



## BRUHAT BENGALURU MAHANAGARA PALIKE

No: DCF/PR.1785/2022-23

Office of the  
Deputy Conservator of Forests,  
Bruhat Bengaluru Mahanagara Palike  
N.R Square, Bangalore

Date: 19.01.2023

### OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM

Sub: Permission regarding translocation and removal of trees which are standing at the Highway Project Area extending from Gunjur to Bellathur Bridge for KRDCL Project “**Improvement and Widening of Road**” Bengaluru – reg

Ref: a. EE Project Office, Bengaluru South, KRDCL’s Application No. ಕರಅನಿವಿ/ಇಇ-ಯೋಕ(ಬೆಂ.ದ)/ಸಕಾಇಂ-4/ಬೆಂ ರಸ್ತೆ/ಮರಕಡಿತಲೆ/2022-23/166 dtd 12.10.2022  
b. Member Secretary, TEC and ACF Letter No. ACF-South/PR.76/2022-23 dtd 17.01.2023 along with Report and Proceedings of Tree Expert Committee

\* \* \* \* \*

#### **Preamble:**

The Executive Engineer, Project Office, Bengaluru South, KRDCL vide their letter cited under reference (a) above, has sought permission for clearance of 736 number of trees which are standing at the Highway Project area extending from Gunjur to Bellathur Bridge, Bengaluru for the KRDCL project of Improvement and Widening of the Road.

As such Public Notice dated 17.10.2022 was issued by the Tree Officer & DCF, BBMP as per Section 8 (3) of the Karnataka Preservation of Trees Act 1976 (as amended in 2015) with the intention to invite objections/remarks from public.

In response to the public notice, 01 objection/suggestion was received from public within the stipulated dates. The Tree Officer has stated that that the objection is with regard to felling of trees since the objector has stated that Road Widening does not solve the problem of congestion as the same has been proved in past. He has also provided some links of Google.com and excerpts from Bangalore Mirror Newspaper to refer about the recent articles published on the said subject. Further the objector has requested KRDCL to reconsider the road widening project. Regarding the technical matters of the objections, the matter was communicated to the KRDCL Authorities. The KRDCL have responded that the Section of SH-35 from NH-48 (Attibele-Sarjapura-Dommasandra-Gunjur-Varthur-Kadugodi-Hoskote) to NH 75 is one of the most congested road Sections in the city witnessing traffic of more than 50000 PCUs per day (projected as per 2017-18 survey report). The

average vehicle speed is mere 11 km per hour and it is worse during peak traffic periods. The proposed Section for the development of Road is from Km 26+000 to Km 37+450 which passes through BBMP jurisdiction under which only 5 km of selected reaches of Road is proposed to be developed by KRDCL as these are creating bottlenecks for smooth flow of traffic. Once the project is implemented, there can be a safe signal free Road from Attibele to Hoskote (NH-48 to NH-75) and it will create smooth flow of traffic from one National Highway to another National Highway. In this entire stretch, proposal is for handling of road bottlenecks by widening the existing two lane roads to four lane roads in selected reaches and construction of flyovers and grade separators at major intersections and railway crossings. The Varthur and Gunjur are two adjoining densely built-up areas in southern part of Bengaluru. These two areas are rapidly developing as residential and commercial hubs in proximity to ITPL, Whitefield, Electronic city and Hosur. Varthur and Gunjur areas besides being IT hubs have also developed as educational hubs as number of International Schools are located in this stretch. It is a painful sight to see the school buses with small kids getting stuck in traffic jam for several hours daily. As the road width at this stretch is narrow and underdeveloped, the road is lacking in basic infrastructure such as storm water drains, utility corridors, foot paths etc.. In the absence of drains, the rainwater flows on asphalted road surface thereby creating potholes causing inconvenience to the road users.

Further, the Tree Officer, BBMP also emphasized that the first priority of the Forest authorities will be to save and retain more number of trees at the spot and in case that is not possible, the next option would be translocation of trees which fulfil the required criteria and felling of trees has to be the last resort. The Compensatory Afforestation would involve planting of saplings in the ratio 1:10 i.e., 10 saplings to be planted in lieu of each tree translocated/felled.

In this context, the Field Forest Officers conducted the spot inspections on 30.10.2022 and 31.10.2022, the ACF/DCF, BBMP visited the areas on 04.11.2022 and 05.11.2022, and then TEC visited the areas and conducted field Inspections on 08.12.2022 and 09.12.2022, duly examining all the trees besides having discussions with the Project Engineers.

The Field Inspection Report was tabled during the TEC meeting held on 19.12.2022 and detailed discussions were held.

- i. The primary objective of the TEC was to retain-on-site as many trees as possible.
- ii. In case the trees are falling within the project activity area and their removal becomes inevitable, the next option for TEC was for translocation of trees depending upon its general condition and its location so that the extraction of root ball of adequate size becomes feasible.

- iii. The felling of trees has to be the last resort and that has to be done very judiciously in a prudent manner.

Based on the records/documents produced by BMRCL, followed by thorough scrutiny of the same and detailed discussions of the field inspection reports which were prepared after examination of each and every tree, the following order is issued.

### **ORDER**

Under the circumstances explained above and in exercise of the powers vested with the undersigned as per Section 8 (3) of Karnataka Preservation of Trees Act, 1976 and based on the guidelines and decisions taken as per the Field Inspection Report and Proceedings of the Meeting dated 19.12.2022 of the TEC for retention-on-site, translocation, and removal of trees which fall in the Highway Project area extending from Gunjur to Bellathur Bridge, the below mentioned schedule is approved subject to the conditions mentioned thereon. This Order will come into effect after fifteen (15) days from the date of uploading of the order on the Official website of BBMP and for that purpose separate directions will be issued from this Office.

### **SCHEDULE**

1. The One Hundred and Sixty Three (163) trees which are listed in Annexure A appended to this Official Memorandum have to be retained-on-site. Hence, permission is declined to remove the said 163 trees and they should continue to stand at their present locations.
2. Based on the considerations as stated above and also detailed in the Report, the Eighty Two (82) trees which are listed with justification, enclosed to this Official Memorandum as Annexure B have to be translocated. Hence permission is accorded to translocate the said 82 trees to suitable places as mentioned below in the 'Conditions'.
3. The remaining Four Hundred and Eighty (480) trees only which are listed with justification, enclosed to this Official Memorandum as Annexure C can be removed. Hence permission is accorded for removal of these said 480 trees only as per the felling of trees norms adopted by Karnataka Forest Department (KFD).

### **Conditions**

1. No damage should be caused to the trees which are retained on the spot, while carrying out the civil works or any project related works.
2. The trees which are retained-on-site have to be properly protected and maintained. Accordingly KRDCL should give an assurance in this respect.

3. The translocation of trees should be done at suitable vacant spaces at the following areas in collaboration with the DCF, BBMP.
  - a. Foreshore area of the Kachamaranahalli lake, Kodathi Grama Panchayat, Bengaluru
4. The Persons/Agencies who are entrusted with translocation works should have sufficient knowledge and experience in such works.
5. The work of translocation of trees has to be executed under close supervision of Officials/Officers of Forest Wing of BBMP and according to the formulated guidelines of UAS, Bengaluru.
6. The trees so translocated have to be properly maintained and taken care of, for a minimum period of three years.
7. The entire process of translocation of trees has to be properly documented and records compiled in a systematic manner.
8. In lieu of the trees translocated and felled, 10 healthy and heighted saplings have to be planted in lieu of each tree either translocated or felled. The saplings have to be planted as per forestry practices and maintained for a minimum period of three years. Photographs and proper documentation has to be there for saplings/seedlings planted.
9. Regular monitoring must be done to ensure the conducive growth of translocated trees and planted saplings/seedlings.
10. The Compensatory Afforestation plan as per KFD Afforestation norms has to be submitted within 15 days from the date of issue of this OM.

  
Tree Officer and  
Deputy Conservator of Forests  
Bruhat Bengaluru Mahanagara Palike,  
Bengaluru

**Copy to:**

1. The Executive Engineer, Project Office, East Zone, KRDC, Bengaluru
2. The Chairman, Tree Authority and Chief Conservator of Forests, Bangalore Circle, Bangalore for kind information
3. The Member Secretary – Tree Expect Committee, and the Assistant Conservator of Forests, BBMP for information and further action.
4. The Assistant Conservator of Forests, BBMP for information and further action
5. The Range Forest Officer/Deputy Range Forest Officers for information and further action
6. Office Copy

## Retention of Trees

### Widening and Improvement of Road from Gunjur (BBMP limits Chainage-26.600) to Belathoor Bridge (Chainage 37.450)

Sl. No.	Tree No	Tree Species	Girth (Mtr)	Height (Mtr)	Tree Description
1.	20 20 A	Arali	3.50 4.00	3.00 3.50	This tree is in the project area, but due to its aralikatte/sacred place. The KRDCCL officials retaining it
2.	21	Neem	0.60	2.50	This tree is in the project area, but due to its aralikatte/sacred place. The KRDCCL officials retaining it
3.	30	Rain tree	0.50	3.00	The tree comes in the carriage way, suggested for retention
4.	32	Rain tree	0.35	3.00	The tree comes in the carriage way, suggested for retention
5.	33	Rain tree	0.50	2.50	The tree comes in the carriage way, suggested for retention
6.	34	Rain tree	0.55	3.00	The tree comes in the carriage way, suggested for retention
7.	36	Ala	1.50	3.00	The tree comes in the carriage way, suggested for retention
8.	37 37 A 37 B	Ala Ala Ala	2.00 1.50 1.30	2.50 3.00 3.00	The tree comes in the carriage way, suggested for retention
9.	38	Ashoka	0.40	2.50	Tree is not obstructing the project activity, standing in the sholder of road. Hence, it is suggested for retention.
10.	39	Ashoka	0.40	2.50	Tree is not obstructing the project activity, standing in the sholder of road. Hence, it is suggested for retention.
11.	40	Mahagony	0.70	2.50	Tree is not obstructing the project activity, standing in the sholder of road. Hence, it is suggested for retention.
12.	41	Ashoka	0.40	3.00	Tree is not obstructing the project activity, standing in the sholder of road. Hence, it is suggested for retention.
13.	42	Mahagony	0.80	3.00	Tree is not obstructing the project activity, standing in the sholder of road. Hence, it is suggested for retention.
14.	43	Ashoka	0.40	3.00	Tree is not obstructing the project activity, standing in the sholder of road. Hence, it is suggested for retention.

15.	44	Ashoka	0.40	3.00	Tree is not obstructing the project activity, standing in the sholder of road. Hence, it is suggested for retention.
16.	45	Gulmohar	2.90	3.00	Tree is not obstructing the project activity, standing in the sholder of road. Hence, it is suggested for retention.
17.	46	Silver oak	0.60	3.50	Tree is not obstructing the project activity, standing in the sholder of road. Hence, it is suggested for retention.
18.	47	Peltophorum	2.60	2.50	Tree is not obstructing the project activity, standing in the sholder of road. Hence, it is suggested for retention.
19.	48	Gulmohar	3.20	3.00	Tree is not obstructing the project activity, standing in the sholder of road. Hence, it is suggested for retention.
20.	54	Honge	0.50	2.50	Tree standing in the carriage way, hence suggested for retention.
21.	63	Kaadubadami	0.80	2.50	This tree is not obstructing the construction of road. Hence, suggested for retention.
22.	64	Kaadubadami	0.50	3.00	This tree is not obstructing the construction of road. Hence, suggested for retention.
23.	74	Ala	0.90	2.50	This tree is not obstructing the construction of road. Hence, suggested for retention.
24.	75	Ala	0.50	2.50	This tree is not obstructing the construction of road. Hence, suggested for retention.
25.	76	Arali	3.20	3.00	This tree is not obstructing the construction of road. Hence, suggested for retention.
26.	77	Neem	0.50	3.00	This tree is not obstructing the construction of road. Hence, suggested for retention.
27.	80	Gulmohar	2.10	2.50	This tree is not obstructing the construction of road. Hence, suggested for retention.
28.	81	Gulmohar	1.80	2.50	This tree is not obstructing the construction of road. Hence, suggested for retention.
29.	89	Bottle Brush	0.40	3.00	This tree is not obstructing the construction of road. Hence, suggested for retention.
30.	90	Bilvapatra	0.60	4.00	This tree is not obstructing the construction of road. Hence, suggested for retention.
31.	91	Atti	2.50	3.00	This tree is not obstructing the construction of road. Hence, suggested for retention.
32.	92	Honge	0.60	3.00	This tree is not obstructing the construction of road. Hence, suggested for retention.
33.	93	Honge	0.35	2.50	This tree is not obstructing the construction of road. Hence, suggested for retention.
34.	94	Neem	1.40	2.50	This tree is not obstructing the construction of road. Hence, suggested for retention.
35.	96	Atti	1.70	2.50	This tree is not obstructing the construction of road. Hence, suggested for retention.

36.	97	Ala	2.70	2.50	This tree is not obstructing the construction of road. Hence, suggested for retention.
37.	101	Baage	0.80	2.50	This tree is not obstructing the construction of road. Hence, suggested for retention.
38.	129	Silver oak	0.80	3.50	This tree is not obstructing the construction of road. Hence, suggested for retention.
39.	130	Silver oak	0.80	4.00	This tree is not obstructing the construction of road. Hence, suggested for retention.
40.	132	Nilgiri	0.80	5.00	This tree is not obstructing the construction of road. Hence, suggested for retention.
41.	134 134 A	Nilgiri	1.00 0.80	2.50 2.50	This tree is not obstructing the construction of road. Hence, suggested for retention.
42.	135	Nilgiri	0.70	3.50	This tree is not obstructing the construction of road. Hence, suggested for retention.
43.	146	Mahagony	0.50	2.50	This tree is not obstructing the construction of road. Hence, suggested for retention.
44.	147	Mahagony	0.65	3.00	This tree is not obstructing the construction of road. Hence, suggested for retention.
45.	149	Mahagony	0.80	3.00	This tree is not obstructing the construction of road. Hence, suggested for retention.
46.	168	Nilgiri	1.90	5.00	This tree is not obstructing the construction of road. Hence, suggested for retention.
47.	169	Neem	0.70	3.00	This tree is not obstructing the construction of road. Hence, suggested for retention.
48.	170	Nilgiri	1.90	4.50	This tree is not obstructing the construction of road. Hence, suggested for retention.
49.	182	Atti	0.40	2.50	This tree is not obstructing the construction of road. Hence, suggested for retention.
50.	300	Rain tree	3.50	4.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the alignment, can be retained by pruning the branches
51.	319/3	Goni	0.35	3.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the alignment, can be retained by pruning the branches
52.	321	Honge	1.50	2.50	Tree is coming on the edge of the alignment, can be retained by pruning the branches
53.	324	Neem	0.80	2.50	Tree is coming on the edge of the alignment, can be retained by pruning the branches
54.	325	Honge	0.80	2.50	Tree is coming on the edge of the alignment, can be retained by pruning the branches
55.	328	Cherry	0.60	2.50	Tree is coming on the edge of the alignment, can be retained by pruning the branches
56.	329	Nilgiri	1.00	3.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the alignment, can be retained by pruning the branches

57.	330	Nilgiri	1.00	4.50	Tree is coming on the edge of the alignment, can be retained by pruning the branches
58.	333	Hippe	0.75	3.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the alignment, can be retained by pruning the branches
59.	337	Honge	1.00	2.50	Tree is bended and forked
60.	339	Rain tree	0.75	2.50	Tree is coming on the edge of the alignment, can be retained by pruning the branches
61.	340	Honge	0.50	2.50	Tree is coming on the edge of the alignment, can be retained by pruning the branches
	340 A		0.60	2.50	Tree is coming on the edge of the alignment, can be retained by pruning the branches
62.	342	Gulmohar	0.35	2.50	Tree is coming on the edge of the alignment, can be retained by pruning the branches
63.	353	Neem	0.80	2.50	Tree is coming on the edge of the alignment, can be retained by pruning the branches
	353 A		1.10	3.00	
64.	370	Arali	2.25	2.50	Tree is coming on the edge of the alignment, can be retained by pruning the branches
65.	372	Hunase	1.50	3.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the alignment, can be retained by pruning the branches
	372 A		0.90	3.00	
66.	373	Coconut	0.85	6.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the alignment, can be retained
67.	374	Coconut	0.90	7.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the alignment, can be retained
68.	375	Coconut	0.90	5.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the alignment, can be retained
69.	376	Coconut	1.00	6.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the alignment, can be retained
70.	377	Coconut	0.90	8.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the alignment, can be retained
71.	378	Coconut	0.80	7.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the alignment, can be retained
72.	379	Coconut	1.00	6.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the alignment, can be retained
73.	380	Coconut	0.90	6.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the alignment, can be retained
74.	381	Coconut	0.80	7.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the alignment, can be retained
75.	400	Neem	0.90	3.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the alignment, can be retained



76.	405	Peltophorum	0.55	3.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the alignment, can be retained
77.	421	Kaadu Badami	0.50	2.50	Tree is coming on the edge of the alignment, can be retained by pruning the branches
78.	440	Ashoka	0.30	2.50	Tree is coming on the edge of the alignment, can be retained by pruning the branches
79.	441	Ashoka	0.45	3.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the alignment, can be retained by pruning the branches
80.	449	Coconut	0.70	7.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the alignment, can be retained
81.	450	Coconut	0.80	7.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the alignment, can be retained
82.	451	Coconut	0.80	8.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the alignment, can be retained
83.	452	Coconut	0.80	8.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the alignment, can be retained
84.	461	Coconut	0.80	8.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the alignment, can be retained
85.	462	Coconut	0.80	7.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the alignment, can be retained
86.	464	Coconut	0.90	7.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the alignment, can be retained
87.	465	Coconut	0.70	7.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the alignment, can be retained
88.	466	Coconut	0.90	7.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the alignment, can be retained
89.	473	Rain tree	3.00	3.50	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for deviation (short stretch of dimensions 4/5m length and 2/3m width) and of service and main carriage way. The short stretch of deviation to be maintained as "Tree Island", and hence the tree is recommended for retention.
90.	474	Spathodea	0.90	3.50	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for deviation (short stretch of dimensions 4/5m length and 2/3m width) and of service and main carriage way. The short stretch of deviation to be maintained as "Tree Island", and hence the tree is recommended for retention. The tree is bent and stressed; hence the major trunk portion of the tree can be maintained with proper pruning) appropriately as a woody dwelling space for birds.

91.	475	Gulmohar	1.60	2.50	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for deviation (short stretch of dimensions 4/5m length and 2/3m width) and of service and main carriage way. The short stretch of deviation to be maintained as "Tree Island", and hence the tree is recommended for retention.
92.	476	Spathodea	1.60	2.50	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for deviation (short stretch of dimensions 4/5m length and 2/3m width) and of service and main carriage way. The short stretch of deviation to be maintained as "Tree Island", and hence the tree is recommended for retention.
93.	500	Peltophorum	1.90	3.00	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx.) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
94.	531	Coconut	0.60	6.50	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx.) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
95.	532	Coconut	0.60	7.00	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx.) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
96.	533	Neem	2.00	3.00	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx.) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
97.	534	<i>Ficus benjamina</i>	1.20	2.50	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx.) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is

					recommended for retention.
98.	535	Neem	1.80	3.00	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx.) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
99.	536	Nerale	0.90	3.00	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx.) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
100.	537	Tapassi	1.20	4.00	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx.) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
101.	538	<i>Ficus benjamina</i>	1.50	3.50	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx.) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
102.	539	Nerale	1.20	2.50	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx.) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
103.	540	Nerale	0.40	2.00	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx.) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
104.	541	Silver oak	1.80	4.00	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx.) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the

					proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
105.	542	Akasha Mallige	2.20	3.00	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx.) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
106.	543	Nerale	0.40	2.50	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx.) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
107.	544	<i>Ficus benamina</i>	1.00	2.50	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx.) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
108.	545	Silver Oak	2.00	4.00	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx.) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
109.	546	<i>Ficus benamina</i>	1.20	2.50	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx.) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
110.	549	Tabubia	2.00	2.50	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx.) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
111.	550	Silver Oak	1.00	3.50	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx.) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location

					specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
112.	551	<i>Ficus benjamina</i>	1.20	3.00	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx.) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
113.	552	Neem	3.00	2.50	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx.) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
114.	553	Nerale	0.90	3.00	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx.) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
115.	556	<i>Ficus benjamina</i>	2.00	2.50	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx.) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
116.	575	Christmas tree	1.20	4.00	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx.) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
117.	606	Royal palm	1.80	8.00	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx.) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
118.	611	Arali	0.60	3.00	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx.) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction

					activity the tree is recommended for retention.
119.	612	Athi	0.90	2.50	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
120.	617	Coconut	0.90	8.00	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
121.	620	Ornamental sp.	0.60	4.00	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
122.	622	Rain tree	31.50	3.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured and exotic) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
123.	625	Peltophorum	1.90	2.50	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
124.	626	Peltophorum	1.70	2.50	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
125.	628 628 A	Akasha Mallige	1.20 0.20	2.50 3.00	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
126.	630	Akasha Mallige	1.20	3.00	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-

					level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
127.	632	Akasha Mallige	1.55	2.50	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
128.	634	Akasha Mallige	2.40	2.50	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
129.	637	Akasha Mallige	1.70	2.50	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
130.	638	Gulmohar	1.60	2.50	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
131.	646	Rain tree	0.90	2.50	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
132.	665	Ashoka	0.80	4.00	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
133.	666	Ashoka	0.70	4.00	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
134.	667	Ashoka	0.70	4.00	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-

					level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
135.	668	Ashoka	1.15	4.00	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
136.	669	Ashoka	2.05	4.00	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
137.	670	Ashoka	1.10	4.00	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
138.	671 671 A	Ashoka	0.60 0.50	4.00 4.00	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
139.	672	Ashoka	1.10	4.00	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
140.	673	Ashoka	1.10	4.00	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
141.	674 674 A	Ashoka	1.40 0.40	4.00 4.00	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
142.	675	Ashoka	0.80	4.00	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and



					through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
143.	676	Ashoka	0.90	4.00	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
144.	677	Ashoka	1.15	4.00	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
145.	678	Ashoka	0.75	4.00	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
146.	679	Ashoka	1.05	4.00	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
147.	680	Ashoka	0.90	4.00	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
148.	681	Ashoka	0.70	4.00	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
149.	682	Ashoka	0.85	4.00	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
150.	683	Ashoka	1.10	4.00	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and

					through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
151.	685	Ashoka	0.50	4.00	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
152.	701	Nilgiri	1.20	5.00	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
153.	702	Hunase	1.20	2.50	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
154.	703	Rain tree	2.00	3.00	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
155.	704	Nilgiri	1.50	4.00	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
156.	705 705 A	Gulmohar	0.80 0.20	4.00 4.00	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
157.	706 706 A	Spathodea	1.00 1.00	4.00 5.00	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
158.	707	Honge	0.80	2.50	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m

					(approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
159.	708	Honge	0.60	3.00	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
160.	709	Honge	1.20	2.50	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
161.	710	Peltophorum	1.00	2.50	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
162.	711	Peltophorum	1.50	2.50	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
163.	712	Hunase	0.50	2.50	The tree is standing abutting the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and through appropriate location specific (micro-level) re-alignment of the proposed construction activity the tree is recommended for retention.
<b>Total trees for Retention = 163 Nos.</b>					

  
Tree Officer &

Deputy Conservator of Forests  
BBMP, Bangalore.



## Transplantation of Trees

**Widening and Improvement of Road from Gunjur (BBMP limits Chainage-26.600) to Belathoor Bridge (Chainage 37.450)**

Sl. No.	Tree No	Tree Species	Girth (Mtr)	Height (Mtr)	Tree Description
1.	48/1	Mahagony	0.25	2.50	Tree is young and healthy, not found any significant visible defects. Hence, it is recommended for translocation.
2.	48/2	Mahagony	0.25	3.00	Tree is young and healthy, not found any significant visible defects. Hence, it is recommended for translocation.
3.	60	Atti	1.30	3.00	Tree is young, healthy, not found any visible defective symptoms. Hence, it is recommended for translocation.
4.	70	Mahagony	0.85	2.50	Tree is young, and it has straight bole with strong trunk base. Hence, it is recommended for translocation.
5.	73	Arali	0.60	2.00	Tree is young, healthy not found any visible significant defects. Hence, it is recommended for translocation.
6.	123	Hole Dasavala	0.70	2.50	Tree is young, healthy and good canopy. Hence, it is recommended for translocation.
7.	124	Hole Dasavala	0.70	3.00	Tree is young, healthy and good canopy. Hence, it is recommended for translocation.
8.	126	BasavanaPada	0.90	3.00	Young tree and it has straight bole, not found any visible significant defective symptoms. Hence, it is recommended for translocation.
9.	175	Mahagony	0.45	2.50	Young tree and healthy tree, fit for translocation.
10.	176	Mahagony	0.50	2.50	Young tree and healthy tree, fit for translocation.
11.	177	Mahagony	0.80	3.00	Young tree and healthy tree, fit for translocation.
12.	188 188 A	Honge	0.50 0.60	2.50 3.00	Tree has forked branches, but healthy. Hence, it is recommended for translocation.
13.	189	Mahagony	0.80	2.50	Young tree, healthy. Hence, it is recommended Translocation.
14.	190	Mahagony	0.60	2.50	Tree has forked branches, and healthy. Hence, it is recommended Translocation.
15.	191	Mahagony	0.40	2.50	Young tree, healthy. Hence, it is recommended Translocation.
16.	192	Mahagony	0.70	2.50	Young tree, healthy. Hence, it is recommended Translocation.
17.	195	Mahagony	0.65	2.50	Young tree, healthy. Hence, it is recommended Translocation.

18.	197 197 A 197 B	Mahagony	0.30 0.40 0.30	3.00 2.50 2.50	Tree has multiforked branches and healthy. Hence, it is recommended for translocation.
19.	210	Honge	0.35	2.50	This tree is Young and healthy, hence, it is recommended for translocation.
20.	244	Mahagony	0.85	3.00	Tree is young and healthy. Hence, it is recommended for translocation.
21.	247	Mahagony	0.80	3.00	Tree is young and healthy. Hence, it is recommended for translocation.
22.	249	Nerale	0.90	2.50	Tree is young and healthy. Hence, it is recommended for translocation.
23.	253	Mahagony	0.80	3.00	Tree is young and healthy. Hence, it is recommended for translocation.
24.	255	Mahagony	0.90	2.50	Tree is young and healthy. Hence, it is recommended for translocation.
25.	256 256 A	Mahagony	0.50 0.60	3.00 3.00	Tree is young and healthy. Hence, it is recommended for translocation.
26.	257	Kaadubadami	0.50	3.00	Tree is young and healthy. Hence, it is recommended for translocation.
27.	260/1	Honge	0.25	2.50	Tree is young and healthy. Hence, it is recommended for translocation.
28.	262	Mahagony	0.65	3.00	Tree is young and healthy. Hence, it is recommended for translocation.
	271	Mahagony	0.75	2.50	Tree is young and healthy. Hence, it is recommended Translocation.
29.	272	Honge	0.40	2.50	Tree is young and healthy. Hence, it is recommended Translocation.
30.	273	Mahagony	0.85	3.00	Tree is young and healthy. Hence, it is recommended Translocation.
31.	275	Mahagony	0.80	3.00	Tree is young and healthy. Hence, it is recommended Translocation.
32.	275/2	Mahagony	0.20	2.50	Tree is young and healthy. Hence, it is recommended Translocation.
33.	276	Mahagony	0.90	3.00	Tree is young and healthy. Hence, it is recommended Translocation.
34.	276/1	Kaadubadami	0.35	2.50	Tree is young and healthy. Hence, it is recommended Translocation.
35.	277	Mahagony	0.85	3.00	Tree is young and healthy. Hence, it is recommended Translocation.
36.	278	Hoovarsi	0.35	2.50	Tree is young and healthy. Hence, it is recommended Translocation.

37.	278/1	Kaadubadami	0.25	2.50	Tree is young and healthy. Hence, it is recommended Translocation.
38.	303	Mahagony	0.60	3.00	Tree is young and healthy. Hence, it is recommended Translocation.
39.	305	Mahagony	0.30	4.00	Tree is young and healthy. Hence, it is recommended Translocation.
40.	314	Mahagony	0.60	3.00	Tree is young and healthy. Hence, it is recommended Translocation.
41.	315/1	Dalichandra	0.25	2.50	Tree is young and healthy. Hence, it is recommended Translocation.
42.	319/2	Mahagony	0.65	2.50	Tree is young and healthy. Hence, it is recommended Translocation.
43.	343	Cashew	0.30	3.00	Tree is young and healthy. Hence, it is recommended Translocation.
44.	344	Cashew	0.45	3.00	Tree is young and healthy. Hence, it is recommended Translocation.
45.	345	Cashew	0.30	2.50	Tree is young and healthy. Hence, it is recommended Translocation.
46.	346	Cashew	0.30	2.50	Tree is young and healthy. Hence, it is recommended Translocation.
47.	347	Cashew	0.45	2.50	Tree is young and healthy. Hence, it is recommended Translocation.
48.	348	Cashew	0.45	2.50	Tree is young and healthy. Hence, it is recommended Translocation.
49.	357	Christmas Tree	0.40	2.50	Tree is young and healthy. Hence, it is recommended Translocation.
50.	357/1	Mahagony	0.40	3.00	Tree is young and healthy. Hence, it is recommended Translocation.
51.	357/2	Honge	0.25	3.00	Tree is young and healthy. Hence, it is recommended Translocation.
52.	363	Mahagony	0.40	2.50	Tree is young and healthy. Hence, it is recommended Translocation.
53.	364	Mahagony	0.45	2.50	Tree is young and healthy. Hence, it is recommended Translocation.
54.	397	Mahagony	0.60	2.50	Tree is young and healthy. Hence, it is recommended Translocation.
55.	407	Rain tree	0.40	3.00	Tree is young and healthy. Hence, it is recommended Translocation.
56.	408	Rain tree	0.55	3.00	Tree is young and healthy. Hence, it is recommended Translocation.


57.	409	Mahagony	0.30	2.50	Tree is young and healthy. Hence, it is recommended Translocation.
58.	414	Tabubia Rosia	0.90	2.50	Tree is young and healthy. Hence, it is recommended Translocation.
59.	419	Athi	0.80	2.50	Tree is young and healthy. Hence, it is recommended Translocation.
60.	420	Mahagony	0.30	2.50	Tree is young and healthy. Hence, it is recommended Translocation.
61.	488	Arali	1.10	2.50	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for mid-pillar for the ROB. In consideration to the health status, species and feasible site conditions the tree is recommended for transplantation.
62.	493	Mahagony	1.40	3.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area (includes the existing service road (+ utility lanes) and foot path) earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes). In consideration to the species, site and growth conditions and project proposal the tree is recommended for transplantation.
63.	496	Mahagony	0.90	3.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area (includes the existing service road (+ utility lanes) and foot path) earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes). In consideration to the species, site and growth conditions and project proposal the tree is recommended for transplantation.
64.	496/1	Basavana paada	0.30	2.50	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area (includes the existing service road (+ utility lanes) and foot path) earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes). In consideration to the species, site and growth conditions and project proposal the tree is recommended for transplantation.
65.	497	Mahagony	0.30	4.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area (includes the existing service road (+ utility lanes) and foot path) earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes). In consideration to the species, site and growth conditions and project proposal the tree is recommended for transplantation.



66.	499	Spathodea	0.40	3.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area (includes the existing service road (+ utility lanes) and foot path) earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes). In consideration to the species, site and growth conditions and project proposal the tree is recommended for transplantation.
67.	527	Tecoma	0.40	3.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area (includes the existing service road (+ utility lanes) and foot path) earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes). In consideration to the site and growth conditions and project proposal the tree is recommended for transplantation.
68.	528/1	Arali	0.25	2.50	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area (includes the existing service road (+ utility lanes) and foot path) earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes). In consideration to the site and growth conditions and project proposal the tree is recommended for transplantation.
69.	528/2	Arali	0.25	2.50	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area (includes the existing service road (+ utility lanes) and foot path) earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes). In consideration to the site and growth conditions and project proposal the tree is recommended for transplantation.
70.	528/3	Arali	0.20	2.50	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area (includes the existing service road (+ utility lanes) and foot path) earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes). In consideration to the site and growth conditions and project proposal the tree is recommended for transplantation.
71.	528/4	Arali	0.20	2.50	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area (includes the existing service road (+ utility lanes) and foot path) earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes). In consideration to the site and growth conditions and project proposal the tree is recommended for transplantation.

72.	547	Ficus benjamina	0.60	2.50	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for pillar (no.11) for the ROB. In consideration to the tree species / status and site (for excavation of root ball) condition, the tree is recommended for transplantation.
73.	548	Ficus benjamina	1.20	2.50	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for pillar (no.11) for the ROB. In consideration to the tree species / status and site (for excavation of root ball) condition, the tree is recommended for transplantation.
74.	555	<i>Ficus benjamina</i>	1.20	2.50	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for pillar (no.11) for the ROB. In consideration to the species and site (for excavation of root ball) condition, the tree is recommended for transplantation.
75.	561	Bilvapatre	0.90	3.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status and site condition, the tree is recommended for transplantation.
76.	562	Bilvapatre	0.80	2.50	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status and site condition, the tree is recommended for transplantation.
77.	567	Kaadubadami	1.20	3.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status and site condition, the tree is recommended for transplantation.
78.	567/1	Mahagony	0.70	2.50	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status and site condition, the tree is recommended for transplantation.
79.	581	Nerale	0.50	2.50	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status and site condition, the tree is recommended for transplantation.

80.	624	Honge	1.25	2.50	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree status (for excavation of root ball), the tree is recommended for transplantation.
81.	642	<i>Ficus benjamina</i>	0.50	2.50	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (native), the tree is recommended for transplantation.
82.	684 684 A	<i>Ficus benjamina</i>	0.90 0.50	3.00 3.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status and site condition, the tree is recommended for transplantation.
<b>Total trees for Translocation = 82 Nos.</b>					

  
Tree Officer &

Deputy Conservator of Forests  
BBMP, Bangalore.



## Felling of Trees

### Widening and Improvement of Road from Gunjur (BBMP limits Chainage-26.600) to Belathoor Bridge (Chainage 37.450)

Sl. No.	Tree No	Tree Species	Girth (Mtr)	Height (Mtr)	Tree Description
1.	1	Rain tree	3.20	3.00	Matured tree and it has multiforked (3 Nos.), healthy, but not suitable for translocation, since the metabolic activity of trees reduces with increase in age of the tree. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
2.	2	Rain tree	3.20	4.00	Matured tree, healthy, but not suitable for translocation, since the metabolic activity of trees reduces with increase in age of the tree. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
3.	3	Rain tree	2.40	2.50	Matured tree, healthy, but not suitable for translocation, since the metabolic activity of trees reduces with increase in age of the tree. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
4.	4	Rain tree	2.40	2.50	Matured tree, healthy, but not suitable for translocation, since the metabolic activity of trees reduces with increase in age of the tree. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
5.	5	Nagalinga	1.10	3.00	Tree is young, but the main trunk is damaged at the bottom. Hence, it is not fit for translocation. Hence recommended for felling.
6.	6	Rain tree	1.60	5.00	Matured tree, healthy, but not suitable for translocation, since the metabolic activity of trees reduces with increase in age of the tree. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
7.	7	Rain tree	2.40	2.50	Matured tree, healthy, but not suitable for translocation, since the metabolic activity of trees reduces with increase in age of the tree. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
8.	8	Hebbevu	0.90	3.00	The tree is young, it has clear, straight bole, found to be healthy but located adjacent to the store building. Hence, the excavation of root ball of earth is not possible. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
9.	9 9 A 9 B	Hebbevu	1.00 1.00 1.00	2.50 2.50 3.50	Tree has multiforked from the base of the bole/trunk. This is present near the building, not suitable for translocation, due to problems in associated with the excavation of root ball.
10.	10	Neem	0.50	2.50	Tree is healthy but it is covered with concrete and the roots are restricted. Hence, it is recommended for felling

11.	11	Rain tree	1.90	2.50	Matured tree, healthy, but not suitable for translocation, since the metabolic activity of trees reduces with increase in age of the tree. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
12.	12	Rain tree	2.40	3.50	Matured tree, healthy, but not suitable for translocation, since the metabolic activity of trees reduces with increase in age of the tree. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
13.	13	Rain tree	2.50	2.50	Matured tree, healthy, but not suitable for translocation, since the metabolic activity of trees reduces with increase in age of the tree. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
14.	14	KondaBevu	0.40	3.00	Tree is healthy, not found any visual defects, but tree is covered with concrete. Hence, not possible to excavate the root ball of earth. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
15.	15	KondaBevu	0.40	2.50	Tree is healthy, not found any visual defects, but tree is covered with concrete. Hence, not possible to excavate the root ball of earth. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
16.	17	Rain tree	3.10	4.50	Matured tree, healthy, but not suitable for translocation, since the metabolic activity of trees reduces with increase in age of the tree. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
17.	18	Coconut	0.90	7.00	The tree is standing in the project area. This tall tree is not fit for translocation. Hence recommended for felling.
18.	19	Coconut	0.80	7.00	The tree is standing in the project area. This tall tree is not fit for translocation. Hence recommended for felling.
19.	22	Arali	2.60	3.00	Matured tree, healthy, but not suitable for translocation, since the metabolic activity of trees reduces with increase in age of the tree. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
20.	23	Neem	2.00	2.50	Matured tree, healthy, but not suitable for translocation, since the metabolic activity of trees reduces with increase in age of the tree. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
21.	24 24 A	Arali	2.80 3.70	3.50 4.00	Tree has forked branch Matured tree, healthy, but not suitable for translocation, since the metabolic activity of trees reduces with increase in age of the tree. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
22.	25	Rain tree	0.60	2.50	Tree is lean and slanting, hence not worth for translocation. Hence recommended for felling.
23.	26	Rain tree	1.90	3.00	Matured tree and it has forked branches, not fit for Translocation. Hence recommended for felling.

24.	27	Rain tree	2.40	2.50	Matured tree and it has forked branches, not fit for Translocation. Hence recommended for felling.
25.	28	Rain tree	2.00	3.00	Matured tree and it has forked branches, not fit for Translocation. Hence recommended for felling.
26.	29	Rain tree	2.00	3.00	Matured tree and it has forked branches, not fit for Translocation. Hence recommended for felling.
27.	31	Silver wood	0.45	4.00	The tree obstructing the construction of drain. Recommended for felling, due to its low ecological impact.
28.	35	Rain tree	2.60	3.00	Matured tree, healthy, but not suitable for translocation, since the metabolic activity of trees reduces with increase in age of the tree. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
29.	49	Coconut	0.80	7.50	The tree is standing in the project area. This tall tree is not fit for translocation. Hence recommended for felling.
30.	50	Coconut	0.80	8.00	The tree is standing in the project area. This tall tree is not fit for translocation. Hence recommended for felling.
31.	51	Coconut	0.90	8.00	The tree is standing in the project area. This tall tree is not fit for translocation. Hence recommended for felling.
32.	52	Rain tree	3.60	3.00	Matured tree, healthy, but not suitable for translocation, since the metabolic activity of trees reduces with increase in age of the tree. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
33.	53	Coconut	0.90	8.00	The tree is standing in the project area. This tall tree is not fit for translocation. Hence recommended for felling.
34.	55	Coconut	1.00	9.00	The tree is standing in the project area. This tall tree is not fit for translocation. Hence recommended for felling.
35.	56	Coconut	0.90	8.00	The tree is standing in the project area. This tall tree is not fit for translocation. Hence recommended for felling.
36.	57	Rain tree	2.00	3.50	Matured tree, mechanically damaged. Hence, it is unfit for translocation.
37.	58	Coconut	1.00	8.00	The tree is standing in the project area. This tall tree is not fit for translocation. Hence recommended for felling.
38.	59	Coconut	0.80	5.00	The tree is standing in the project area. This tall tree is not fit for translocation. Hence recommended for felling.
39.	61	Royal palm	0.90	4.00	The tree is standing in the project area. This tall tree is not fit for translocation. Hence recommended for felling.

40.	62	Royal palm	1.30	5.00	The tree is standing in the project area. This tall tree is not fit for translocation. Hence recommended for felling.
41.	65	Peltophorum	2.00	2.50	Tree has multi fork (4 Nos.) and the main trunk is damaged and tree is matured. Hence, recommended for felling.
42.	66	Rain tree	0.65	3.00	The tree has Already dried. Hence recommended for felling
43.	67	Rain tree	1.35	3.00	Tree has multi fork (4 Nos.) and the main trunk is damaged and tree is matured. Hence, recommended for felling.
44.	68 68 A	Honge	0.85 0.90	2.50 2.50	Matured tree, and it has forked branches, the metabolic activity of the matured decreases with age. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
45.	69	Rain tree	2.25	3.00	Matured tree, some branches are pruned, found fungal fruting bodies. Recommended for felling
46.	71	Rain tree	1.20	3.00	The bole of the tree is mechanically damaged, and some branches are pruned. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
47.	78	Gulmohar	1.50	3.00	Matured tree and found trunk/root flared on the surface, not possible to excavate appropriate root ball of earth for translocation.
48.	79	Gulmohar	0.80	3.00	Tree is young, and found hallowness at the bottom of the bole. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
49.	82	Gulmohar	1.80	2.50	Matured tree and it has multi fork, and tree will not survive upon translocation due to matured tree. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
50.	83	Gulmohar	1.50	2.50	Matured tree, and tree will not survive upon translocation due to matured tree. Hence, it is recommended for felling
51.	84	Gulmohar	1.80	2.50	Tree has forked branches, matured, and tree will not survive upon translocation due to matured tree. Hence, it is recommended for felling
52.	85	Gulmohar	1.00	2.50	Trunk flares are observed on the soil surface and tree found to be week. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
53.	86	Gulmohar	1.55	2.50	Matured tree, and it has forked branches, the tree will not survive upon translocation. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
54.	87	Gulmohar	2.00	2.50	Matured tree, and it has multi forked branches (3 Nos.), the tree will not survive upon translocation. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
55.	88	Gulmohar	1.50	4.00	Trunk flares are observed on the soil surface and tree found to be week. Hence, it is recommended for felling.



56.	95	Mahagony	0.50	2.50	The tree has Already dried. Hence recommended for felling
57.	99	Rain tree	2.00	3.00	Matured tree, and it has forked branches, the metabolic activity of the matured decreases with age. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
58.	100 100 A 100 B 100 C 100 D	Ala	0.90 1.20 1.80 1.20 0.80	2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50	Matured tree, and it has multi forked branches, the metabolic activity of the matured decreases with age. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
59.	102	Honge	0.45	2.50	Young tree, the bole of this tree is damaged upto 1 meter from the ground level. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
60.	103	Honge	0.60	2.50	Young tree, the bole of this tree has hallowness at the bottom. Hence, it is recommended for felling
61.	104	Nilgiri	0.60	2.50	Due to negative impact on the environment, it is recommended for felling.
62.	105	Honge	1.50	2.50	Matured tree and some portion of the bole is damaged and it is infested with wood borer. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
63.	106	Teak	0.60	3.00	Tree No. 106 to 114 are standing with a spacing of 1.0 to 1.5 meter. Excavation of appropriate root ball of earth is not possible for translocation. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
64.	107	Teak	0.60	3.00	Tree No. 106 to 114 are standing with a spacing of 1.0 to 1.5 meter. Excavation of appropriate root ball of earth is not possible for translocation. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
65.	108	Drum Stick	0.60	3.00	Tree No. 106 to 114 are standing with a spacing of 1.0 to 1.5 meter. Excavation of appropriate root ball of earth is not possible for translocation. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
66.	109	Jack fruit	0.90	3.00	Tree No. 106 to 114 are standing with a spacing of 1.0 to 1.5 meter. Excavation of appropriate root ball of earth is not possible for translocation. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
67.	110	Teak	0.70	3.00	Tree No. 106 to 114 are standing with a spacing of 1.0 to 1.5 meter. Excavation of appropriate root ball of earth is not possible for translocation. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
68.	111	Teak	0.60	3.00	Tree No. 106 to 114 are standing with a spacing of 1.0 to 1.5 meter. Excavation of appropriate root ball of earth is not possible for translocation. Hence, it is recommended for

					felling.
69.	112	Teak	0.80	3.00	Tree No. 106 to 114 are standing with a spacing of 1.0 to 1.5 meter. Excavation of appropriate root ball of earth is not possible for translocation. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
70.	113	Teak	0.60	3.00	Tree No. 106 to 114 are standing with a spacing of 1.0 to 1.5 meter. Excavation of appropriate root ball of earth is not possible for translocation. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
71.	114	Teak	0.70	3.50	Tree No. 106 to 114 are standing with a spacing of 1.0 to 1.5 meter. Excavation of appropriate root ball of earth is not possible for translocation. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
72.	115	Teak	0.70	3.00	Tree No. 106 to 114 are standing with a spacing of 1.0 to 1.5 meter. Excavation of appropriate root ball of earth is not possible for translocation. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
73.	116	Jali	1.50	2.50	The tree is coming construction area. Matured tree and almost dried and it is recommended for felling.
74.	117	Teak	0.50	2.50	Tree is very lean and weak. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
75.	118	Gulmohar	0.90	2.50	Tree is almost dried. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
76.	119 119 A 119 B	Honge	0.50 0.40 0.35	2.50 2.00 2.00	The tree is standing in the proposed drain, and it has multi fork and it appears like a bush. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
77.	120	Honge	0.50	2.50	Tree has forked branches, and tree is infested with wood borer. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
78.	121	Tabubia rosia	1.30	2.50	Matured tree, not fit for translocation. Hence recommended for felling.
79.	122	Teak	0.80	3.50	The survival rate of Hard wood species upon translocation is very less. Even though the tree is healthy, not recommended for Translocation.
80.	127	Neem	0.90	2.50	The survival rate of Hard wood species upon translocation is very less. Even though the tree is healthy, not recommended for Translocation.
81.	128	Silver oak	0.75	4.00	Tree is recommended for felling due to least ecological significance.
82.	131	Honge	0.70	3.00	Tree is slanting, mechanically damaged, the bark of the bole is damaged more or less completely. Hence, it is recommended for felling.

83.	136	Nilgiri	2.00	4.00	This tree is obstructing the construction of road. Due to its negative impact on the environment, it is recommended for felling.
84.	137 137 A	Honge	0.80 0.90	3.00 2.50	Tree has forked branches, one branch is already pruned and found decay in the junction. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
85.	138	Nilgiri	1.50	5.00	This tree is obstructing the construction of road. Due to its negative impact on the environment, it is recommended for felling.
86.	139	Nilgiri	1.40	4.00	This tree is obstructing the construction of road. Due to its negative impact on the environment, it is recommended for felling.
87.	140	Nilgiri	0.90	3.00	This tree is obstructing the construction of road. Due to its negative impact on the environment, it is recommended for felling.
88.	141	Nilgiri	1.30	5.00	This tree is obstructing the construction of road. Due to its negative impact on the environment, it is recommended for felling.
89.	142	Nilgiri	0.90	3.00	This tree is obstructing the construction of road. Due to its negative impact on the environment, it is recommended for felling.
90.	143	Nilgiri	0.90	2.50	This tree is obstructing the construction of road. Due to its negative impact on the environment, it is recommended for felling.
91.	144	Nilgiri	1.00	3.00	This tree is obstructing the construction of road. Due to its negative impact on the environment, it is recommended for felling.
92.	145	Nilgiri	1.10	5.00	This tree is obstructing the construction of road. Due to its negative impact on the environment, it is recommended for felling.
93.	148	Sasuage tree,	0.55	2.50	Tree is lean and weak. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
94.	150	Nilgiri	1.40	5.00	This tree is obstructing the construction of road. Due to its negative impact on the environment, it is recommended for felling.
95.	151	Nilgiri	1.40	4.00	This tree is obstructing the construction of road. Due to its negative impact on the environment, it is recommended for felling.
96.	152	Nilgiri	1.50	4.00	This tree is obstructing the construction of road. Due to its negative impact on the environment, it is recommended for felling.
97.	153	Teak	0.30	2.50	The survival rate of Hard wood species upon translocation is very less. Even though the tree is healthy, not recommended for Translocation.
98.	154	Teak	0.60	2.50	The survival rate of Hard wood species upon translocation is very less. Even though the tree is healthy, not recommended for Translocation

99.	155	Teak	0.50	2.50	The survival rate of Hard wood species upon translocation is very less. Even though the tree is healthy, not recommended for Translocation
100.	156	Subabul	0.65	3.00	Green manuring tree, not worth for translocation. Hence recommended for felling.
101.	157 157 A 157 B	Rain tree	1.10 1.00 1.20	3.50 3.00 3.00	Tree has multi forked and matured, it is coming under project area. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
102.	158	Teak	0.65	3.00	The survival rate of Hard wood species upon translocation is very less. Even though the tree is healthy, not recommended for Translocation
103.	159	Teak	0.55	2.50	The survival rate of Hard wood species upon translocation is very less. Even though the tree is healthy, not recommended for Translocation
104.	160	Neem	0.85	2.50	The survival rate of Hard wood species upon translocation is very less. Even though the tree is healthy, not recommended for Translocation
105.	161	Nilgiri	1.30	4.00	This tree is obstructing the construction of road. Due to its negative impact on the environment, it is recommended for felling.
106.	162	Nilgiri	1.20	4.50	This tree is obstructing the construction of road. Due to its negative impact on the environment, it is recommended for felling.
107.	163	Sissoo	0.80	2.50	Tree has multi branches, mechanically damaged. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
108.	164	Nilgiri	1.45	5.00	This tree is obstructing the construction of road. Due to its negative impact on the environment, it is recommended for felling.
109.	165	Nilgiri	1.20	5.00	This tree is obstructing the construction of road. Due to its negative impact on the environment, it is recommended for felling.
110.	166	Nilgiri	1.50	3.00	This tree is obstructing the construction of road. Due to its negative impact on the environment, it is recommended for felling.
111.	167 167 A	Honge	0.60 0.55	3.00 3.00	Tree has forked branches, and it is infested with wood borer. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
112.	171	Rain tree	1.85	3.00	Matured tree, healthy, but not suitable for translocation, since the metabolic activity of trees reduces with increase in age of the tree. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
113.	172	Nilgiri	1.90	4.00	This tree is obstructing the construction of road. Due to its negative impact on the environment, it is recommended for felling.
114.	173	Nilgiri	1.90	4.00	This tree is obstructing the construction of road. Due to its negative impact on the environment, it is recommended for felling.

115.	174	Nilgiri	1.45	4.00	This tree is obstructing the construction of road. Due to its negative impact on the environment, it is recommended for felling.
116.	178	Athi	3.10	3.50	Matured tree, healthy, but not suitable for translocation, since the metabolic activity of trees reduces with increase in age of the tree. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
117.	179 179 A 179 B 179 C	Athi	1.90 1.00 1.50 1.70	3.00 2.50 2.00 2.00	Matured tree and it has multiforked branches, healthy, but not suitable for translocation, since the metabolic activity of trees reduces with increase in age of the tree. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
118.	180 180 A	Mango	0.85 1.10	3.00 3.00	Tree has multiforked branches, not suitable for translocation, since it is a grafted one. Hence recommended for felling.
119.	181	Mango	1.45	3.50	Matured tree, not survive upon translocation. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
120.	183	Neem	0.70	3.00	The survival rate of Hard wood species upon translocation is very less. Even though the tree is healthy, not recommended for Translocation
121.	184	Honge	1.15	4.00	Tree has straight bole and found hallowness at the bottom of the base. Hence, it is recommended for felling
122.	185 185 A 185 B	Honge	0.40 0.30 0.40	2.50 2.50 2.50	Tree has multiforked, some branches are pruned, and the pruned branches are infested with wood borer. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
123.	186	Mahagony	1.20	3.00	The three is coming under construction area. Tree is healthy but matured. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
124.	187	Honge	0.45	2.50	Young tree, healthy not found any visible defective symptoms. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
125.	193 193 A	Honge	0.40 0.20	2.50 2.50	Tree has forked branches, and bole is damaged at the place of fork. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
126.	194	Honge	0.65	3.00	Tree has forked branches and healthy. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
127.	196	Honge	0.45	2.50	Young tree, and it is infested with leaf curl and infested with leaf galls. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
128.	198	Honge	1.15	3.50	Tree has forked branches, and the main trunk is mechanically damaged. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
129.	199	Mango	0.35	3.00	this tree is closed to compound area, not suitable for translocation, hence recommended

					for felling
130.	200	Coconut	0.95	8.00	The tree is standing in the project area. This tall tree is not fit for translocation. Hence recommended for felling.
131.	201	Neem	0.35	3.00	The survival rate of Hard wood species upon translocation is very less. Even though the tree is healthy, not recommended for Translocation
132.	202	Kaadu badami	0.60	3.00	Tree is young, the bole is damaged. Hence, it is recommended for felling.
133.	203	Arali	1.60	3.00	Matured tree, the tree will not survive upon translocation, due to decreased metabolic activity. Hence, it is recommended felling.
134.	204	Nilgiri	2.50	4.00	This tree is obstructing the construction of road. Due to its negative impact on the environment, it is recommended for felling.
135.	205	Nilgiri	2.65	4.00	This tree is obstructing the construction of road. Due to its negative impact on the environment, it is recommended for felling.
136.	206	Rain tree	3.10	3.00	Matured tree, and tree will not survive upon translocation due to matured tree. Hence, it is recommended for felling
137.	207	Seeme thangadi	1.40	2.50	Green manuring tree, one major branch is pruned. Its not worth for Translocation. Hence recommended for felling.
138.	208 208 A	Honge	1.20 0.50	2.50 2.50	Tree has forked branches, and found knots on the bole. Hence, it is recommended for felling
139.	209	Rain tree	3.00	3.00	Matured tree, and tree will not survive upon translocation due to matured tree. Hence, it is recommended for felling
140.	211	Rain tree	2.75	3.00	Matured tree, and tree will not survive upon translocation due to matured tree. Hence, it is recommended for felling
141.	212	Hoovarsi	1.30	2.50	Matured tree, and tree will not survive upon translocation due to matured tree. Hence, it is recommended for felling
142.	213	Honge	1.70	2.50	Matured tree, and tree will not survive upon translocation due to matured tree. Hence, it is recommended for felling
143.	214	Silver oak	0.85	4.00	The tree is located adjacent to compound wall in the School premises. This tree is recommended for felling due to its negligible ecological importance.
144.	215	Silver oak	1.05	4.50	The tree is located adjacent to compound wall in the School premises. This tree is recommended for felling due to its negligible ecological importance.
145.	216	Silver oak	0.90	4.00	The tree is located adjacent to compound wall in the School premises. This tree is recommended for felling due to its negligible

					ecological importance.
146.	217	Silver oak	0.85	3.50	The tree is located adjacent to compound wall in the School premises. This tree is recommended for felling due to its negligible ecological importance.
147.	218	Silver oak	0.95	3.50	The tree is located adjacent to compound wall in the School premises. This tree is recommended for felling due to its negligible ecological importance.
148.	219	Silver oak	0.80	3.50	The tree is located adjacent to compound wall in the School premises. This tree is recommended for felling due to its negligible ecological importance.
149.	220	Silver oak	0.80	3.00	The tree is located adjacent to compound wall in the School premises. This tree is recommended for felling due to its negligible ecological importance.
150.	221	Silver oak	0.70	3.00	The tree is located adjacent to compound wall in the School premises. This tree is recommended for felling due to its negligible ecological importance.
151.	222	Silver oak	1.35	4.00	The tree is located adjacent to compound wall in the School premises. This tree is recommended for felling due to its negligible ecological importance.
152.	223	Silver oak	1.15	4.00	The tree is located adjacent to compound wall in the School premises. This tree is recommended for felling due to its negligible ecological importance.
153.	224	Silver oak	1.20	4.00	The tree is located adjacent to compound wall in the School premises. This tree is recommended for felling due to its negligible ecological importance.
154.	225	Silver oak	0.90	4.00	The tree is located adjacent to compound wall in the School premises. This tree is recommended for felling due to its negligible ecological importance.
155.	226	Silver oak	0.75	3.00	The tree is located adjacent to compound wall in the School premises. This tree is recommended for felling due to its negligible ecological importance.
156.	227	Silver oak	1.00	4.00	The tree is located adjacent to compound wall in the School premises. This tree is recommended for felling due to its negligible ecological importance.
157.	228	Silver oak	0.70	3.00	The tree is located adjacent to compound wall in the School premises. This tree is recommended for felling due to its negligible ecological importance.

158.	229	Silver oak	1.00	4.00	The tree is located adjacent to compound wall in the School premises. This tree is recommended for felling due to its negligible ecological importance.
159.	230	Silver oak	0.95	4.00	The tree is located adjacent to compound wall in the School premises. This tree is recommended for felling due to its negligible ecological importance.
160.	231	Silver oak	1.10	4.00	The tree is located adjacent to compound wall in the School premises. This tree is recommended for felling due to its negligible ecological importance.
161.	232	Silver oak	0.90	4.00	The tree is located adjacent to compound wall in the School premises. This tree is recommended for felling due to its negligible ecological importance.
162.	233	Silver oak	0.30	2.50	The tree is located adjacent to compound wall in the School premises. This tree is recommended for felling due to its negligible ecological importance.
163.	234	Silver oak	0.95	4.00	The tree is located adjacent to compound wall in the School premises. This tree is recommended for felling due to its negligible ecological importance.
164.	235	Silver oak	0.75	3.00	The tree is located adjacent to compound wall in the School premises. This tree is recommended for felling due to its negligible ecological importance.
165.	236	Silver oak	1.15	4.00	The tree is located adjacent to compound wall in the School premises. This tree is recommended for felling due to its negligible ecological importance.
166.	237	Silver oak	1.10	4.00	The tree is located adjacent to compound wall in the School premises. This tree is recommended for felling due to its negligible ecological importance.
167.	238	Silver oak	1.20	4.00	The tree is located adjacent to compound wall in the School premises. This tree is recommended for felling due to its negligible ecological importance.
168.	239	Silver oak	0.60	2.50	The tree is located adjacent to compound wall in the School premises. This tree is recommended for felling due to its negligible ecological importance.
169.	240	Tabubia rosia	1.20	2.50	Tree has striagt bole, matured, this will not survive upon translocation. Hence recommended for felling.
170.	241	Arali	3.00	2.50	Matured tree, and tree will not survive upon translocation due to matured tree. Hence, it is recommended for felling



171.	242 242 A	Tabubia rosia	1.30 0.85	3.00 3.00	Matured tree, and tree will not survive upon translocation due to matured tree. Hence, it is recommended for felling
172.	243	Gasagase	1.10	2.50	Tree is not worth for translocation in view of economics.
173.	245	Tabubia rosia	1.50	3.00	Matured tree, and tree will not survive upon translocation due to matured tree. Hence, it is recommended for felling
174.	246	Mahagony	1.15	2.50	The bole of this tree is mechanically damaged. hence it is recommended for felling
175.	248	Rain tree	2.50	2.50	Matured tree, and tree will not survive upon translocation due to matured tree. Hence, it is recommended for felling
176.	250	Nerale	3.70	2.50	Matured tree, and tree will not survive upon translocation due to matured tree. Hence, it is recommended for felling
177.	251	Mahagony	1.20	3.50	Matured tree, and tree will not survive upon translocation due to matured tree. Hence, it is recommended for felling
178.	252	Ala	7.40	3.00	Matured tree, and tree will not survive upon translocation due to matured tree. Hence, it is recommended for felling
179.	254	Tabubia rosia	1.20	3.00	Matured tree, and tree will not survive upon translocation due to matured tree. Hence, it is recommended for felling
180.	258 258 A	Spethodia	2.20 0.80	3.00 3.00	Matured tree, and tree will not survive upon translocation due to matured tree. Hence, it is recommended for felling
181.	259 259 A 259 B	Spethodia	2.80 1.20 0.70	3.50 2.50 2.50	Matured tree, and it has multi forked and tree will not survive upon translocation due to matured tree. Hence, it is recommended for felling
182.	260	Spethodia	2.50	4.00	Matured tree, and tree will not survive upon translocation due to matured tree. Hence, it is recommended for felling
183.	261	Spethodia	1.75	4.00	Matured tree, and tree will not survive upon translocation due to matured tree. Hence, it is recommended for felling
184.	263	Neem	1.20	3.00	Matured tree, and tree will not survive upon translocation due to matured tree. Hence, it is recommended for felling
185.	264	Nerale	2.60	3.00	Matured tree, and tree will not survive upon translocation due to matured tree. Hence, it is recommended for felling
186.	265	Gasagase	0.80	2.50	The tree is coming under construction area. Tree is bended and not healthy. Hence recommended for felling.

187.	266	Ala	4.00	2.50	Tree bark is damaged and matured. Hence recommended for felling.
188.	267	Nerale	3.00	2.50	Tree branches are pruned, matured and not healthy. Hence recommended for felling.
189.	268	Ala	3.00	2.50	Tree is matured and bark is damaged. Hence recommended for felling.
190.	268/1	Hoovarsi	0.30	2.50	Tree if forked and bended. Hence recommended for felling.
191.	269	Hunase	3.85	2.50	Tree bark is damaged and not healthy. Hence recommended for felling.
192.	270	Nerale	1.20	2.50	The tree canopy is pruned and not healthy. Hence recommended for felling.
193.	272	Honge	0.40	2.50	Tree is bended and not healthy. Hence recommended for felling.
194.	274	Hunase	2.40	2.50	Tree is matured and branches are damaged. Hence recommended for felling.
195.	275/1	Hoovarsi	0.25	2.50	Tree is forked and not healthy. Hence recommended for felling.
196.	279	Rain tree	3.30	2.50	Tree is matured and branches are damaged. Hence recommended for felling.
197.	280	Coconut	0.90	6.00	Tree is bended and not healthy. Hence recommended for felling.
198.	286	Ashoka	0.80	4.00	The tree is coming under construction area. Tree is forked and matured. Hence recommended for felling.
	286 A		0.45	4.00	
199.	287	Ashoka	0.75	4.00	The tree is deep rooted exotic species, not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling.
200.	288	Ashoka	0.70	4.00	The tree is deep rooted exotic species, not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling.
201.	289	Ashoka	0.55	4.00	The tree is deep rooted exotic species, not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling.
202.	290	Ashoka	0.90	4.00	The tree is deep rooted exotic species, not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling.
203.	291	Ashoka	0.65	4.00	The tree is deep rooted exotic species, not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling.
204.	292	Ashoka	0.70	4.00	The tree is deep rooted exotic species, not possible for transplantation. Hence

					recommended for felling.
205.	293	Ashoka	0.60	4.00	The tree is deep rooted exotic species, not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling.
206.	294	Kaadubadami	0.60	4.00	The tree is coming under construction area. Tree is bended and not healthy. Hence recommended for felling.
207.	295	Silver oak	0.70	4.00	The tree is deep rooted exotic species, not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling.
208.	296	Ashoka	0.75	4.00	The tree is deep rooted exotic species, not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling.
209.	297	Ashoka	0.80	4.00	The tree is deep rooted exotic species, not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling.
210.	298	Ashoka	0.95	4.00	The tree is deep rooted exotic species, not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling.
211.	299	Rain tree	1.50	3.00	The tree is coming under construction area. Tree is bended and not healthy. Hence recommended for felling.
212.	301	Ala	4.50	3.00	Tree is matured and bark is damaged. Hence recommended for felling.
213.	302	Arali	0.45	2.50	Tree is situated on the boundary wall, not possible to take root ball. Hence recommended for felling.
214.	304	Tapassi	1.10	4.00	Tree is an hard wood species with deep root system, not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling.
215.	306	Honge	0.40	4.00	Tree is forked, not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling.
	306 A		0.35	3.00	
216.	307	Tapassi	3.25	3.00	Tree is matured and not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling.
217.	307/1	Tapassi	0.40	2.50	Tree is an hard wood species with deep root system, not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling.
218.	308	Tapassi	0.40	2.50	Tree is an hard wood species with deep root system, not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling.

219.	309 309 A	Tapassi Tapassi	0.45 0.40	3.00 3.00	Tree is an hard wood species with deep root system, not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling.
220.	310	Tapassi	0.40	2.50	Tree is an hard wood species with deep root system, not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling.
221.	311	Tapassi	0.30	2.50	Tree is an hard wood species with deep root system, not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling.
222.	312	Neem	0.35	2.50	Tree canopy is cut, not healthy. Hence recommended for felling.
223.	313	Honge	0.50	2.50	The tree is coming under construction area. Tree is bended and not healthy. Hence recommended for felling.
224.	315	Neem	0.50	3.00	The tree is coming under construction area. Tree is bended and not healthy. Hence recommended for felling.
225.	316	Gasagase	0.75	2.50	The tree is coming under construction area. Tree is forked and bended. Hence recommended for felling.
226.	317	Gasagase	0.70	2.50	The tree is coming under construction area. Tree is forked and bended. Hence recommended for felling.
227.	318	Arali	3.20	2.50	Tree is matured and bark damaged. Hence recommended for felling.
228.	319	Raintree	3.25	2.50	Tree is matured and bended . Hence recommended for felling.
229.	319/1	Honge	1.05	3.00	Tree is forked with galls. Hence recommended for felling.
230.	320 320 A	Honge	0.90 0.75	2.50 2.50	Tree is forked with knots. Hence recommended for felling.
231.	322 322 A 322 B	Honge	0.50 0.35 0.45	2.50 2.50 2.50	Tree is forked with knots. Hence recommended for felling.
232.	323	Silver oak	0.50	4.00	Tree is deep rooted exotic species. Hence recommended for felling.
233.	326	Cherry	0.45	2.50	The root of the tree is uprooted. Hence recommended for felling.
234.	327	Cherry	0.50	2.50	The root of the tree is uprooted. Hence recommended for felling.

235.	334	Ala	4.60	3.00	Tree is matured and few branches were pruned. Hence recommended for felling.
236.	335	Eechalu	0.90	5.00	Tree is bended, not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling.
237.	336	Neem	0.80	3.00	Tree is bended and not healthy. Hence recommended for felling.
238.	338	Ala	2.00	2.50	Tree is an hard wood species with deep root system, not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling.
239.	341	Neem	1.45	2.50	Tree is matured and branches pruned. Hence recommended for felling.
240.	349	Gulmohar	0.40	2.50	The tree is coming under construction area. Tree is bended and not healthy. Hence recommended for felling.
241.	350	Gulmohar	0.40	2.50	The tree is coming under construction area. Tree is bended and not healthy. Hence recommended for felling.
242.	351	Gulmohar	0.50	2.50	The tree is coming under construction area. Tree is bended and not healthy. Hence recommended for felling.
243.	352 352 A	Neem	0.70 0.60	3.00 2.50	Tree is forked and not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling.
244.	354	Arali	3.00	2.50	Tree is matured and bark is damaged. Hence recommended for felling.
245.	355	Honge	1.60	2.50	Tree is forked and bended. Hence recommended for felling.
246.	356	Nut plant	0.70	2.50	Tree is already dead. Hence recommended for felling.
247.	358 358 A 358 B	Peltophorum	0.80 0.90 0.80	3.00 2.50 2.50	Tree is forked and not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling.
248.	359	Coconut	0.80	6.00	Tree is bended and not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling.
249.	360 360 A	Honge	0.90 0.70	2.50 2.50	Tree is forked and not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling.
250.	361	Teak	0.70	3.00	Tree is coming on the edge of the drain, root ball is not possible. Hence recommended for

					falling.
251.	362	Nerale	0.50	3.00	The tree is coming under construction area. Tree is forked and bended. Hence recommended for felling.
252.	365 365 A	Honge	1.00 0.85	2.50 2.50	The tree is coming under construction area. Tree is forked and bended. Hence recommended for felling.
253.	366	Honge	1.00	2.50	The tree is coming under construction area. Tree is forked and bended. Hence recommended for felling.
254.	367	Hippe	1.65	3.50	Tree is matured and bended. Hence recommended for felling.
255.	368	Neem	0.50	3.00	Tree is bended, not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling.
256.	369	Neem	0.90	3.00	Tree is bended, not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling.
257.	371	Neem	1.50	3.00	Tree is matured and not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling.
258.	382 382 A 382 B 382 C	Honge	1.10 1.00 0.50 0.75	2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50	The tree is coming under construction area. Tree is forked and bended. Hence recommended for felling.
259.	383 383 A 383 B 383 C	Honge	0.95 1.10 1.00 0.80	3.50 3.00 3.00 2.50	The tree is coming under construction area. Tree is forked and bended. Hence recommended for felling.
260.	384	Honge	0.70	2.50	The tree is coming under construction area. Tree is forked and bended. Hence recommended for felling.
261.	385	Rain tree	2.50	3.00	The tree is coming under construction area. Tree is forked and bended. Hence recommended for felling.
262.	386	Honge	0.70	3.00	The tree is coming under construction area. Tree is forked and bended. Hence recommended for felling.
263.	387	Jam Nerale	1.40	2.50	The tree is coming under construction area. Tree is matured and bended. Hence recommended for felling.
264.	388	Athi	2.10	2.50	The tree is coming under construction area. Tree is matured and bark is damaged. Hence

					recommended for felling.
265.	389	Nerale	1.30	4.00	Tree is matured and branch is damaged. Hence recommended for felling.
266.	390	Honge	1.40	2.50	The tree is coming under construction area. Tree is forked and bended. Hence recommended for felling.
	390 A	Honge	0.90	3.00	
	390 B	Honge	0.80	3.00	
	390 C	Honge	0.90	3.00	
267.	391	Honge	1.00	3.00	The tree is coming under construction area. Tree is forked and bended. Hence recommended for felling.
	391 A		0.80	3.00	
	391 B		0.80	3.00	
268.	392	Honge	0.80	3.00	The tree is coming under construction area. Tree is forked and bended. Hence recommended for felling.
269.	393	Honge	1.15	2.50	The tree is coming under construction area. Tree is forked and bended. Hence recommended for felling.
270.	394	Rain tree	2.60	2.50	The tree is coming under construction area. Tree is forked and bended. Hence recommended for felling.
271.	395	Honge	0.70	2.50	The tree is coming under construction area. Tree is forked and bended. Hence recommended for felling.
272.	396	Honge	1.60	2.50	The tree is coming under construction area. Tree is forked and bended. Hence recommended for felling.
	396 A		1.25	2.50	
273.	398	Honge	1.00	2.50	The tree is coming under construction area. Tree is forked and bended. Hence recommended for felling.
274.	399	Rain tree	2.70	3.50	Tree is matured and not healthy. Hence recommended for felling.
275.	401	Rain tree	2.70	3.00	Tree is matured and not healthy. Hence recommended for felling.
276.	402	Silk	1.20	4.00	Tree is matured and branch pruned. Hence recommended for felling.
277.	403	Rain tree	1.25	3.00	The tree is coming under construction area. Tree is forked and bended. Hence recommended for felling.
	403 A		1.30	2.50	
	403 B		1.10	3.00	
	403 C		1.20	3.00	

278.	404 404 A	Peltophorum	0.90 0.95	3.00 3.00	The tree is coming under construction area. Tree is forked and bended. Hence recommended for felling.
279.	406 406 A 406 B	Rain tree	0.80 1.20 1.20	2.50 2.50 3.00	The tree is coming under construction area. Tree is forked and bended. Hence recommended for felling.
280.	410 410 A	Nerale Nerale	1.40 0.90	3.00 2.50	The tree is coming under construction area. Tree is forked and bended. Hence recommended for felling.
281.	411	Rain tree	2.60	2.50	Tree is matured and not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling.
282.	412	Rain tree	3.00	2.50	Tree is matured and not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling.
283.	413 413 A	Rain tree	1.50 1.50	2.50 2.50	The tree is coming under construction area. Tree is forked and bended. Hence recommended for felling.
284.	415	Rain tree	2.90	2.50	Tree is matured, not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling.
285.	416 416 A	Rain tree	1.80 1.50	2.50 3.00	The tree is coming under construction area. Tree is forked and bended. Hence recommended for felling.
286.	417	Rain tree	1.55	2.50	Tree is matured and not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling.
287.	418	Rain tree	2.70	2.50	Tree is matured and not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling.
288.	422	Rain tree	3.20	2.50	Tree is matured and not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling.
289.	423	Rain tree	3.40	3.00	Tree is matured and not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling.
290.	424 424 A	Rain tree	1.30 1.30	3.00 2.50	The tree is coming under construction area. Tree is forked and bended. Hence recommended for felling.
291.	425	Coconut	0.80	4.50	Tree is bended and its coming under project area. Hence recommended for felling.



292.	426 426 A	Rain tree	1.90 2.00	2.50 2.50	The tree is coming under construction area. Tree is forked and bended. Hence recommended for felling.
293.	427	Coconut	0.70	4.00	Tree is bended and its coming under project area. Hence recommended for felling.
294.	428	Coconut	1.00	7.00	Tree is bended and its coming under project area. Hence recommended for felling.
295.	429	Rain tree	2.90	2.50	Tree is matured and not possible for transplantation
296.	430	Coconut	1.10	6.00	Tree is bended and its coming under project area. Hence recommended for felling.
297.	431	Coconut	1.00	7.00	Tree is bended and its coming under project area. Hence recommended for felling.
298.	432	Rain tree	2.20	2.50	Tree is matured and not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling.
299.	433	Hunase	0.70	3.00	Tree is deep rooted, hardwood species. Hence recommended for felling.
300.	434	Rain tree	3.50	2.50	Tree is matured and not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling.
301.	435	Rain tree	3.30	3.00	Tree is matured and not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling.
302.	436	Silver oak	0.50	4.50	Tree is exotic with deep root system. Hence recommended for felling.
303.	437	Neem	0.70	2.50	Tree is bended and not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling.
304.	438	Nilgiri	2.70	5.00	Tree is matured and not fit transplantation. Hence recommended for felling.
305.	439	Ashoka	0.30	2.50	Tree is exotic with deep root system. Hence recommended for felling.
306.	442	Nilgiri	2.50	3.00	Tree is matured and exotic species. Hence recommended for felling.
307.	443	Peltophorum	1.20	3.50	Tree is matured and not healthy. Hence recommended for felling.
308.	444	Coconut	0.60	5.00	Tree is bended and its coming under project area. Hence recommended for felling.
309.	445	Nilgiri	1.90	5.00	Tree is matured and exotic species. Hence recommended for felling.

310.	446	Rain tree	4.20	2.50	Tree is matured and not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling.
311.	447	Rain tree	1.80	3.00	Tree is matured and not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling.
312.	448	Rain tree	3.00	3.00	Tree is matured and not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling.
313.	453	Custard Apple	0.30	2.50	The tree is coming under construction area. Tree is forked and bended. Hence recommended for felling.
314.	454	Mango	0.50	2.50	Tree bark is damaged and not healthy. Hence recommended for felling.
315.	455	Hunase	4.00	2.50	Tree is matured and not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling.
316.	456	Rain tree	2.20	3.00	Tree is matured and not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling.
317.	457	Rain tree	2.50	3.00	Tree is matured and not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling.
318.	458	Arali	5.00	3.00	Tree is matured and not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling.
319.	459	Neem	1.20	3.00	Tree is matured and not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling.
320.	460 460 A	Arali	5.90 3.00	3.00 3.00	Tree is matured and not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling.
321.	463	Rain tree	3.20	2.50	Tree is matured and not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling.
322.	467	Rain tree	3.60	2.50	Tree is matured and not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling.
323.	468	Coconut	0.85	8.00	Tree is bended and not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling.
324.	469	Rain tree	2.40	3.50	Tree is matured and not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling.

325.	470	Rain tree	3.00	2.50	Tree is matured and not possible for transplantation. Hence recommended for felling.
326.	471	Sihi hunase	2.60	3.00	The tree is matured (structurally large, lacking suitable root ball for excavation), standing within the project proposal / construction area earmarked for Foot Over Bridge (FOB). Further, in consideration to the comparative limitations to other indigenous species and the translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
327.	472	Hoovarsi	0.85	2.50	The tree is standing within the project proposal / construction area earmarked for Foot Over Bridge (FOB). Further, in consideration to the poor (anthropogenic activities) site and growth (stress) condition, the tree is recommended for felling.
328.	477	Spathodea	1.10	4.00	The tree is matured (structurally large, restricted roots, decayed), standing in the project proposal / construction area (includes the existing service road (+ utility lanes) and foot path) earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx.) service road (+ utility lanes). In consideration to the site and growth conditions and project proposal the tree is recommended for felling.
329.	478	Rain tree	2.30	3.00	The tree is matured (structurally large, restricted roots, decayed), standing in the project proposal / construction area (includes the existing service road (+ utility lanes) and foot path) earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx.) service road (+ utility lanes). In consideration to the site and growth conditions and project proposal the tree is recommended for felling.
330.	479	Gulmohar	2.40	2.50	The tree is matured (structurally large, restricted roots, decayed), standing in the project proposal / construction area (includes the existing service road (+ utility lanes) and foot path) earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx.) service road (+ utility lanes). In consideration to the site and growth conditions and project proposal the tree is recommended for felling.
331.	480	Kaadu Kathi	1.70	5.00	The tree is matured (structurally large, restricted roots, decayed), standing in the project proposal / construction area (includes

					the existing service road (+ utility lanes) and foot path) earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes). In consideration to the site and growth conditions and project proposal the tree is recommended for felling.
332.	481	Spathodea	3.00	4.00	The tree is matured (structurally large, restricted roots, decayed), standing in the project proposal / construction area (includes the existing service road (+ utility lanes) and foot path) earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes). In consideration to the site and growth conditions and project proposal the tree is recommended for felling.
333.	482	Rain tree	2.00	3.00	The tree is matured (structurally large, restricted roots, decayed), standing in the project proposal / construction area (includes the existing service road (+ utility lanes) and foot path) earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes). In consideration to the site and growth conditions and project proposal the tree is recommended for felling.
334.	483	Spathodea	1.70	4.00	The tree is matured (structurally large, restricted roots, decayed), standing in the project proposal / construction area (includes the existing service road (+ utility lanes) and foot path) earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes). In consideration to the site and growth conditions and project proposal the tree is recommended for felling.
335.	484	Nerale	1.20	3.00	The tree is matured (structurally large, restricted roots, decayed), standing in existing foot path of the project proposal / construction area (includes the existing service road (+ utility lanes) and foot path) earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes). In consideration to the site and growth conditions and project proposal the tree is recommended for felling.
336.	485	Gasagase	0.50	2.50	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for mid-pillar for the ROB. In consideration to the tree (with restricted roots), comparative limitations to other indigenous species (religious, rare and endangered etc.) and translocation cost, the tree

					is recommended for felling.
337.	486	Gasagase	0.60	3.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for mid-pillar for the ROB. In consideration to the tree (with restricted roots), comparative limitations to other indigenous species (religious, rare and endangered etc.) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
338.	487	Gasagase	0.60	3.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for mid-pillar for the ROB. In consideration to the tree (with restricted roots), comparative limitations to other indigenous species (religious, rare and endangered etc.) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
339.	488/1	Gasgasse	0.30	3.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for mid-pillar for the ROB. In consideration to the tree (with restricted roots), comparative limitations to other indigenous species (religious, rare and endangered etc.) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
340.	489	Athi	1.60	3.00	The tree is matured (structurally large, restricted roots, decayed), standing in the project proposal / construction area (includes the existing service road (+ utility lanes) and foot path) earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp / service road (+ utility lanes). In consideration to the site and growth conditions and project proposal the tree is recommended for felling.
341.	490 490 A 490 B	Rain tree	2.20 0.80 0.60	2.50 2.50 2.50	The tree is matured (structurally large, restricted roots), standing in the project proposal / construction area (includes the existing service road (+ utility lanes) and foot path) earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes). In consideration to the site and growth conditions and project proposal the tree is recommended for felling.
342.	491	Neem	1.20	3.00	The tree is matured (dieback symptoms, structurally large, restricted roots), standing in the project proposal / construction area (includes the existing service road (+ utility lanes) and foot path) earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes). In consideration to the site and growth conditions and project

					proposal the tree is recommended for felling.
343.	492	Neem	0.90	3.00	The tree is matured (dieback symptoms, restricted roots), standing in the project proposal / construction area (includes the existing service road (+ utility lanes) and foot path) earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes). In consideration to the site and growth conditions and project proposal the tree is recommended for felling.
344.	494	Peltophorum	0.60	3.00	The tree is with restricted roots, standing in the project proposal / construction area (includes the existing service road (+ utility lanes) and foot path) earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes). In consideration to the site and growth conditions and project proposal the tree is recommended for felling.
345.	495 495 A	Peltophorum	1.50 0.50	3.00 3.00	The tree is forked, matured (structurally large, restricted roots, weak branch union), standing in the project proposal / construction area (includes the existing service road (+ utility lanes) and foot path) earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes). In consideration to the site and growth conditions and project proposal the tree is recommended for felling.
346.	496/2	Spathodea	0.40	2.50	The roots of the tree is partially exposed, standing in the project proposal / construction area (includes the existing service road (+ utility lanes) and foot path) earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes). In consideration to the site and growth conditions and project proposal the tree is recommended for felling.
347.	498	Neem	0.30	4.00	The tree is with dieback symptoms, standing in the project proposal / construction area (includes the existing service road (+ utility lanes) and foot path) earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes). In consideration to the site and growth conditions and project proposal the tree is recommended for felling.
348.	498/1	Bilvara	0.40	4.00	The base of the tree is conjoined (with tree no. 498/2), standing in the project proposal / construction area (includes the existing service road (+ utility lanes) and foot path) earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m

					(approx..) service road (+ utility lanes). In consideration to the site and growth conditions and project proposal the tree is recommended for felling.
349.	498/2	Spathodea	0.20	4.00	The base of the tree is conjoined (with tree no. 498/1), standing in the project proposal / construction area (includes the existing service road (+ utility lanes) and foot path) earmarked for 12m (approx..) width ramp and 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes). In consideration to the site and growth conditions and project proposal the tree is recommended for felling.
350.	501	Jacaranda	0.60	3.00	The tree is forked (with weak branch union), standing in the project proposal / construction area (includes the existing service road (+ utility lanes) and foot path) earmarked for 12m (approx..) width ramp and 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes). In consideration to the site and growth conditions and project proposal the tree is recommended for felling.
351.	502	Neem	0.80	4.00	The tree is with dieback symptoms, standing in the project proposal / construction area (includes the existing service road (+ utility lanes) and foot path) earmarked for 12m (approx..) width ramp and 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes). In consideration to the site and growth conditions and project proposal the tree is recommended for felling.
352.	503	Peltophorum	1.20	2.50	The tree is matured, forked (structurally large, weak branch union), standing in the project proposal / construction area (includes the existing service road (+ utility lanes) and foot path) earmarked for 12m (approx..) width ramp and 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes). In consideration to the site and growth conditions and project proposal the tree is recommended for felling.
353.	504	Jacaranda	1.80	2.50	The tree is matured (structurally large, restricted roots), standing in the project proposal / construction area (includes the existing service road (+ utility lanes) and foot path) earmarked for 12m (approx..) width ramp and 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes). In consideration to the site and growth conditions and project proposal the tree is recommended for felling.
354.	505	Hunase	0.50	3.00	The tree is stressed (weak tree base), standing in the project proposal / construction area

					(includes the existing service road (+ utility lanes) and foot path) earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes). In consideration to the site and growth conditions and project proposal the tree is recommended for felling.
355.	506	Neem	1.50	2.50	The tree is with dieback symptoms, standing in the project proposal / construction area (includes the existing service road (+ utility lanes) and foot path) earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes). In consideration to the site and growth conditions and project proposal the tree is recommended for felling.
356.	507	Seeme thangadi	0.50	3.00	The tree is stressed (weak tree base), standing in the project proposal / construction area (includes the existing service road (+ utility lanes) and foot path) earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes). In consideration to the species (with translocation cost) site and growth conditions and project proposal the tree is recommended for felling.
357.	508	Seeme thangadi	0.50	2.50	The tree is stressed (weak tree base), standing in the project proposal / construction area (includes the existing service road (+ utility lanes) and foot path) earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes). In consideration to the species (with translocation cost) site and growth conditions and project proposal the tree is recommended for felling.
358.	509	Peltophorum	1.50	3.00	The tree is matured (structurally large, restricted roots), standing in the project proposal / construction area (includes the existing service road (+ utility lanes) and foot path) earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes). In consideration to the species (with translocation cost) site and growth conditions and project proposal the tree is recommended for felling.
359.	510	Gulmohar	0.40	2.50	The tree is stressed (standing in clusters with adjacent trees 510 to 518), standing in the project proposal / construction area (includes the existing service road (+ utility lanes) and foot path) earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes). In consideration to the species (with



					translocation cost) site and growth conditions and project proposal the tree is recommended for felling.
360.	511	Gulmohar	0.30	3.00	The tree is stressed (standing in clusters with adjacent trees 510 to 518), standing in the project proposal / construction area (includes the existing service road (+ utility lanes) and foot path) earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes). In consideration to the species (with translocation cost) site and growth conditions and project proposal the tree is recommended for felling.
361.	512	Gulmohar	0.40	2.50	The tree is stressed (standing in clusters with adjacent trees 510 to 518), standing in the project proposal / construction area (includes the existing service road (+ utility lanes) and foot path) earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes). In consideration to the species (with translocation cost) site and growth conditions and project proposal the tree is recommended for felling.
362.	513	Gulmohar	0.40	3.00	The tree is stressed (standing in clusters with adjacent trees 510 to 518), standing in the project proposal / construction area (includes the existing service road (+ utility lanes) and foot path) earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes). In consideration to the species (with translocation cost) site and growth conditions and project proposal the tree is recommended for felling.
363.	514	Gulmohar	0.40	3.00	The tree is stressed (standing in clusters with adjacent trees 510 to 518), standing in the project proposal / construction area (includes the existing service road (+ utility lanes) and foot path) earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes). In consideration to the species (with translocation cost) site and growth conditions and project proposal the tree is recommended for felling.
364.	515	Gulmohar	0.40	3.00	The tree is stressed (standing in clusters with adjacent trees 510 to 518), standing in the project proposal / construction area (includes the existing service road (+ utility lanes) and foot path) earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility

					lanes). In consideration to the species (with translocation cost) site and growth conditions and project proposal the tree is recommended for felling.
365.	516	Gulmohar	0.40	3.00	The tree is stressed (standing in clusters with adjacent trees 510 to 518), standing in the project proposal / construction area (includes the existing service road (+ utility lanes) and foot path) earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes). In consideration to the species (with translocation cost) site and growth conditions and project proposal the tree is recommended for felling.
366.	517	Gulmohar	0.40	3.00	The tree is stressed (standing in clusters with adjacent trees 510 to 518), standing in the project proposal / construction area (includes the existing service road (+ utility lanes) and foot path) earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes). In consideration to the species (with translocation cost) site and growth conditions and project proposal the tree is recommended for felling.
367.	518	Gulmohar	0.40	2.50	The tree is stressed (standing in clusters with adjacent trees 510 to 518), standing in the project proposal / construction area (includes the existing service road (+ utility lanes) and foot path) earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes). In consideration to the species (with translocation cost) site and growth conditions and project proposal the tree is recommended for felling.
368.	519	Gulmohar	2.00	3.00	The tree is matured (structurally large, restricted roots), standing in the project proposal / construction area (includes the existing service road (+ utility lanes) and foot path) earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes). In consideration to the species (with translocation cost) site and growth conditions and project proposal the tree is recommended for felling.
369.	520	Tecoma	0.50	3.00	The tree is bent, standing in the project proposal / construction area (includes the existing service road (+ utility lanes) and foot path) earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility

					lanes). In consideration to the site and growth conditions and project proposal the tree is recommended for felling.
370.	521	Gulmohar	1.50	2.50	The tree is matured (structurally large, restricted roots), standing in the project proposal / construction area (includes the existing service road (+ utility lanes) and foot path) earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes). In consideration to the species (with translocation cost) site and growth conditions and project proposal the tree is recommended for felling.
371.	522	Jacaranda	0.80	3.00	The tree is matured (structurally large, restricted roots), standing in the project proposal / construction area (includes the existing service road (+ utility lanes) and foot path) earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes). In consideration to the species (with translocation cost) site and growth conditions and project proposal the tree is recommended for felling.
372.	523	Gulmohar	2.00	2.50	The tree is matured (structurally large, restricted roots), standing in the project proposal / construction area (includes the existing service road (+ utility lanes) and foot path) earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes). In consideration to the species (with translocation cost) site and growth conditions and project proposal the tree is recommended for felling.
373.	524	Peltophorum	0.30	2.50	The tree is matured (decayed, structurally large, restricted roots), standing in the project proposal / construction area (includes the existing service road (+ utility lanes) and foot path) earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes). In consideration to the species (with translocation cost) site and growth conditions and project proposal the tree is recommended for felling.
374.	525	Peltophorum	1.60	3.00	The tree is matured (structurally large, restricted roots), standing in the project proposal / construction area (includes the existing service road (+ utility lanes) and foot path) earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility

					lanes). In consideration to the species (with translocation cost) site and growth conditions and project proposal the tree is recommended for felling.
375.	526	Peltophorum	0.30	3.00	The tree is with decay symptoms, standing in the project proposal / construction area (includes the existing service road (+ utility lanes) and foot path) earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes). In consideration to the species (with translocation cost) site and growth conditions and project proposal the tree is recommended for felling.
376.	528	Gulmohar	1.50	3.00	The tree is matured (structurally large, restricted roots), standing in the project proposal / construction area (includes the existing service road (+ utility lanes) and foot path) earmarked for 12m (approx.) width ramp and 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes). In consideration to the species (with translocation cost) site and growth conditions and project proposal the tree is recommended for felling.
377.	529	Gasagase	0.50	3.00	The tree is stressed (weak tree base), standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for pillar for the ROB. In consideration to the tree (with restricted roots), comparative limitations to other indigenous species (religious, rare and endangered etc.) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
378.	530	Coconut	0.60	7.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for pillar (no.8) for the ROB. In consideration to the tree (matured), and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
379.	554	Rain tree	2.00	2.50	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for pillar (no.11) for the ROB. In consideration to the large structural status of the tree and site (limitation for excavation of root ball) condition, the tree is recommended for felling.
380.	557	Coconut	1.00	7.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for pillar (no.14) for the ROB. In consideration to the matured tree status, the tree is recommended for felling.
381.	558	Coconut	1.20	6.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for pillar (no.14)

					for the ROB. In consideration to the matured tree status, the tree is recommended for felling.
382.	559	Coconut	1.20	6.50	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for pillar (no.16) for the ROB. In consideration to the matured tree status, the tree is recommended for felling.
383.	560	Coconut	1.10	7.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for pillar (no.16) for the ROB. In consideration to the matured tree status, the tree is recommended for felling.
384.	563	Sarve	0.90	2.50	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (exotic) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
385.	564	Sarve	0.90	2.50	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (exotic) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
386.	565	Sarve	0.90	2.50	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (exotic) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
387.	566	Sarve	0.90	2.50	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (exotic) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
388.	568	Thorematti	2.00	3.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured) and site (limitation in excavation of root ball) condition, the tree is recommended for felling.
389.	570	Jacaranda	3.00	2.50	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured) and site (limitation in excavation of root ball) condition, the tree is recommended

					for felling.
390.	571	Spathodea	2.50	3.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured) and site (limitation in excavation of root ball) condition, the tree is recommended for felling.
391.	572	Gulmohar	3.50	2.50	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured) and site (limitation in excavation of root ball) condition, the tree is recommended for felling.
392.	573	Ashoka	1.50	3.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured) and site (limitation in excavation of root ball) condition, the tree is recommended for felling.
393.	574	Spathodea	2.50	3.50	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured) and site (limitation in excavation of root ball) condition, the tree is recommended for felling.
394.	576	Kaadu kathi	1.80	4.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured) and site (limitation in excavation of root ball) condition, the tree is recommended for felling.
395.	577	Gulmohar	3.20	2.50	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured) and site (limitation in excavation of root ball) condition, the tree is recommended for felling.
396.	578	Jacaranda	2.80	2.50	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status

					(matured) and site (limitation in excavation of root ball) condition, the tree is recommended for felling.
397.	579	Spathodea	0.90	2.50	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured) and site (limitation in excavation of root ball) condition, the tree is recommended for felling.
398.	580	Spathodea	2.10	2.50	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree status (dead), the tree is recommended for felling.
399.	582	Sarve	0.60	2.50	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (exotic), the tree is recommended for felling.
400.	583	Nerale	1.00	2.50	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured, bent) and site (limitation in excavation of root ball) condition, the tree is recommended for felling.
401.	584	Sampige	0.90	3.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured, with knots) and site (limitation in excavation of root ball) condition, the tree is recommended for felling.
402.	585	Royal palm	1.30	4.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured and exotic) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
403.	586	Neem	2.00	2.50	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured with dieback symptoms), the tree is recommended for felling.
404.	587	Ashoka	1.00	3.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m

					(approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured and exotic) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
405.	588	Ashoka	0.95	3.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured and exotic) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
406.	589	Ashoka	0.80	3.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured and exotic) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
407.	590	Royal palm	1.30	6.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured and exotic) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
408.	591	Royal palm	1.35	6.50	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured and exotic) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
409.	592	Royal palm	1.25	7.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured and exotic) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
410.	593	Royal palm	1.50	6.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured and exotic) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
411.	594	Royal palm	1.50	7.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured and exotic) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
412.	595	Royal palm	1.20	8.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m



					(approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured and exotic) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
413.	596	Ashoka	1.20	2.50	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured and exotic) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
414.	597	Ashoka	1.20	2.50	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured and exotic) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
415.	598	Royal palm	1.30	7.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured and exotic) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
416.	599	Royal palm	1.50	8.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured and exotic) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
417.	600	Royal palm	1.80	8.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured and exotic) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
418.	601	Royal palm	1.50	6.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured and exotic) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
419.	602	Royal palm	1.40	6.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured and exotic) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
420.	603	Royal palm	1.50	6.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m

					(approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured and exotic) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
421.	604	Royal palm	1.80	7.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured and exotic) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
422.	605	Royal palm	1.50	8.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured and exotic) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
423.	607	Hunase	1.45	2.50	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured), the tree is recommended for felling.
424.	608	Rain tree	2.50	2.50	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
425.	609 609 A	Gasagase	1.80 0.30	2.50 2.50	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (forked, matured, decayed) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
426.	610	Neem	2.00	3.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured with dieback symptoms), the tree is recommended for felling.
427.	613	Neem	2.85	2.50	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree status (dead), the tree is recommended for felling.
428.	614	Palm	0.60	3.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in

					consideration to the tree status (dried), the tree is recommended for felling.
429.	615	Hunase	1.45	3.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured, decayed), the tree is recommended for felling.
430.	616 616 A	Honge	2.65 0.80	2.50 2.50	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (forked with weak branch unions, matured), the tree is recommended for felling.
431.	618	Ornamental sp.	1.35	3.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured and exotic) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
432.	619	Ornamental sp.	1.45	3.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured and exotic) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
433.	621	Ornamental sp.	0.50	4.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured and exotic) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
434.	622	Rain tree	31.50	3.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured and exotic) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
435.	623 623 A	Honge	1.20 0.40	3.00 2.50	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured and exotic) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
436.	627	Gasagase	1.30	3.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m

					(approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree status (matured, restricted roots), the tree is recommended for felling.
437.	629 629 A 629 B	Gasagase	1.70 0.40 0.30	2.50 2.50 2.50	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (forked, matured and exotic) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
438.	631 631 A	Gasagase	1.15 0.90	3.00 2.50	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured and exotic) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
439.	633	Gasagase	2.55	2.50	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured and exotic) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
440.	635	Coconut	1.10	6.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
441.	636	Gasagase	0.65	2.50	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (exotic) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
442.	639	Sihihunase	1.05	3.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
443.	640	Ashoka	1.00	4.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured and exotic) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
444.	641	Silver oak	0.50	3.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal /

					construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (exotic) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
445.	643	Coconut	0.80	8.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
446.	644	Coconut	0.50	7.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (restricted roots) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
447.	645	Coconut	0.80	7.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (topped) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
448.	647	Coconut	1.10	8.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
449.	648	Coconut	0.60	6.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
450.	649	Coconut	0.70	7.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
451.	650	Coconut	0.75	8.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.

452.	651	Coconut	0.85	8.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured, standing close to tree no. 652) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
453.	652	Honge	0.75	3.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured, standing close to tree no. 653) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
454.	653	Honge	0.80	3.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured, standing close to tree no. 652) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
455.	654	Rain tree	1.10	2.50	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
456.	655	Coconut	0.80	7.50	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
457.	656	Coconut	0.80	8.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
458.	657	Coconut	0.65	7.50	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
459.	658	Coconut	0.55	7.50	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in

					consideration to the tree species / status (matured) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
460.	659	Coconut	0.90	8.50	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
461.	660	Coconut	0.80	8.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
462.	661	Coconut	0.80	6.50	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
463.	662	Silver oak	0.50	4.50	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (restricted roots) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
464.	663	Nerale	0.70	3.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (forked and matured) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
	663 A	Nerale	0.80	3.00	
465.	664	Silver oak	0.70	8.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
466.	686	Hunase	1.45	2.50	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
467.	687	Nilgiri	2.00	5.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in

					consideration to the tree species / status (matured) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
468.	688	Nilgiri	2.00	7.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
469.	689	Hunase	0.60	2.50	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (constricted roots) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
470.	690	Spathodea	1.10	2.50	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
471.	691	Hunase	1.00	5.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured, base conjoined) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
472.	692	Kanagile	0.40	3.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured, base conjoined, forked) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
	692 A		0.30	3.00	
	692 B		0.30	3.00	
	692 C		0.40	3.00	
473.	693	Tabebuia	2.50	4.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
474.	694	Hunase	1.50	4.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
475.	695	Tabebuia	2.50	4.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal /



					construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
476.	696	Hunase	1.10	5.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
477.	697 697 A	Basavana Paada	0.80 0.30	3.00 3.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured, forked) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
478.	698	Hunase	1.50	3.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
479.	699	Nilgiri	3.00	8.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
480.	700	Nilgiri	2.50	5.00	The tree is standing in the project proposal / construction area earmarked for width of 7.5m (approx..) service road (+ utility lanes) and in consideration to the tree species / status (matured) and translocation cost, the tree is recommended for felling.
<b>Total trees for Felling = 480 Nos.</b>					

  
 Tree Officer &  
 Deputy Conservator of Forests,  
 BBMP, Bangalore.

