0.55	2.50	The tree is standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, tree status (overlapping of root zone with adjacent trees) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
542.	901	Acacia	0.40	2.50	The tree is standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
543.	902 902A	Cherry	0.35 0.45		The tree is forked, standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of

				2.50 2.50	structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, tree condition and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
544.	903	Arali	1.40	3.00	The tree is matured, standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the tree condition and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
545.	904	Sebbe	0.30	2.50	The tree is bent (undesirable trait for relocation), standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
546.	905	Sebbe	0.55	2.50	The tree is forked, standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, tree condition and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
547.	906	Teak	0.35	2.50	The tree is standing (close to existing RE wall) within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the site condition and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
548.	908 908A	Paper mulberry	1.55 1.10	3.50 3.00	The tree is forked, standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, tree condition and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
549.	909	Paper mulberry	1.00	3.00	The tree is standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, tree status (overlapping of root zone with adjacent trees) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
550.	965	Rain tree	0.60	2.50	The tree is standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the site conditions (overlapping root zone area) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
551.	966	Shivane	0.40	2.50	The tree is standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the site conditions (overlapping root zone area) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
552.	967	Hebbevu	0.95	3.00	The tree is bent, standing within the project

					proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the site conditions (overlapping root zone area) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
553.	968	Hebbevu	0.25	2.50	The tree is partially dried, standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the site conditions (overlapping root zone area) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
554.	970	Aala	7.10	3.50	The tree is matured, standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the site conditions (overlapping root zone area) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
555.	973	ORNAMEN TAL Sp.	0.35	2.50	The tree is standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
556.	974	Rain tree	3.30	3.50	The tree is matured, standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the site conditions (not feasible for excavation of root ball) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
557.	975 975A	Samudra Phala	0.90 0.55	3.00 2.50	The tree is forked and matured, standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the site conditions (not feasible for excavation of root ball) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
558.	976	Rain tree	3.50	3.50	The tree is matured, standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the site conditions (not feasible for excavation of root ball) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
559.	977	Kaadu jathi	0.65	2.50	The tree canopy is partially dried and standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the site conditions (less feasible for excavation of root ball) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.

560.	978 978A	Neem	2.80 1.00	3.50 3.00	The tree is forked and matured with dieback symptom, standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the site conditions (not feasible for excavation of root ball) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
561.	979	Arali	3.60	3.50	The tree is matured, standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the site conditions (not feasible for excavation of root ball) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
562.	980 980A 980B 980C	Rain tree	1.10 1.50 1.40 1.30	3.00 3.50 3.50 3.50	The tree is dried, standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the tree condition and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
563..	UN-05	Paper mulberry	1.5	2	The tree is standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, tree status (defects) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
564.	UN-35	Paper mulberry	0.5	2.5	The tree is standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, tree status (defects, overlapping root zone area) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
565.	UN-36	Paper mulberry	0.6	6	The tree is standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, tree status (defects, overlapping root zone area) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
566.	UN-37	Paper mulberry	0.45	5	The tree is standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, tree status (defects, overlapping root zone area) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
567.	UN-38	Paper mulberry	0.55	5.5	The tree is standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, tree status (defects, overlapping root zone area) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for

					falling.
568.	UN-39 UN-39A	Subabul	0.65 0.3	4 2.5	The tree is standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, tree status (defects, overlapping root zone area, forked) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
569.	UN-40	Paper mulberry	0.6	3.5	The tree is standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, tree status (defects) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
570.	UN-41	Rain Tree	1.05	2.5	The tree is bent, matured, standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the tree status (overlapping root zone area) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
571.	UN-42	Paper mulberry	0.7	3	The tree is standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, tree status (defects, overlapping root zone area) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
572.	UN-43 UN-43A	Paper mulberry	0.45 0.35	5.5 4.5	The tree is standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, tree status (forked) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
573.	UN-44	Paper mulberry	0.8	3.5	The tree is standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, tree status (defects) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
574.	UN-45 UN-45A UN-45B UN-45C	Paper mulberry	0.75 0.65 0.3 0.9	3 3 4 3.5	The tree is standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, tree status (multiforked, defects) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
575.	UN-46 UN-46A	Paper mulberry	0.7 0.35	2.5 4.5	The tree is standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, tree status (defects, forked) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.

576.	UN-47 UN-47A	Paper mulberry	0.7 0.52	6 3	The tree is standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, tree status (defects, forked) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling
577.	UN-48 UN-48A	Kaadu jathi	0.86 0.74	2.5 2	The tree is standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, tree status (defects, overlapping root zone area) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
578.	UN-49 UN-49A UN-49B	Kaadu jathi	0.9 0.73 0.81	2 2 2	The tree is standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, tree status (defects, overlapping root zone area) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
579.	UN-50	Kaadu jathi	0.7	2	The tree is standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, tree status (defects, overlapping root zone area) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
580.	UN-51 UN-51A	Kaadu jathi	0.83 0.35	2.5 2	The tree is standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, tree status (defects, overlapping root zone area) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
581.	UN-52	Sisso	0.63	2.5	The tree is standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, tree status (defects, overlapping root zone area) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
582.	UN-53	Kaadu jathi	0.65	2.5	The tree is standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, tree status (defects, overlapping root zone area) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
583.	UN-54	Kaadu jathi	0.5	4	The tree is standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, tree status

					(defects, overlapping root zone area) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
584.	UN-56 UN-56A UN-56B	Paper mulberry	0.55 0.35 0.55	3.5 4 2	The tree is standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, tree status (defects, overlapping root zone area) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
585.	UN-57	Paper mulberry	0.6	4.5	The tree is standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, tree status (defects, overlapping root zone area) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
586.	UN-58 UN-58A	Paper mulberry	0.6 0.35	3.5 4	The tree is standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, tree status (defects, overlapping root zone area) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
587.	UN-60	Paper mulberry	0.8	3	The tree is standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, tree status (defects, overlapping root zone area) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
588.	UN-61	Paper mulberry	0.55	2.5	The tree is standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, tree status (defects, overlapping root zone area) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
589.	UN-62	Paper mulberry	0.75	2	The tree is standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, tree status (defects, overlapping root zone area) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
590.	UN-63	Paper mulberry	0.8	2.5	The tree is standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, tree status (defects, overlapping root zone area) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.

591.	UN-64	Paper mulberry	0.55	3.5	The tree is standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, tree status (defects, overlapping root zone area) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
592.	UN-65	Subabul	0.35	2	The tree is standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, tree status (defects, overlapping root zone area) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
593.	UN-66	Paper mulberry	1.15	3	The tree is standing within the project proposal area earmarked for construction of structures for elevated railway lane / viaduct. In consideration to the species, tree status (defects, overlapping root zone area) and project proposal, the tree is recommended for felling.
594.	UN-67	Gulmohar			The tree is standing near to 776, near to the boundary wall. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
595.	UN-68	Gulmohar			The tree is standing near to 776, near to the boundary wall. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
596.	UN-70	Spathodea	0.5		The tree is standing within the proposed ramp area, adjacent to existing IR lane. Hence this tree is recommended for felling
Total trees for Felling = 596 Nos.					


 Tree Officer & 12/3/23
 Deputy Conservator of Forests,
 BBMP, Bangalore.